

Sub-Nanostructured Non-Transition Metal Complex Grids for Hydrogen Storage

Orhan Talu

Cleveland State University

May 23, 2005

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

Project ID #
ST7

Overview

Timeline

- Project start date: 1/20/04 (contractual)
April 2004 (actual)
- Project end date: 1/19/07
- Percent Completed: 30 %

Budget

- Project funding: \$1,201,862
 - DOE share: \$ 959,317
 - Contractor share: \$ 242,545
- Funding received in FY04: \$ 310,407
- Funding for FY05: \$ 190,000

Overview (con.)

- **DOE On-Board Hydrogen Storage Barriers**
 - C. Efficiency
 - D. Durability
 - E. Refueling Time
 - M. Hydrogen Capacity and Reversibility
 - N. Lack of Understanding of Hydrogen Physisorption and Chemisorption

Partners

- Steven M. Kuznicki, U. of Alberta; zeolite chemistry
- Suha Yazici, Graftec; electrochemistry
- David Sholl, Carnegie Mellon U.; computational (pending)

OBJECTIVE

- To develop the techniques to make nanostructured continuous three-dimensional metal alloy grid for hydrogen storage
- To make and characterize the grids for state-of-art alloys

TECHNICAL APPROACH


1. Use nanoporous (e.g. zeolite) templates as mold on cathode
2. Electrodeposit metals in the pores to grow nanostructured grids
3. Dissolve the mold and recover the metal grid

WHY NANOSTRUCTURE ?

- Large surface area increase H₂ dissociation rate
- Smaller diffusion distance increase H-transport rate in metal
- Flexible/open structure decrease decrepitation by cycling
- Energy release/absorption & mass/energy transfer throughout matrix improve heat transfer characteristics
- Large surface area increase storage capacity by physical adsorption
- Possible Increase in capacity by quantum effects ? (30-50 atom clusters)

Increase dynamic hydrogen uptake !

Example: Mg grown in faujisite



Cubic superlattice;
 $a = 2.4 \text{ nm}$

Characteristic
length (pore or
solid);
 $L = 1 \text{ nm}$

Porosity;
 $\varepsilon = 0.5$

Surface area;
 $\tau = 500 \text{ m}^2/\text{gm}$

Expected improvements


Base case: 1 mm solid metal particle

	Comment		Increase
H₂ dissociation rate	scales with 1/L		10 ⁶
H diffusion rate	scales with ε/L		0.5x10 ⁶
Energy flux in metal	$k(1-\varepsilon)\delta^2T/\delta x^2$ <i>conduction</i> lower ε	$(\varepsilon D\delta C/\delta x)*C_p\delta\Delta T/\delta x$ <i>(mass flux)*enthalpy</i> additional, small	$r^s(\Delta H+C_p\Delta T)$ <i>reaction</i> additional, large
Physical adsorption	Scales with 1/L		10 ⁶

ISSUES/QUESTIONS

- Volumetric capacity reduced by a factor of ε compared to bulk metal
- Will the metal sinter during cycling?


TASKS



TASK 1: Mold/Cathode Fabrication


Least
Attractive

1. Multicrystal particles compacted on a metallic substrate.
2. Multicrystal film of inter-grown crystals in-situ synthesized on a metallic substrate.
3. Multicrystal film containing large oriented crystals grown on a metallic substrate.
4. Very large single nanoporous crystal grown on a metallic substrate ?



Most
Attractive


Electrodeposition in compacted powder





C	31 at%
O	43
Cu	4
Al	9.9
Si	12.6

IN CREVICE

C	45 at%
O	30.4
Cu	2.3
Al	9.5
Si	12.8



C	24.4 at%
O	46.5
Cu	5.4
Al	10.5
Si	13.3



C	28.8 at%
O	42.8
Cu	3.9
Al	11.1
Si	13.4

Pressed faujisite layer

Cathode nickel wire-mesh

Anode far away in solution


TASK 1.1 Compaction Summary

- Faujite powder (Si/Al=1.4, 1 μm particle) exchanged to Cu compacted on Ni mesh used as cathode
- Cu electrodeposited in the pores


- EDX shows Cu enrichment in zeolite crystals
- Cu/Al ratios: 0.51 left (closest to anode), 0.4 top, 0.35 right
- excessive Cu growth in between zeolite particles (like tree roots in stony soil)

