# Advanced Water Gas Shift Membrane Reactor (DE-FC26-05NT42453)

<u>T. H. Vanderspurt</u><sup>1</sup>, S. C. Emerson<sup>1</sup>, Z. Dardas<sup>1</sup>, S. Opalka<sup>1</sup>, R. Radhakrishnan<sup>1</sup>, S. Seiser<sup>1</sup>, S. Tulyani<sup>1</sup>, H. Wen<sup>1</sup>, & R. Willigan<sup>1</sup>, W. Huang<sup>2</sup>, T. B. Flanagan<sup>3</sup>, D. Wang<sup>3</sup>

## 16 May 2006

1) United Technologies Research Center, East Hartford, CT

2) QuesTek Innovations, Evanston, IL

3) Metal Hydride Technologies Inc., Burlington, VT

# Project ID: PDP 26



**Research Center** 

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

# Overview

#### Timeline

- Start July 2005
- End June 2007
- 46% Complete

#### Budget

- Total Project Funding
  - DOE share \$849k
  - Contractor share \$212k
- Funding Received in FY05
  - **\$305**k
- Funding for FY06
  - **\$308**

#### Barriers

- Hydrogen, Fuel Cells and Infrastructure Technologies Program Multi-Year Research, Development and Demonstration Plan
  - Section 3.1.4.2.3: Separations and Other Cross-Cutting Hydrogen Production Barriers (DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy)
  - M. Impurities
- Hydrogen from Coal Research, Development, and Demonstration Plan
  - Section 5.1.5 Technical Barriers Central Production Pathway (DOE Office of Fossil Energy)
  - D. Impurity Intolerance/Catalyst Durability
  - I. Poisoning of Catalytic Surfaces
  - Q. Impurities in Hydrogen from Coal

#### Partners

- QuesTek Innovations LLC
- Metal Hydride Technologies



# Objectives

#### Overall

- Identify through Atomistic and Thermodynamic modeling a suitable Pd-Cu tri-metallic alloy membrane with high stability and commercially relevant hydrogen permeation in the presence of carbon monoxide and trace amounts of sulfur.
- Identify and synthesize a Water Gas Shift (WGS) catalyst with a high operating life that is sulfur and chlorine tolerant at low concentrations (0.004 atm Partial Pressure) of these impurities.

#### FY2006

- (Oct-Dec 2005) Complete screening and down-select from six to two Transition Metal (TM) substituents for PdCuTM alloy candidates demonstrating best potential to enhance stability of the ordered, beta (BCC) PdCu phase over an extended alloy composition and temperature range.
- (Jan-Mar 2006) Complete deployment of atomic and thermodynamic predictions to identify the unique properties of the ordered beta (BCC) Pd-Cu phase that impart high H<sub>2</sub> permeability.
- (Apr-Jun 2006) Complete selection of optimum ternary compositions from solid-state, thermodynamic, and H<sub>2</sub> diffusivity parameter predictions made for two ordered Pd-Cu compositions substituted with varying levels of the two TM BCC stabilizing candidates.
- (Jul-Sep 2006) Select a final PdCuTM composition through virtual refinement of phase stability, hydrogen permeability, and resistance to sulfide formation. Complete evaluation of the synthesis and testing of the first set of five WGS catalyst candidates for performance in the presence of 0.004 atm H<sub>2</sub>S.



## Combine Hydrogen Separation with ~43 Atm. Water Gas Shift ( $H_2O + CO \Leftrightarrow H_2 + CO_2$ ) in Presence of $H_2S \& COS$

- Some Pd-Cu alloys reportedly have sulfur tolerance and the BCC Pd-Cu phase has high H permeance but lower thermal stability and questionable chemical stability in the presence of Sulfur and Cox than the FCC phase.
- <u>Approach</u>: Use VASP atomistic modeling and thermodynamic parameter estimation to predict higher stability BCC Pd-Cu based trimetallic alloys with commercial relevant permeance.
- Pt-Re/Doped Ceria-Zirconia and Pt-Re/Titania based catalysts have been reported to have acceptable volumetric Water Gas Shift Activity at
  - ~ 1 Atm and ~ 2 ppmv  $H_2S$  with natural gas or diesel reformate.
- Approach: Combine: 1) Prepare high surface area, low mass transfer resistance, very high dispersion Pt base mixed metal cluster catalysts on doped nano-engineered oxides. 2) Chose dopants that are likely to increase sulfur tolerance using VASP atomistic modeling. 3) Validate modeling approach through kinetic evaluation with and without sulfur and after aging in 0.004 atm H<sub>2</sub>S reformate. Down select to final composition.



