# V.G.4 PEM Fuel Cell Durability

Rod Borup (Primary Contact), John Davey, David Wood, Fernando Garzon and Paul Welch Los Alamos National Laboratory MS J579 P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Phone: (505) 667-2823; Fax: (505) 665-9507 E-mail: Borup@lanl.gov

DOE Technology Development Manager: Nancy Garland Phone: (202) 586-5673; Fax: (202) 586-9811 E-mail: Nancy.Garland@ee.doe.gov

Start Date: October 2003 Project End Date: Project continuation and direction determined annually by DOE

#### **Objectives**

Identify and quantify factors that limit proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cell durability

- Measure property changes in fuel cell components during long-term testing.
  - Membrane-electrode structure
  - Electrocatalyst activity and stability
  - Gas diffusion layer hydrophobicity
- Develop and apply methods for accelerated and off-line testing.
  - Improve durability of fuel cell components

#### **Technical Barriers**

This project addresses the following technical barrier from the Fuel Cells section (section 3.4.4.2) of the Hydrogen, Fuel Cells and Infrastructure Technologies Program Multi-Year Research, Development and Demonstration Plan:

(A) Durability

#### **Technical Targets**

Durability with cycling: 5,000 hrs

#### Accomplishments

- Durability testing simulating a vehicle drive-cycle with shut-down/start-up cycling.
  - Development of start-stop testing protocol

- Comparison of 50% and 100% inlet relative humidity (RH) during drive-cycle testing
- *In situ* characterization of membrane electrode assemblies (MEAs) by polarization curves, electrocatalyst surface area measurements, high frequency resistance.
- Post-characterization of tested fuel cell components by x-ray fluorescence (XRF), x-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM/EDS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), inverse gas chromatography (IGC), contact angle and porosimetry measurements.
  - Modeling of mass-transport losses extrapolated to 'over-potential'
    - Method for analyzing performance losses (voltage loss due to resistance, iR; oxygen reduction reaction, ORR; mass transport; MT)
  - Neutron Reflectivity of Nafion<sup>®</sup> and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) on carbon
  - Measurements of gas diffusion layer (GDL), MEAs and membrane pore size distribution
    - Total porosity, delineation between hydrophobic and hydrophilic pores
  - Measurements of hydrophobicity changes of aged membrane materials
- Modeling of Pt particle growth.
  - Kinetic growth
    - Convection/diffusion
  - Brownian dynamics (Molecular Dynamics++)
- Off-line durability testing of fuel cell components.
  - Potential cycling evaluation of electrocatalysts
    - Operational variable effects on particle growth
  - Environmental aging of components
  - RH effect on surface energy of GDL materials
    - Dispersive Energy (non-polar interactions)
    - Specific Energy (polar interactions)

#### Introduction

In this project, Los Alamos National Laboratory is identifying and quantifying factors that limit PEM fuel cell durability by measuring property changes in fuel cell components during long-term testing (membraneelectrode durability, electrocatalyst activity and stability, gas diffusion media hydrophobicity, and corrosion products) and developing and applying methods for accelerated and off-line testing. The overall objective is to meet the 2010 DOE target of 5,000 hours simulating automotive operating conditions.

Durability is difficult to quantify and improve not only because of the quantity and duration (i.e., up to several thousand hours or more) of testing required. but also because a fuel cell is a system of components; electrocatalysts, membranes, gas diffusion layers, and bipolar plates; for which degradation mechanisms, component interactions and effects of operating conditions are not fully understood. Development of in situ diagnostics and unique experiments to characterize the performance and properties of components as a function of time are required to speed understanding of fuel cell degradation mechanisms. With these measurements and with extensive post-mortem characterization, degradation mechanisms can be delineated to help develop more durable fuel cells and fuel cell components.

This report describes our FY 2006 technical progress in characterizing and quantifying the durability of fuel cell components and their degradation mechanisms to support the DOE target for 5,000 hours of durability.

#### Approach

Our approach to improving PEM fuel cell durability is to define the degradation mechanisms to allow the design of improved fuel cell materials and components. This approach also helps to define operating conditions that promote degradation which will identify operational constraints to improve durability.

