2005 DOE Hydrogen, Fuel Cells & Infrastructure Technologies Program Review

NextEnergy Microgrid and Hydrogen Fueling Facility

David McLean NextEnergy Center May 25, 2005

ENERGY



Project ID#

This presentation does not contain any propriety or confidential information

Overview

Timeline

- Project start date: January 3, 2005
- Project end date: October 10, 2007
- Percent Complete: 17% at April 29, 2005

Budget

- Total Project Funding: \$3,923,995.00
 - DOE Share \$1,926,744.00
 - Contractor Share \$1,997,251.00
- FY04 Funding Received: \$0
- FY05 Funding: \$774,855.00

Partners

- DOE
- State of Michigan
- City of Detroit
- Wayne State University

Project ID#





Barriers

- The NextEnergy Hydrogen Station will contribute to alleviating the DOE listed barriers related to:
- Technology Validation: directly in the areas of Hydrogen Refueling Infrastructure and Codes and Standards (Section 3.5.4.2 Parts C & E).
- Hydrogen Production: indirectly by providing a flexible validation platform for all forms of hydrogen generators (Section 3.1.4.2.1 Parts A – F and Section 3.1.4.2.3 Parts G & H).
- Safety: directly by providing safety data based on real operating experiences of this multi-use, multi-feedstock platform (Section 3.7.4.2 Parts A, B, D).





Objectives

- To support the DOE "Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation Project" in the greater Detroit area.
- To integrate, within a core urban environment, critical hydrogen infrastructure components and systems for multi-use operations.
- To optimize integrated, system-based solutions to advance hydrogen infrastructure for vehicular and stationary distributed power generation use.
- To provide a flexible "test" platform to advance the development and validation of commercial-type on-site hydrogen generation technologies.

Proiect ID#



Approach

- Develop the hydrogen station in 5 phases to match the forecasted needs of the station's users:
 - Phase 1 supply hydrogen to the NextEnergy Center Microgrid via tube trailers to fuel the hydrogen-based products (i.e. fuel cells & engine-generator sets).
 - Phase 2 supply hydrogen to a packaged vehicle fuelling system via tube trailers (in co-operation with a vehicle OEM and global energy supplier).
 - Phase 3 install permanent storage and the associated equipment such as the Gas Control Panel, the hydrogen compressor, the electrical switch gear and control & communication equipment.







- Phase 4 install 5 hydrogen generator "test bays" and fill one test bay with equipment that will allow NextEnergy to produce ultra-high purity hydrogen on-site for use in OEM "fuel cell" vehicles.
- Phase 5 install one additional high purity on-site hydrogen generator.

Technical Accomplishments / Progress / Results


- Engineering design commenced January 3, 2005.
- Engineering design for Phase 1 completed February 1, 2005.
- Preliminary engineering design, equipment specification and installation specification for Phases 1 through 5 completed to support the revised Project Budget submitted to DOE March 28, 2005.
- Phase 1 work on track to be completed April 29, 2005.

Proiect D#

Technical Accomplishments / Progress / Results

NEXTENERGY


Engineering design for Phase 1 completed February 1, 2005



Technical Accomplishments / Progress / Results

NEXTENERGY


Engineering design for Phase 1 completed February 1, 2005



Technical Accomplishments / Progress / Results

NEXTENERGY

Revised budget for Phase 1 through 5 submitted to DOE March 28, 2005




Project ID# TVP 3

10

NEXTÉNERGY

Technical Accomplishments / Progress / Results

Preliminary engineering design and equipment & installation specification for Phases 1 through 5 submitted to DOE March 28, 2005



