Autothermal Cyclic Reforming Based Hydrogen Generating & Dispensing System

Ravi Kumar GE Global Research

23<sup>rd</sup> May 2005

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information



imagination at work



Project ID # PD3

# Overview

#### Timeline

- Start Jan 2002
- Finish Dec 2005
- 85% Complete



- Total project funding
  - DOE \$2,382K
  - Contractor \$1,812K
- Funding received in FY04
  - \$650K
- Funding for FY05
  - \$650K
- Funding reduced Fabrication & operation of H2 compressor, storage & dispenser removed from scope



#### **Barriers**

- Barriers
  - > A. Fuel Processor Capital Costs
  - > B. Fuel Processor Manufacturing
  - > C. Operation & Maintenance
  - > E. CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions
  - > F. Control & Safety
  - > L. Durability
  - > M. Impurities
- Targets production & dispensing

	2003	2005	2010
Efficiency (LHV)	65	65	75

#### **Partners**

- Praxair Purifier
- University of California at Irvine Host Site

## **Objectives**

Overall	<ul> <li>Design a generating &amp; refueling system that can meet the DOE cost target of &lt;\$3.00/gge</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Fabricate and operate an integrated 60 kg of H<sub>2</sub>/day generating system</li> </ul>
2004	<ul> <li><u>High-pressure</u> reformer &amp; pressure swing adsorber (PSA)</li> </ul>
	– Design
	<ul> <li>Fabrication &amp; Installation</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Compression, storage &amp; dispensing system</li> </ul>
	– Design
2005	Permitting
	<ul> <li><u>High-pressure</u> reformer &amp; PSA</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Integration &amp; Operation</li> </ul>
	Update economic analysis

## **Technical Approach**

Reformer	Minimize capital cost
	<ul> <li>Design for 1000s of cold start cycles</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Modeling of advanced control systems for stabilizing temperature and flows</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Catalyst durability – thermal/RedOx cycles</li> </ul>
	Increase methane conversion
Shift	Increase CO conversion
Pressure Swing	<ul> <li>Impurities – CO, Sulfur</li> </ul>
Adsorber	<ul> <li>&gt;75% recovery of Hydrogen</li> </ul>
Safety &	Gas Sensors – Lower Explosive Limit (LEL)
Permitting	Seismic zone 4 classifications
	Class I Div II explosion proof electrical



#### Autothermal Cyclic Reforming Process



## High Pressure ACR Reactor Design Meets the Performance Targets

CTQ	Targets	Current Status (modeling)
ASME: Outer shell temperature	< 400°C	~ 300°C
Cold start cycles to failure	> 1,000	12,984
Reforming cycles	> 2E+6	5.8E+7
CH <sub>4</sub> slip	< 10%	< 5%
Heat loss from the reactor	< 5 kW	3.4 kW

**3D Modeling** 

- Thermal
- Mechanical Stresses
- Reactions







6 / GE / 26-Apr-05



#### Developed Dynamic Cascade Control Algorithms for Stabilizing the Process

Model Results at High Pressure



7 / GE / 26-Apr-05



#### **Reformer and PSA - Fabrication Status**

- Next generation high pressure reformer and H<sub>2</sub> purifier fabricated and installed at University of California at Irvine
- Upgraded to ASME stamped pressure vessels & class I div II electrical





#### H<sub>2</sub> Compression & Dispensing System

#### Design completed -Praxair





10 / GE / 26-Apr-05

#### Hydrogen Compressor Skid Design

Ventilation system prevents the accumulation of H<sub>2</sub>





#### Hydrogen Storage – Elevated Design Strategy

- Leaks will quickly rise and dissipate.
- Out of the line of sight
- Design completed



Discussed Area Classification w/ Fire Marshal Only 3' around reformer & PSA is Class I Div II



#### Safety Case Studies

- Rupture of all vessels and room blower is off
  - >Will reach 10% of Lower Flammability Limit (LFL)
- Sensors shut down system, if
  - >20% LFL is reached
    >Room blower is off



## Permitting

- Fire Marshal
  - > Hired 4 professional engineers certified by State of California – mechanical, electrical, structural, chemical
  - > Best practices from National Fire Protection Agency (NPFA) 50A, 52 & 497
  - > 99% complete
- Campus Architect (Completed)
- South Coast Air Quality Management District (Completed)



#### Responses to Previous Year Reviewer's Comments

- High pressure reforming vs. low pressure reforming with compression decision
  - Compressing natural gas vs. compressing syngas is more efficient and more cost effective
- Current lifetime of catalyst is 3 months
  - Designed reactor to replace catalyst in a day
- Performance goal and detailed operation plan needs to be worked out
  - Developed advanced controls and tested them in the process model
  - Low pressure reformer was stable for extended periods of time during experimental runs



## **Technical Approach for 2005**

Safety	• HAZOP – UCI, Praxair & GE
	<ul> <li>Independent peer review</li> </ul>
Reformer process	<ul> <li>Cascade dynamic control algorithm being optimized in a model</li> </ul>
control	<ul> <li>Monitor temperature, pressure &amp; flows</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Methane conversion</li> </ul>
Reformer	Thermal cycles
catalyst durability	<ul> <li>Reduction/Oxidation cycles</li> </ul>
H <sub>2</sub> impurities	CO & Sulfur
System	<ul> <li>Load changes – 20 to 60 kg/day</li> </ul>
Optimization	<ul> <li>Optimize efficiency</li> </ul>
	Optimize startup times



## Remaining Project Tasks -Completion by Dec 2005

	Task Name									
		2nd (	Quarte	r	3rd Q	uarter		4th Q	uarter	
		Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	Optimize dynamic process control model									
2	Fire marshal approval									
3	Fabrication - electrical/structural			h.						
4	Shakedown				L					
5	Operation of reformer+shift									
6	Integration & operation of PSA									
7	System optimization									
8	Update cost models									
9	Final report									



#### **Supplemental Slides**



### **Publications and Presentations**

- Patent # 6,878,362 Issued to GE
- Patent # 6,792,981 Issued to Praxair
- World Hydrogen Energy Conference, Yokohama, Japan - June 27<sup>th</sup> – July 2<sup>nd</sup> 2004



## Hydrogen Safety

- H<sub>2</sub>, CO and natural gas leaks electrical spark
- Pressure vessel rupture
- Piping rupture
- Earthquakes
- Structural failures
- Over-pressurization or high temperatures



## Hydrogen Safety

H <sub>2</sub> , CO and natural gas leaks	<ul> <li>Class I Div II electrical</li> <li>Intrinsically safe electrical barriers</li> <li>Lower explosive limit (LEL) sensors</li> </ul>
	CO sensors
	<ul> <li>Emergency egress lights</li> </ul>
	Alarm to 24 hr monitoring station
	<ul> <li>Electrical shunt trips</li> </ul>
Pressure vessel rupture	<ul> <li>ASME stamped vessels</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Design structural supports to seismic zone 4 specifications</li> </ul>
Piping rupture	ANSI B31.3 codes
Over-pressurization or high temperatures	<ul> <li>Pressure and temperature switches</li> </ul>



## Ackowledgements

- Department of Energy
  - > Arlene Anderson, Mark Paster, Peter Devlin and Sig Gronich
- California Energy Commission
  - > Avtar Bining and Art Soinski
- California Air Resources Board
  - > Steve Church