PEM fuel cell durability testing is typically performed on single cells with active areas of 5 cm<sup>2</sup> and 50 cm<sup>2</sup>. Tests are conducted with steady-state conditions (both constant voltage and constant current) and with dynamic conditions using power cycling to simulate a vehicle drive cycle. Measurements of polarization curves, membrane resistance, hydrogen cross-over and electrochemical surface area are made in situ to characterize those fundamental properties changing as a function of time. Effluent water analysis (elemental analysis, ionic content and pH) is conducted to monitor for degradation products. SEM/EDS, XRF, XRD, TEM, and neutron scattering are used to characterize changes in the membranes, gas diffusion layers and catalyst after durability testing. Porosimetry measurements of MEAs, membranes and gas diffusion layers are used to help define structural changes in material properties. IGC is used to identify relative humidity effects on the hydrophobic nature of gas diffusion layers. Contact angle measurements are used to monitor material hydrophobicity/hydrophillicity changes of materials. Off-line testing to develop accelerated testing techniques is used to help differentiate the contributions of

individual fuel cell components to the degradation of the overall performance.

#### Results

Durability Testing Simulating Automotive Drive Cycles

To best understand fuel cell durability for transportation applications, we have tested fuel cells simulating the power cycling observed in vehicles. Testing of this type is crucial, as previous results have shown the active surface area of fuel cell electrocatalyst decreases faster as the potential is cycled compared to constant potential or current testing [1,2]. In addition, other work has shown that fuel cell start/stop operations can have detrimental durability effects [3]. Figure 1 shows the energy production per cycle and high frequency resistance (HFR) during testing utilizing a 6 minute drive cycle defined by DOE, modified to include shut-down cycles every 10 cycles. Automated shut-down/start-up operation was conducted with air purge of both the anode and cathode to limit shutdown potentials and peroxide/radical formation. A similar rate of performance degradation was measured for parallel operating cells with and with-out the shutdown operation. These results suggest that fast dry air purge may be an acceptable method to limit fuel cell component degradation upon shut-down.

Figure 2 shows the cathode platinum particle size distribution after testing utilizing an automotive drive cycle, (US06 – 20 minutes per cycle) for ~2,000 hrs of operation. The cathode Pt particle size showed an increase in the particle size distribution by both



**FIGURE 1.** Drive cycle operation with shut-down/start-up every 10<sup>th</sup> cycle (6 minutes per cycle) (a) energy production per drive cycle (b) high frequency resistance (HFR). 1,000 hours of operation (~950 shut-down/start-ups). 50 cm<sup>2</sup>, Inlet RH = 50%, T<sub>cell</sub> = 80°C, Constant Stoichiometries 1.1/2.0, P = 20 psig, N1135.

XRD and TEM post-characterization. An average spherical particle size diameter of 5.0 nm was observed, however, there was a substantial particle population at significantly higher particle sizes. The particle size distribution changed from initially being log normal, to having a bi-modal Pt particle distribution. The bi-modal distribution shows a log normal distribution coupled with a large shoulder at higher particle sizes. During this testing, separate tests were conducted at 50% and 100% RH; the anode and cathode flow rates, humidification and temperature were held constant while the voltage was varied.

Several candidate mechanisms appear responsible for the loss of Pt surface area. Oxidation of the Pt occurs at voltages near the peak potential of a typical drive cycle. There is a corresponding change in solubility of Pt that leads to dissolution and re-precipitation and the catalyst potential is changed. Simple Brownian motion with an accompanying particle coalesence is also a Pt particle growth mechanism. A theoretical investigation has been initiated to elucidate the operative mechanisms at a molecular/atomistic resolution with the goal of providing insight for new designs to mitigate their effects. To model the bimodal distribution of catalyst particle growth, the particle growth was simulated with a kinetic rate in particle growth coupled to particle coalescence by particle Brownian motion in an ionomer matrix. Figure 3 shows an example of the types of particle size distribution that are obtained utilizing coupled kinetic and coalescence particle growth. Modeling shows particle growth with bi-modal particle distributions, not unlike experimental measurements.



**FIGURE 2.** TEM after 2,000 hours of testing with the US06 drive cycle. (a) initial and after testing for  $\sim$ 2,000 hrs with the US06 Drive Cycle at: (b) 50 % RH, (c) 100 % RH



FIGURE 3. Kinetic Growth Rate and Particle Coalescence

# Characterization of Changes in Chemical Properties of GDLs and MEAs

We previously have shown that GDLs change during operation in fuel cells [4]. To help identify the losses due to mass transport effects from changing GDL properties, we have modeled the losses during testing to quantify losses due to mass transport limitations. This modeling is based on calculating losses due to various components of the fuel cell, such as in equation (1):

$$V_{cell} = E_{eq}(T, p_{H_2}, p_{O_2}) - \eta_{ORR} - \eta_{\Omega, HFR} - \eta_{tx, elec} - \eta_{GDL-FF}$$
(1)