Project ID# TVP 3

11


Technical Accomplishments / Progress / Results

Revised budget for Phases 1 through 5 submitted to DOE March 28, 2005

LUCKAL		CE	2. DATE SUBMIT	TED	1.4.	Version 9/					
March 15,			March 15, 2008	5	4	Applicant Identifier					
Application	DF SUBMISSION: 3. DATE RECEIN			ED BY STATE	SI	State Application Identifier					
Construct Non-cons		Construction	4. DATE RECEIV	VED BY FEDERAL AGENCY Federal Identifier							
	NextEnergy		Organizational Unit:								
			Department:								
Organizationa Address:	I DUNS: 1359	63838	Division:								
Address: Street: 3011 W. Grand Blvd. Suite 320				Name and telephone number of person to be contacted on matte Involving this application (give area code)							
City: Detroi			Prefix: Ms. First Name: Lori								
County: Way			Middle Name: Elizabeth								
State: MI		710 0 - 10 - 10 - 00	Last Name: Koenig								
		Zip Code: 48202	Suffix:								
Country: USA		ION NUMBER (EIN):		Emsil: lorik@nexte							
0 2	0 6 4 8		Phone Number (give area code) FAX Number (give area 313-873-9260 313-873-9256								
	APPLICATION:	w Continuation	Revision			back of form for Application Types)					
f Revision, en	ter appropriate i form for description	atter(a) in how(ea)	O Nonprofit Org.	(Other th	an Inst. of Higher Edu)						
ven of t	or in for description			Other (specify)							
Other (specify	,			9. NAME OF FEDER	L AGENC	Y:					
0. CATALO	G OF FEDERAL	DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NU		Department of En 11. DESCRIPTIVE TIT	LE OF API	PLICANTS PROJECT:					
		81-0		11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANTS PROJECT: NextEnergy Center Microgrid and Hydrogen Fueling							
TITLE (Name	of Program) Re	newable Energy Researc	h and	Facility							
12. AREAS A	FFECTED BY P oit, State of M	ROJECT (Cities, Countries, Sta	ates, etc.)	1							
3. PROPOSE	ED PROJECT		14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:								
	Ending Date 03/08										
9/03				a. Applicant MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick	eks	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks					
9/03	03/08 ED FUNDING:			MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick	SUBJECT 1	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick					
9/03 5. ESTIMATI	ED FUNDING:		1,926,744 co	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 16. IS APPLICATION 1 ORDER 12372 PR	SUBJECT 1	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE					
9/03 5. ESTIMATI Federal	ED FUNDING:		1,926,744.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 16. IS APPLICATION 1 ORDER 12372 PR 0. YES. THIS PRI AVAILAB	EAPPLICAT	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick O REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ION/APPLICATION WAS MADE IE STATE EXECUTIVE OPDER					
99/03 5. ESTIMATI Federal . Applicant	ED FUNDING:	\$	1,997,251.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 16. IS APPLICATION I ORDER 12372 PR a. YES. THIS PRI AVAILAB 12372 PROCES	EAPPLICAT	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick O REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ION/APPLICATION WAS MADE IE STATE EXECUTIVE OPDER					
99/03 5. ESTIMATI Federal Applicant State	ED FUNDING:			MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 16. IS APPLICATION I ORDER 12372 PR e. YES. THIS PRI AVAILAB 12372 PROCES DATE:	BUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH IS FOR RET	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick O REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE TOWAPPUCATION WAS MADE ite STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VIEW ON					
99/03 5. ESTIMATI 1. Federal 1. Applicant 2. State 2. Local	ED FUNDING:		1,997,251.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 16. IS APPLICATION I ORDER 12372 PR e. YES. THIS PRI AVAILAB 12372 PROCES DATE:	BUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH IS FOR RET	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick O REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ION/APPLICATION WAS MADE IE STATE EXECUTIVE OPDER					
99/03 s. ESTIMATO Federal Applicant State Local Other	ED FUNDING:	\$\$	1,997,251.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 16. IB APPLICATION 1 ORDER 12372 PR 8. YES. I THIS PR 12372 PROCES DATE: b. NO. Ø PROGRA O R PROC	BUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH IS FOR RET M IS NOT (BRAM HAS VIEW	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick O REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE IOWAPPELCATION WAS MADE 4E STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VEW ON COVERED BY E.O. 12372 NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE					
99/03 5. ESTIMATU 1. Federal 1. Applicant 2. State 2. Local 2. Other	ED FUNDING:	\$\$	1,997,251.00 .00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 16. IB APPLICATION 1 ORDER 12372 PR 8. YES. I THIS PR 12372 PROCES DATE: b. NO. Ø PROGRA O R PROC	BUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH IS FOR RET M IS NOT (BRAM HAS VIEW	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick O REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE IOWAPPELCATION WAS MADE & STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VIEW ON 20VERED BY E.0. 12372					
09/03 5. ESTIMATI 5. Federal 6. Applicant 5. State 6. Local 6. Other 7. Program Inco 7. OTAL	ED FUNDING:	8 8 8 8 8	1,997,251.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 3.923,995.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 14. IS APPUCATION ORDER 12372 PR 12372 PROCES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGRA ☐ OR PROC FOR RE 17. IS THE APPUCAN	BUBJECT 1 OCE99? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH IS FOR REI M IS NOT (BRAM HAS VIEW T DELINQU	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick IO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE IONAPPLICATION WAS MADE 45 STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VIEW ON COVERED BY E.0. 12372 NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE ENT ON ANY PEDERAL DEBT?					
