

Development of High Temperature Membranes and Improved Cathode Catalysts for PEM Fuel Cells



Lesia Protsailo UTC Power

DoE Agreement DE-FC04C-02-A1-67608 Program Manager – Amy Manheim

2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information



ID# FC20

Improved Cathode Catalysts

Goals:

- To improve power density
- Lower cost, \$/kW
- Approach:
 - Higher activity cathode catalyst systems: binary and ternary alloys. High loading of noble metal to decrease electrode thickness and achieve mass transport benefit

High Temperature Fundamentals and Membrane Development (100-120 C, 1.0-1.5 atm):

- Goals to improve:
 - Anode and cathode kinetics
 - System heat management
- Approach:
 - Collaboration with leading polymer chemists to develop new membrane systems: poly(arylene ether sulfone), PEEK, multiblock polymers and inorganic solid conductor filled Nafion[®]
 - Fundamental understanding of HT operation limitations and possible solutions through modeling and experimental work

Technical Barriers, Budget, Team



ID# FC2(

Technical Barriers

Budget

- P. Durability
- Q. Electrode Performance
- R. Thermal and Water Management

Year	Total \$M	DoE \$M	UTC \$M				
Overall 2002-2005	9.500	7.600	1.900				
Received in 2005	1.875	1.500	.375				

•Program Team at Closing

- <u>UTC Power (</u>Dr. L.Protsailo): general coordination, catalyst development, modeling, fuel cell testing, fundamentals and stack development
- **<u>UTRC</u>** (Dr. N.Cipollini): MEA optimization and fabrication
- <u>VaTech</u> (Prof. J. McGrath): membrane development, fundamentals of membrane architecture
- <u>UCONN</u> (Prof. J.Fenton): membrane development, MEA fabrication, HT fundamentals

2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

Program Schedule



				20	02					200	3				200)4						200	5	
TASK	TASK DESCRIPTION	1	2		3		4	5	I	6	7	I	8	9	10		11	12		13	Ī	14	15	1
Phase 1	Membrane Chemistry and Catalyst Development					_		-	-					-		-			_					-
1.0	Catalyst Development																							ē.
1.01	Catalyst Modeling			1	V							5	_	►										ł
1.02	Catalyst Characterization												4											i.
1.03	Catalyst Synthesis												3	\ ,	Ca Do	tal WI	yst 1 se	elec	t					ł
Task 1.1	Membrane Requirement																		-					
Task 1.2	Membrane Synthesis			7									9	►	N	Лет	nhı	ane	د					ł
Task 1.3	Membrane Characterization						<u></u> 8						1		E D)ov	vn s	sele	ect					ł
Phase 2	MEA Development & Testing													· · · · ·	1									i
Task 2.0	Sub-Scale MEA Catalyst Fabrication and Testing			4	11	_								12	; ,		••••							ł
Task 2.1	Sub-Scale High Temperature MEA Fabrication				_11	$\mathbf{\nabla}$			•						<u></u>									i
Task 2.2	Sub-Scale Testing														77		13	$\mathbf{\Sigma}$						
Task 2.3	MEA Optimization and Selection										I								14	`				ł
Phase 3	Stack Demonstration and HT Fundamentals																							
Task 3.0	Stack MEA Fabrication																		E	//.		_15	Z	•
Task 3.1, 3.2	Stack Testing and Demonstration																							7
Task 3.3	Fuel Cell HT Performance Demonstration																					_		
Task 34	Fuel Cell HT Performance and Durability Demonstration																							Ż

Membrane Development Approach



<u>VaTech approach</u> – sulfonated biphenole-sulfones



UCONN approach composite membranes based on Nafion® and solid proton conductor – retain conductivity at low RH%



Nafion[®]/Heteropolyacid Composite Membranes

- Inherently high HPA conductivity at high humidities (~ 0.2 S/cm)

Induction of alternate conduction mechanisms - i.e. enhancement of proton hopping

2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information





2 different approaches for HT membrane development were investigated under this program:

- Approach A
 - First generation: Series II solid acid doped reinforced Nafion-like membrane
 - Nafion[®]-Teflon[®]phosphotungstic acid (NTPA) (Na-form)- Series II membrane
 - Second generation: Series IV Cs form in-situ doped reinforced Nafion-like membrane