# **Plan and Time Line**



# **Critical Assumptions and Issues**

## Assumptions

- Atomistic & Thermodynamic Modeling will be a reliable guide to new Sulfur Tolerant, thermally stable membrane with commercial relevant hydrogen permeance.
- High H<sub>2</sub>O to H<sub>2</sub>S Partial Pressure will mitigate support sulfidation and oxy-sulfidation
- Dopants can mitigate oxide over-reduction at high H<sub>2</sub> and CO partial pressures
  - Preventing over reduction should prevent excessive surface carbonate formation
- In the Pt-Re/CeZrOx case, the Gorte mechanism is the dominant WGS route

### Issues

- Achieving stable, equal flow operation across all 5 reactors under target conditions at ~ 43 atm total pressure with high steam to CO ratio proved to be very difficult.
  - Initial calibrated orifice design unreliable
  - New larger diameter steam generator and other modifications being implemented
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> set of catalysts will be tested under target conditions.



# **Outline of Technical Progress**

- Atomistic and Thermodynamic Modeling of potential trimetallic BCC phase PdCuTm alloys
  - Down selection of two leading candidates
  - Benchmarking B2 phase PdCuH system
- Atomistic modeling of potential dopants for the TiO<sub>2</sub> based catalyst system.
- Identification and some physical characterization of first set of 5 Pt-Re Oxide catalysts
- Initial sulfur free catalyst performance results



# New Thermodynamic Model for Pd-Cu Binary System

First step to a viable model for BCC phase trimetallic Pd-Cu-TM w & w-o H<sub>2</sub>



A complete Cu-Pd thermodynamic description including the ordered B2 phase.



# Thermodynamic & First-Principles Modeling Comparison

VASP minimized structures using PAW GGA PW hard potentials:



Excellent agreement serves to validate first-principles thermodynamic predictions. High stability of Pd<sub>0.4</sub>Cu<sub>0.6</sub> ordered B2 phase confirmed.

Research Cente

# New H Solubility In PdCu B2 Phase Data Incorporated Into Thermodynamic Model



# Combined First-Principles, Experimental, and Thermodynamic Investigations of H in PdCu B2

Observation & prediction agreement increases confidence in PdCuTm H work

0.06 Mole-Fraction H in Pd0.5Cu0.5 Ordered B2						
Full Ground State Minimization						
H Interstitial Site $\Delta V$ $\Delta H_{form \ elect} \ (0K)$						
	(cm^3/MoleH)	(kJ/Mole*atom)				
Alpha-Octahedral	0.12	-8.64				
Beta-Octahedral	0.10	-9.28				
Tetrahedral	0.12	-9.43				

Diffusion Coefficent Comparison	D (m²/s)
Phase / Source	at 57 C/ 330 K
BCC Pd <sub>0.50</sub> Cu <sub>0.50</sub> Prediction (UTRC)	2.20E-09
BCC Pd <sub>0.47</sub> Cu <sub>0.53</sub> Experiment (Völkl, 1978)	5.73E-09
FCC Pd <sub>0.47</sub> Cu <sub>0.53</sub> Experiment (Völkl, 1978)	1.80E-12

J. Völkl, G. Alefeld, in *Hydrogen in Metals*, Vol. I, G. Alefeld, J. Völkl, Eds., Berlin: Springer Verlag, (1978).



calculated partial H(H) is 4.45

kJ/mole H, experimental 3.6 kJ.

H solubility and diffusivity parameters benchmarked in PdCu B2 system.



