DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program Record		STUDIENT OF ALL
Record #: 12021	Date: May 14, 2012	
Title: Cost Projections for Delivery Operations at a Distributed H ₂ Production/Refueling Site		TATES OF AN
Originator: Scott Weil, Mark Ruth, Sara Dillich, and Erika Sutherland		
Approved by: Sunita Satyapal and Rick Farmer	Date: November 28, 2012	

Item:

Delivery costs associated with distributed production refueling station functions, Compression, Storage and Dispensing (CSD), were projected to be \$2.45/kg and \$1.65/kg, for 2010 and 2020, respectively. Calculations were made in \$2007 using the Hydrogen Delivery Scenario Analysis Model (HDSAM).

Data and Assumptions:

In fiscal year 2011, the Hydrogen Analysis Model (H2A) V3 was used to define a set of centralized and distributed hydrogen production cost targets for the Hydrogen Production sub-program. Because distributed production combines unit operations attributable to both hydrogen production (e.g. hydrogen gas generation via small-scale steam methane reformation or on-site electrolysis) and delivery (e.g. subsequent gas compression, storage, and dispensing), it was necessary for the purposes of future R&D planning to separate the corresponding costs and define targets for each set of technologies. Technical and cost targets for the delivery operations were defined using HDSAM V 2.3, as described in Record #12022 [1].

As a first step, CSD costs were isolated from a 2020 pipeline gas HDSAM delivery scenario developed to meet the 2020, 2.1/gge central delivery cost.^a The pipeline delivery scenario was chosen because it represents the closest analog to distributed production with respect to the incoming conditions of the hydrogen (e.g. 300 - 600 psi pressure, ambient temperature gas, etc.). The CSD costs associated with the 2020 HDSAM pipeline delivery scenario developed were < 1.30/gge [2]. This figure must be adjusted to account for differences in seasonal H₂ storage between a central pipeline scenario (where variations in summer and winter needs are met via geologically stored H₂) and an on-site, distributed production scenario, in which all storage is assumed to be located at the station.

The magnitude of the adjustment depends on the extent to which the on-site H_2 production unit and/or on-site low-pressure storage are scaled (or sized) to accommodate hydrogen demand at the station under peak conditions. Station demand varies due to the following: a summer surge that is 10% higher than the daily average for the year; a Friday peak at 8% higher than the average for that week; and a demand profile indicating an afternoon peak [3]. An analysis conducted by Argonne National Laboratory (ANL)

^a Assumptions included: (1) Indianapolis as the target city (population 1.2 M), (2) a mature fuel cell vehicle market penetration of 15%, (3) all costs expressed in \$2007, (4) an average refueling station capacity of 1000 kg of H_2/day at 95+% utilization, (5) mature economies of scale with respect to component manufacture for various unit operations, (6) the H_2 production plant is sited 100 km from the city gate, and (7) they H_2 pressure on-board the vehicle is 700 bar.

and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)^b concluded that the combined production and delivery costs for this type of station are minimized when the production unit is designed to supply a sufficient amount of hydrogen to meet the summer surge and the on-site storage is designed to cover weekly variation (i.e., the Friday peak) and unplanned outages [4]. However no extra storage capacity was built into the cost models to accommodate on-site planned production outages. The costs of "up-sizing" storage and/or the H₂ production unit were deemed excessive. Instead, it was assumed that customers would seek an alternative, available station for refueling.

The total 2020 projected CSD cost of a station where H_2 is generated on-site is \$1.65/kg. To establish a 2010/11 baseline, a similar analysis was conducted assuming 2010 distributed production and delivery technologies. Final results yield a projected 2010 CSD cost of \$2.46/gge [5, 6]. Costs for 2011 and 2020 were rounded to \$2.50/gge and < \$1.70/gge, respectively, in The Fuel Cell Technology Program 2012 MYRD&D.

References

- 1. Program Record #12022, November, 2012. "H₂ Delivery Cost Projections 2011," S. Weil, S. Dillich, and E. Sutherland.
- 2. Fuel Cell Technologies Program Multi-Year Research, Development and Deployment Plan (MYRD&D), Section 3.2, Hydrogen Delivery, 2012, http://www1.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/mypp/pdfs/delivery.pdf
- 3. T-P. Chen, Nexant: "Hydrogen Delivery Infrastructure Options Analysis," DOE Award #DE-FG36-05GO15032, Appendix B, May 2008.
- 4. Internal Communication, analysis of distributed production station sizing, Mark Ruth, Darlene Steward, and Amgad Elgowainy, February, 8, 2012.
- Current Distributed Hydrogen Production from Natural Gas without CO₂ Sequestration version 3.0; <u>http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/h2a_prod_studies.html</u>
- Future Distributed Hydrogen Production from Natural Gas without CO₂ Sequestration version 3.0; <u>http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/h2a_prod_studies.html</u>

^b Amgad Elgowainy (ANL), Mark Ruth (NREL) and Darlene Steward (NREL)