99/03 5. ESTIMATI 5. ESTIMATI 6. Applicant 5. Local 6. Local 7. Other 7. Other 7. Other 7. Other 8. TO THE E 0. CUMENT H TLACHED AS	DFUNDING:	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	1,997,251.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 3,923,995.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 14. Iš APPLICATION ORDER 12372 PR VISL. THIS PR AVALLAS DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROCES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROCES DATE: 17. IS THE APPLICAN 17. IS THE APPLICAN	SUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH S FOR RE M IS NOT (SRAM HAS VIEW T DELINQU	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick IO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE IOWAPPLICATION WAS MADE the STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VIEW ON COVERED BY E.O. 12372 NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE ENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT?					
99/03 5. ESTIMATI 5. Federal Applicant 5. State Local Cother Program Ino TOTALE 8. TO THE 6. TO THE 6. TO ALE 1. Authorized R Authorized R	D FUNDING:	S NOWLEDGE AND BELIEF A Y AUTHORIZED BY THE GO	1,997,251.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 3,923,995.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 16. IS APPLICATION ORDER 12372 PR 12372 PROCES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGES PROF PROF PROF PROF PROF PROF PROF PROF	SUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH S FOR RET M IS NOT (BRAM HAS VIEW T DELINQU Stach an exp ICATION A THE APPL	D. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick IOR REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ION/APPLICATION WAS MADE IE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VEW ON SOVERED BY E.O. 12372 NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE ENY ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? Stanaion. ⊠ No RE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE ICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE					
99/03 5. ESTIMATI Federal Applicant State Local Other Program Inc. TOTAL 8. TO THE E OCUMENT HTTACHED AS Authorized R refix MS.	D FUNDING:	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	1,997,251.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 3,923,995.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 14. IS APPLICATION ORDER 12372 PR 12372 PROCES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGES IT: IS THE APPLICAN' Yes If Yes,' a PPULCATION/REAPPL THE APPLICANT AND	SUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH S FOR RET M IS NOT (BRAM HAS VIEW T DELINQU Itach an exp ICATION A THE APPL	D. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick IOR REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ION/APPLICATION WAS MADE IE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VEW ON SOVERED BY E.O. 12372 NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE ENY ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? Stanaion. ⊠ No RE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE ICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE					
9/03 S. ESTIMATI Federal Applicant State Local Other Program Inco TOTAL S. TO THE E OCUMENT Authorized R efix Ms. st Name Kc	D FUNDING:	S S NOWLEDGE AND BELIEF A Y AUTHORIZED BY THE GO'	1,997,251.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 3,923,995.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 14. IS APPLICATION ORDER 1372 PR ■. YES. THIS PR 12372 PROCES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGRA FOR PE 17. IS THE APPLICANT THE APPLICANT AND Midd Sum	SUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH S FOR RET M IS NOT (BRAM HAS VIEW T DELINQU Stach an ext ICATION A THE APPL The Name E X	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Kilpatrick IOR REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE IONAPPLICATION WAS MADE IE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VIEW ON DOVERED BY E.O. 12372 NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE ENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT7 Stanston. SA NO RET FUE AND CORRECT. THE ICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE Silzabeth UNDER (give area code)					
99/03 5. ESTIMATI Federal Applicant State Local Other Program Inco TOTAL 5. TOTAL 5. TOTAL 5. TOTAE OCUMENT TTACHED As Authorized Refix Ms. Sat Name Kc Titis Chlef	ED FUNDING:	S S S NOWLEDGE AND BELIEF A Y AUTHORIZED BY THE GO' Trel Name Lori	1,997,251.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 3,923,995.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 14. IS APPLICATION ORDER 1372 PR ■. YES. THIS PRI 12372 PROCES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGRA PROF PROF 17. IS THE APPLICANT THE APPLICANT AND Midd Sum PPLICATION/REAPPL Midd Sum Fax B Fax	SUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH S FOR RET S FOR RET M IS NOT (GRAM HAS VIEW T DELINQU (tach an exp ICATION A THE APPL CATION A THE APPL CATION A S	b. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Kilpatrick IOR REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE IONAPPLICATION WAS MADE IE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VIEW ON DOVERED BY E.O. 12372 NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE ENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT7 Stanston. SA NO RET FUE AND CORRECT. THE ICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE Silzabeth UNDER (give area code)					
15. ESTIMATI 15. ESTIMATI 16. Federal 16. Applicant 17. State 17. Coher 18. Coher 19. Coher 19. ToTAL 19. ToTAL 19. ToTALE 19. ToTALE 19. ToTALE 19. ToTALE 19. ToTALE 19. ToTALE 19. ToTALE 19. Total 19.	DED FUNDING:	S NOWLEDGE AND BELIEF A VAUTHORIZED BY THE GOV VAUTHORIZED BY THE GOV First Name Lori ficer	1,997,251.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 3,923,995.00	MI-13 Carolyn Che Kilpatrick 14. Iš APPLICATION ORDER 12372 PR 12372 PROCES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGEA DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGEA DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGEA PROCES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGEA PROCES DATE: b. NO. ☑ PROGEA DATE: b. NO. Ø PROG	SUBJECT 1 OCESS? EAPPLICAT LE TO TH IS FOR RET M IS NOT (3RAM HAS VIEW F DELINQU tach an exp CATION A THE APPL CATION A THE APPL CATION A 873-9260 873-9260	D. Project MI-13 Carolyn Cheeks Klipatrick IOR REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ION APPLICATION WAS MADE IE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER VEW ON SCOVERED BY E.O. 12372 NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE ENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT7 Startalion. ☑ No RET RUE AND CORRECT. THE IGANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE Silzabeth Umber (give area code)					