Where: the  $\eta_{\textit{ORR}}$  represents all kinetic and catalytic sources of the cathode activation polarization,  $\eta_{\Omega,HFR}$ is the total ohmic resistance of the single cell (sum of anode, cathode, and membrane),  $\eta_{\rm tx, elec}$  is losses within the cathode catalyst layer, and  $\eta_{GDL-FF}$  is mass-transport losses within the cathode GDL/flow-field. These losses are modeled in Figure 4 for a 1,000-hour steady-state test conducted. As observed in Figure 4, the losses due to changes in mass transport at high current densities can be significant, and is likely due to chemical changes in GDL materials. Modeling of mass-transport losses extrapolated to over-potential provides a method for analyzing performance losses (iR, ORR, MT) to quantitatively define losses to due changing mass-transport (changes in the GDL). This modeling allows for better understanding of long-term fuel cell test data, with definition of components leading to performance degradation.

To help understand the chemical changes that fuel cell carbon materials undergo, we have studied these materials and their properties by a number of methodologies. Figure 5 is an example of a fundamental study examining the interface between carbon and an ionomer coating of Nafion<sup>®</sup> by use of neutron scattering.



**FIGURE 4.** Modeling of component contribution losses during 1,000 hours of operation (Solid = 0 hr, Gray = 536 hr, White = 1,014 hr). Gore-Select 5620 MEA, SGL 24BC GDL, Current = 0.9 A/cm<sup>2</sup>, T<sub>cell</sub> = 80°C<sup>,</sup> P = 15/15 psig<sup>,</sup> RH = 75% / 75% Stoichiometries = 1.2/2.0 (A/C).



**FIGURE 5.** Neutron reflectivity parameters extracted from reflectivity model before/after sample aging (80 deg. C, air sparge) of Nafion<sup>®</sup> spin-coated onto a glassy carbon substrate.

This simulates catalyst support material interactions with typical coatings used in fuel cells. As shown in Figure 5, the carbon-oxide substrate layer thickness increases during aging in an air humidified environment. Increasing the carbon-oxide substrate increases the carbon surface hydrophilicity [5], thus helping explain why during aging GLD materials become more hydrophilic.

Other material property changes due to aging effects have been measured in membranes and MEAs, including



FIGURE 6. Contact angle measurements on cast Nafion<sup>®</sup> membrane before/after aging.

changes in porosity and hydrophobicity. Figure 6 shows contact angle measurements of cast Nafion<sup>®</sup> membrane before and after aging. The contact angle ( $\theta$ ) increases after aging – the membrane surface becomes more hydrophobic. In addition, the contact-angle slope magnitude decreases after aging ( $\Rightarrow$  decreased hydrophilicity); this indicates slower water uptake in the membrane. The spread between initial pre-aging  $\theta$ values increased after aging suggests localized chemical effects (possible loss of sulfonate sites).

#### Conclusions

- Fast air purge during shut-down/start-up appears to limit fuel cell performance degradation.
- Electrocatalyst surface area loss is due to the growth in platinum particle size.
  - Platinum particle size distribution can exhibit bi-modal particle size distributions after extended testing simulating automotive drive cycles.
  - Bi-modal particle size distributions can be simulated with a kinetic rate in particle growth coupled to particle coalescence by particle Brownian motion.
  - Particle size growth is exacerbated by operating with power cycling, compared with constant voltage operation.
  - Particle size growth occurs more rapidly at higher potentials.
- Hydrophobicity of GDL materials was measured to decrease rapidly with aging with air.
  - Modeling of GDL mass transport losses is an effective method of systematically quantifying individual component performances losses.

- Growth of a carbon-oxide surface layer has been measured by neutron reflectivity and is likely partially responsible for changes to GDL hydrophobicity.
- Membranes show changing hydrophobicity and water uptake rates upon aging.

# **Future Directions**

- Testing Utilizing Drive Cycle Operation
  - Examine shut-down/start-up effect on durability.
  - Examine durability with variable RH/(pressure) operation during drive cycles.
  - Measure carbon corrosion effects during shutdown/start-up, with water condensation and during cycling operation.
  - Make segmented cell measurements of durability during operation.
- Off-line and Accelerated Testing and Characterization
  - Membrane and GDL aging characterization (porosity, hydrophobicity).
  - In situ XRD catalyst particle size evaluation.
  - Examine 2010/2015 low loadings of electrocatalysts.
- Membrane Degradation and Radical (Peroxide) Formation
  - Measure peroxide/radical formation including operational effects such as RH, temperature, pressure, drive cycle, and electro-catalysts.