UCONN

- Approach B
 - First generation: BPSH-XX



- Second generation: BPSH-XX with high molecular weight, partially fluorinated, increased acidity of functional group
- *Third generation*: multiblock copolymers

<u>VaTech</u>

2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information





2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information





EMPA post test analysis:

 BPSH retained its thickness in load cycle test





Nafion[®] 112

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

ID# FC20

2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

RH Cycle Test

Membrane	Linear expansion x- direction, %	Linear expansion y- direction, %	Swelling (boiling), %					
BPSH	25	15	41.2					
N112	10	3.1	11.4					







2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information





Unitized Electrode Assembly



2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

Technical Accomplishments: NTPA-Cs Membrane



Composite membranes based on Nafion[®] and solid proton conductor – retain conductivity at low RH%

- Nafion[®]-Teflon[®]-phosphotungstic acid (NTPA) (Na-form)- Series II membrane
- Nafion®-Teflon®-phosphotungstic acid (NTPA) (Cs-form) Series IV membrane
 - Smaller uniform particle size
 - · Solid acid proton conductor is precipitated in-situ
 - Cs-form is insoluble

- durability +

Processed at higher T°C

Series II



Series IV



No membrane failure observed @ 1000 hours

2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

HT/Low RH Operation Modeling





2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

Cathode Catalyst Development Approach

- Higher activity cathode catalyst systems: binary and ternary alloys
 - Carbothermal synthesis
 - PtCo and PtIrCo leading systems
- High loading of noble metal to decrease electrode thickness and achieve mass transport benefit



2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

ID# FC20

UTC Power

A United Technologies Company

MEA Optimization





2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information



PtCo 20-cell stack was delivered to ANL for durability studies. Technical support is provided.



2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

Alloy Catalyst Durability





Potential cycling conditions:

120°C, 50%RH;

2800 cycles; H_2/N_2 30s 0.87-30s 1.05V

Pt/C: ~ 45% ECA decrease; 25mV performance loss PtIrCo/C: ~ 6% ECA decrease; 3mV performance loss



2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

Alloy Effect on Ionomer Durability

- 10 % H_2 in N_2 , low utilization
- Electrode lonic resistance changes with time
- PtIrCo cathode prevents ionomer poisoning

Pt EMPA map after cycling





2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

ID# FC20

UTC Power

A United Technologies Company



II)# F(ご2)

2002 - 2005

- Established the importance of cyclic durability
- Developed best in class PtIrCo alloy catalyst and demonstrated 5x cyclic durability improvement vs. Pt
- Established membrane down-select criteria
- Developed fundamental understanding of hydrocarbon membrane durability
- Demonstrated 1000 hours of operation at 100°C, 25%RH

Responses to Previous Year Reviewers' Comments



- Q1. Shows results on hydrogen/oxygen primarily
 - Initial stages of alloy work were dedicated to activity investigations (thus oxygen data are more useful). MEA optimization step operated with H2/air performance
- Q2. Membrane durability studies weak the new materials are interesting, but durability data are limiting
 - Significant emphasis has been put on fundamental analysis and understanding of alternative membrane durability – especially hydrocarbon membranes
- Q3. Testing of new catalysts in full-size cells and a stack to compliment fundamental studies of catalyst and membrane durability is needed
 - PtCo catalyst was tested in full size cell and 20-cell stack was built and delivered for testing to ANL facilities
 - Attempt to test hydrocarbon membrane in full size cell was made. Unitizing BPSH for full-size testing is a challenging task due to dimensional instability of the membrane.

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information



Acknowledgements



ID# FC20

A United Technologies Company

A. Haug
M. Fortin
M. Pemberton
P. Plasse
J. Meyers
S. Motupally
CSA Durability group



N. Cipollini T. Madden B. LeTourneau



J.E. McGrath X. Yu K. Wiles A. Roy X. Li

University of Connecticut

H.R. Kunz J. Fenton L. Bonville (IONOMEM) Y. Song V. Mittal Y. Du F. Kassim Y. Liu H. Xu V. Ramani

2006 DOE Hydrogen Program Review May 13-16, 2006 Arlington, VA

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information