Technical Accomplishments / Progress / Results

Phase 1 work on track to be completed April 29, 2005



Technical Accomplishments / Progress / Results

Phase 1 work on track to be completed April 29, 2005









Responses to Previous Year Reviewer's Comments

1. When will NextEnergy complete the management planning for the Hydrogen Station and begin the engineering design and construction work?

NextEnergy's view of the mission of the Hydrogen Station has shifted from a static equipment design complemented with a liquid hydrogen storage system to one of a more dynamic equipment design that will facilitate the research and development efforts of any on-site hydrogen generator manufacturer who chooses to work with us.

This change has been reflected in the current design and captured in the revised budget submission submitted to DOE on March 28, 2005. Work is now underway and construction of Phase 1 should be completed by April 29, 2005.

Project ID#

Responses to Previous Year Reviewer's Comments

2. How is the Hydrogen Station integrated into the rest of the NextEnergy Center?

The NextEnergy Center has 4 major components:

- The Exhibition, Auditorium and Training area.
- The Laboratory area with up to 7 discrete labs.
- The Microgrid with 8 "test bays" with the capability to generate up to 1 megawatt of 3 phase electrical power.
- The Hydrogen Station with 5 "test bays" with the capability to generate hydrogen on-site, to accept purchased hydrogen, to store up to 400kg at 250 barg in gaseous form, to dispense hydrogen to vehicles, to supply hydrogen to the Microgrid and to supply hydrogen to the 7 labs.

Responses to Previous Year Reviewer's Comments

3. Is NextEnergy capable of handling 10,000 psig (700 barg) hydrogen for vehicles?

Yes, the current design specifies dispensing at 5,000 psig (350 barg). We are accomplishing this task by utilizing a packaged vehicle fueler that accepts hydrogen at up to 2,400 psig (165 barg).

In the future, we are positioned to "unplug" the 350 barg fueler and "plug in" a 700 barg fueler. The remainder of the upstream assets will remain unchanged.

Responses to Previous Year Reviewer's Comments

4. Has NextEnergy embarked on an education and outreach program?

Yes, NextEnergy has engaged several Authorities Having Jurisdiction including the State of Michigan Fire Marshal's Office and Department of Environmental Quality and the City of Detroit Fire Marshal's Office and Building Department.

NextEnergy is working with the following institutions to deliver alternative energy credit courses; the University of Michigan, Wayne State University, Oakland University, Lawrence Technical University, Kettering University, Northwest Michigan College and Lansing Community College.

Project ID#

Future Work (through May 31, 2006)

- Complete Phases 2 & 3.
- Complete the Engineering Design, Equipment Selection and Procurement for Phase 4.