# FY 2006 Publications/Presentations

**1. PEM Electrode Durability Measurements,** J. Davey, R. Borup, and F. Garzon, Presented at the 208<sup>th</sup> meeting of the ECS, **2005**, October 16-21, Los Angeles, California.

 Methods of Analyzing Long-Term Performance Behavior and Degradation in H<sub>2</sub>-Air PEMFC, D. Wood, J. Davey, P. Atanassov, and R. Borup, Presented at the 208<sup>th</sup> meeting of the ECS, 2005, October 16-21, Los Angeles, California.

 Characterization of Gas Diffusion Layers and Membrane Electrode Assemblies for Long-Term
 Operation, D. Wood, J. Davey, F. Garzon, P. Atanassov, and R. Borup, Presented at the 208<sup>th</sup> meeting of the ECS, 2005, October 16-21, Los Angeles, California.

**4.** Fuel Cell Catalyst Particle Size Growth Characterized by X-Ray Scattering Methods, F. H. Garzon, J. Davey, and R. Borup, Presented at the 208<sup>th</sup> meeting of the ECS, **2005**, October 16-21, Los Angeles, California.

5. Mass-Transport Phenomena and Long-Term Performance Limitations in H2-Air PEMFC Durability Testing, D. Wood, J. Davey, P. Atanassov, and R. Borup, Presented at the Fuel Cell Seminar, 2005, Palm Springs, CA, November 14-18, 2005.

**6.** Durability Issues of the PEMFC GDL and MEA Under Steady-State and Drive-Cycle Operating Conditions, R. Borup, J. Davey, S. Pacheco, D. Wood,\* J. Xie, M. Inbody and F. Garzon, P. Atanassov, Presented at the Fuel Cell Seminar, 2005, Palm Springs, CA, November 14-18, 2005 (Best Poster award for 2004).

#### 7. PEM Fuel Cell R&D at Los Alamos National

**Laboratory**, Borup et al., 2<sup>nd</sup> International Forum on Clean Fuel Cells, Mokpo City, Republic of S. Korea, November 8, 2005.

#### 8. PEM Fuel Cell R&D at Los Alamos National

**Laboratory**, Borup et al., 2005 Korea National Workshop for New & Renewable Energy, Seoul, Republic of S. Korea, November 11, 2005.

9. Durability of PEM Electrocatalysts and Gas Diffusion Media, R. Borup, J. Davey, D. Wood,
F. Garzon, M. Inbody, Fuel Cells Durability, December 8, 2005 - Omni Shoreham Hotel, Washington, D.C.

#### 10. PEM Fuel Cell Electrocatalyst Durability

**Measurements**, R. Borup, J. Davey, F. Garzon, D. Wood, and M. Inbody, Accepted for publication in J. Power Sources, 2006.

# 11. PEM Fuel Cell Durability, R. Borup,

D. Wood, J. Davey, P. Welch, F. Garzon, 2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review, Arlington, VA, May 18, 2006.

#### References

**1.** R. Borup, M. Inbody, J. Davey, D. Wood, F. Garzon, J. Tafoya, J. Xie and S. Pacheco, "PEM Fuel Cell Durability," DOE Hydrogen Program, FY 2004 Progress Report.

**2.** Kinetic model of platinum dissolution in PEM fuel cells. Darling, Robert M.; Meyers, Jeremy P. Proceedings -Electrochemical Society (2005), Volume Date 2002, 2002-31 (Proton Conducting Membrane Fuel Cells III), 44-53.

**3.** Effects of on/off cycles on the degradation of PEM fuel cells, Cho, EunAe; Lee, Jin-Hwa; Kim, Hyoung-Juhn; Lee, Sang-Yeop; Lim, Tae-Hoon; Oh, In-Hwan; Hong, Seong-Ahn, Proceedings of the 1st European Fuel Cell Technology and Applications Conference 2005 - Book of Abstracts; p.12, December 14-16, 2005; Rome, Italy.

**4.** Borup, J. Davey, D. Wood, F. Garzon and M. Inbody, "PEM Fuel Cell Durability," DOE Hydrogen Program, FY 2005 Progress Report.

**5.** Dawe and Stevens, Proceedings of 4<sup>th</sup> Conference on Carbon, Permagon, pg 17, 1960.

# **Special Recognitions & Awards**

**1.** David Wood III, received honorable mention for the 2005 Dr. Bernard S. Baker Student Award for Fuel Cell Research, 2005 Fuel Cell Seminar in Palm Springs, CA in November.