- *electrodeposition in zeolite particles works*
- *hard to prevent growth in between particles*

Anodized Ti-cathode for in-situ growth





- NaOH treatment at 60 C, cure at 500 C
- TiO₂ is formed (anatase)
- Ti metal is also exposed
- Texture 0.1 to 10 μm, controlled by treatment conditions (0.1 μm shown)





- Purple = TiO₂ (for adhesion of zeolite)
- Blue = Ti metal (for electrical conductivity)

Faujite growths on anodized-Ti








Same chemistry, different magnification

Two other chemistry's



picked the best
adhesion
chemistry for
electrodeposition

Electrodeposition in synthesized zeolite film



Task 1.2 Anodized Ti + Faujite + Cu-deposition

- Metal (Ti) is microstructured to TiO_2 to promote zeolite film growth with good adhesion
 - Cu is electrodeposited in zeolite pores
-
- ***No Cu growth between particles***
 - ***“mushroom” overgrowth will be prevented***
 - ***Need to grow thicker films***
 - ***Try also anodized aluminum***

TASK 2: Electrodeposition

- Vast electroplating/electrodeposition literature is primarily geared to optimize the uniformity¹ of thin films² on large smooth³ cathode surfaces of a different⁴ metal.
- We are trying to deposit non-uniform¹, “thick” bulk² metal in small nanopores³ of a non-conducting material. (The cathode/anode conductor can be the same⁴ metal.)


*Need to fine-tune electrodeposition
at nano-scale.*

Electrodeposition in track-etched polycarbonate films (PCF)

- Commercially available membranes used as cathode mold
- Non-conducting polycarbonate “mold” (like zeolites)
- Pore sizes from 1 μm , down to 0.015 μm = 15 nm (150x larger than zeolite pores, hard to scale)
- Film thickness up to 10 μm (zeolite crystal size, close scale)
- Porosity up to 10% (less than zeolites, easy to scale)


Analyze effects of electrolyte chemistry, deposition conditions, and mold characteristics for electrodeposition at nanoscale

Cu deposit in 10 μm holes of PCF



Solution side at 1,000x

Caps coalesce, diameter closer to 10 μm



Cathode conductor side at 1,000x

No growth on Au coating

Holes in center of deposited Cu suggest inwards growth from walls

Task 2: Electrodeposition fine tuning



- PCF as “proxy” to fine tune electrodeposition parameters
- Identified controlling radial versus axial growth
- Significant effect of pore size

Future

- Extrapolate to nanoscale electro deposition (below 15 nm)
- Bring-in H₂ hydride electrochemistry

RESULTS

HRTEM images of
faujite after Cu
electrodeposition




- 1 nm lines spaced at 1 nm
- No such lines in normal faujite images

(Courtesy of Hitachi High Technologies America)

RESULTS

HRTEM of Cu
“mushrooms”
grown from
nanometer
pores



MCM.005.tif
MCM
Print Mag: 126000x @ 7.0 in
10:47 03/31/05

100 nm
HV=100kV
Direct Mag: 50000x
AMT Camera System

Next Year Activities

Remainder FY05:

- Multicrystal thicker zeolite grown on metals (Ti and Al)
- Oriented large crystal growth of nanoporous materials on cathodes
- Extrapolation of size effect on electrodeposition
- Switch from Cu to Pd (and Mg?) electrochemistry
- Characterization by HRTEM, STEM, AFM/STM, EDX

Next Year Activities (Con.)

FY06:

- Mold removal, grid recovery and surface area measurements
- Pure palladium (and magnesium?) for hydrogen storage testing
- Mixed metal electrodeposition chemistry

Auxiliary Slides

- **Publications and Presentations**

2 papers under review, 1 presentation scheduled for AIChE Annual meeting in Cincinnati, OH (Nov. 2005)

- **The most significant hydrogen hazard associated with this project is:**

The experiments to date did not require hydrogen. Limited uptake rate and equilibrium measurements will be performed in FY06 in our Rubotherm © magnetic suspension balance using small/lab quantities of H₂ vented into fume-hood.

- **Our approach to deal with this hazard is:**

(Not applicable)