Future Work (through May 31, 2006)

NextEnergy Construction Schedule														
					July	Januarj		July	Janua		July	January	July	Ja
ID	Task Name Project Start	Duration 716 days	Skarl Mon 01/03/05	Finish Mon 10/01/07	06/20 09/12	12/05 02/	27 05	22 08/14	1 1/06 0 1/2	9 0 4/23	3 07/16 10/08	12/31 03/29	06/17 09/09	9 12/02
<u>'</u>							<u></u>							
2	PhaseOne	86 d a y c	Mon 01/03/06	Fr1 0 4/2 9 /06		<u> </u>								
3	Phase 1 detailed design and Phase 2, 3, 4, 5 preliminary design for DOE filing	∔1days	M on 0 1/03/05	M on 02/28/05										
+	Equipmen i Selection & Procuremen i	2 ∔days	Tue 02/15/05	Fri 03/18/05		ľ								
5	Build Out	20 days	Mon 03/21/05	FrI 0 4/15/05		ľ	1							
6	Skar Hup, Com⊦missioning & Sile Acceptance Tesling	10 days	M on 0 4/ 18/05				T							
7	Phase Two	160 day s	Mon 06/06/06	Fr1 12/30/06			T							
8	Delalied Design	∔O days	M on 06/06/05	Fri 07/29/05			Ĩ	L	Ī					···
9	Equipmen i Selection & Procuremen i	65 days	Mion 08/01/05	Frl 10/28/05					L					
10	Build Out	25 days	Mon 10/31/05	Frl 12/02/05					.					···
11	Star Fup, Commissioning & Sile Acceptance Testing	20 days	Mon 12/05/05	Fri 12/30/05		•			ă					····
12	Phase Three	240 days	Mon 07/04/06	Fr1 0 6/0 2 /06										
13	Delalied Design	85 days	M on 07/04/05	Fri 10/28/05					1					
1+	Equipmen i Selection & Procuremen i	85 days	Mon 10/31/05	Fri 02/24/06				·····)						
15	Build Out	55 days	M on 02/27/06	FrI 05/12/06						<u></u>				
16	Skar Fup, Commissioning & Sile Acceptance Testing	15 days	M on 05/15/06	Fri 06/02/06						Ţ				··· † ···
17	Phase Four	241 day s	Mon 10/31/06	Mion 10/02/06		•	•••••							
18	Delalied Design	65 days	Mon 10/31/05	Fri 0 1/27 /06	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		Ì						
19	Equipmen i Selection & Procuremen i	85 days	M on 0 1/30/06	Fri 05/26/06										···•
20	Build Out	65 days	M on 06/05/06	Fri 09/01/06		•					i de la companya de l			····
21	Star⊩up, Commissioning & Site Acceptance Testing	21 days	M on 09/04/06	Mon 10/02/06							Ĭ			
22	Phace Five	266 day s	Mon 10/09/06	Mon 10/01/07									. در سنب	
23	Delalled Design	55 days	Mon 10/09/06	Fri 12/22/05		•					l 🔤			
24	Equipmen i Selec Ion & Procure men i	80 days	M on 02/12/07	FrI 06/01/07	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•						*	1	···
25	Build Out	65 days	M on 06/04/07	Fri 08/31/07									E	···†···
26	Skar Fup, Commissioning & Sile Acceptance Testing	2 i days	M on 09/03/07	Mon 10/01/07									Ĭ	···•

NEXTÉNERGY Publications and Presentations

- NextEnergy has referred to the Hydrogen Station in several overview-type presentations including presentations to several state and local Authorities Having Jurisdiction, the U.S.
 Department of Defense, several Michigan universities and colleges, local chapters of professional societies and two national labs.
- NextEnergy has used the specific design parameters of the Hydrogen Station in presentations to the State of New Mexico and the State of Oklahoma.
- NextEnergy has not released any operating data about this facility.

Project ID#



Hydrogen Safety

The most significant hydrogen hazard associated with this project is:

Shear failure of the hydrogen piping between the Gas Control Panel and Storage





Hydrogen Safety

Our approach to deal with this hazard is:

- Comply with all codes and standards for the design, construction and operation & maintenance of this station including designating this area as a Class 1, Division 2 electrical zone.
- Multiple piping lines to diversify the risk of all storage being dedicated to one pathway.
- Normally closed / fail closed actuators.
- Tubing and fittings designed for this application and tested to ASME 31.3
- Physical barriers to restrict access to this area.
- Mechanical protection of this piping.



Hydrogen Safety

Our approach to deal with this hazard is (continued):

- O&M procedures that limit access to this area to "authorized persons" and mandatory work permitting.
- Continuous monitoring of the mass of hydrogen in storage including alarming and closed loop control if an unexpected discharge of hydrogen is detected.