# Transition Metal (TM) Substitution in Pd<sub>0.5</sub>Cu<sub>0.5</sub>B2

Composition	∆H <sub>sub</sub> <sub>elect</sub> (0 K) (kJ/mole*atom)	∆Volume (ų/atom)	
Pd <sub>8</sub> Cu <sub>8</sub>	-	-	
Pd <sub>8</sub> Cu <sub>7</sub> (R4)	2.17	-0.01	
Pd <sub>7</sub> (T5)Cu <sub>8</sub>	0.84	0.14	
Pd <sub>8</sub> Cu <sub>7</sub> (G5)	-7.12	0.42	
Pd <sub>8</sub> Cu <sub>7</sub> (J5)	2.39	0.29	
Pd <sub>8</sub> Cu <sub>7</sub> (G6)	-9.67	0.42	
Pd <sub>8</sub> Cu <sub>7</sub> (J6)	1.64	0.29	
Pd <sub>8</sub> Cu <sub>7</sub> (G4)	-4.17	0.10	

VASP: PAW GGA PW hard potentials

TM = R4, T5, G4, G5, G6

$$\Delta H_{sub elect} (0 \text{ K}) = E(0 \text{ K})_{composition} - (E(0 \text{ K})_{Pd8Cu8} + E(0 \text{ K})_{TM})$$

Substitution of most TM more favorable on Cu sublattice. Some TM have both favorable, exothermic heats of substitution and increased volume.

TM substituted in Pd8Cu8 2x2x2



Pd<sub>7</sub>TMCu<sub>8</sub> TM substituted for Pd



Pd<sub>8</sub>Cu<sub>7</sub>TM TM substituted for Cu

2

# TM Substitution Influence on Pd<sub>0.5</sub>Cu<sub>0.5</sub>B2 (110) Slab

## Sub-surface sites favored by most TM substituents

Composition 110 2x2x2 Slab	∆H <sub>form elect</sub> (0 K) (kJ/mole*atom)	Surface Energy J*/m^2 (0 K)
Pd <sub>32</sub> Cu <sub>32</sub>	3.85	1.37
Pd <sub>28</sub> Cu <sub>32</sub> (T5) <sub>4</sub>	4.81	1.37
Pd <sub>32</sub> Cu <sub>28</sub> (G5) <sub>4</sub>	-2.24	1.45
Pd <sub>32</sub> Cu <sub>28</sub> (G6) <sub>4</sub>	-4.59	1.47
Pd <sub>32</sub> Cu <sub>28</sub> (J6) <sub>4</sub>	6.67	1.47
Pd <sub>32</sub> Cu <sub>28</sub> (G4) <sub>4</sub>	0.55	1.45

VASP: PAW GGA PW hard potentials

Surface Energy=( $E_{slab}$  (0 K) –  $E_{bulk}$  (0 K))/(2\*surface area)

Most TM substituents increase surface energy, indicating a negative tendency for substituent surface segregation.

**Research Center** 

vinceu Technologies



# Down-selection of TM Alloying Agent for Pd<sub>0.5</sub>Cu<sub>0.5</sub> B2

Modeled Property	TM Substituent – <b>G5</b>	TM Substituent – <b>G6</b>	TM Substituent – <b>J6</b>
Alloy ∆H <sub>substitution</sub>	Very spontaneous	Very spontaneous	Slightly endothermic
Alloy <b>AVolume</b>	Significant	Significant	Somewhat significant
Alloy Surface Energy	High	High	Very High
TM Oxidation	Very High	Very High	High, may be reducible
TM Sulfidation	Very low	Very low	Low
Pd-TM Intermetallic	Yes	Yes	Possible
TM-H Hydrides	Yes	Yes	No
TM Cost	Low	10X higher	Low

Virtual down-selection criteria included substitution favorability, impact on structure, substituent reactivity, competing substituent phases, and cost.

## VASP Calculations Show That Properly Doped TiO<sub>2</sub> System May Have Advantages Over Non-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> For H<sub>2</sub>S Operation

High steam to  $H_2S$  ratio may be necessary to prevent oxide sulfidation

System	H <sub>2</sub> S-Pt	OC-Pt	OC-Oxide	H <sub>2</sub> S- Oxide
	eV	eV	eV	eV
Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub> Anatase (101)	-0.76	-1.67		
Pt/Ti <sub>(1-x)</sub> J6 <sub>x</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	-0.40	-0.97		
PtCe <sub>0.5</sub> Zr <sub>0.42</sub> J6 <sub>0.08</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	-2.04	-1.74		
TiO <sub>2</sub> Anatase (101)			-0.32	-1.93
Ti <sub>(1-x)</sub> J6 <sub>x</sub> O <sub>2</sub> Surface			-0.85	-2.17
Ce <sub>0.5</sub> Zr <sub>0.42</sub> J6 <sub>0.08</sub> O <sub>2</sub> Surface			-0.63	-2.14

•  $H_2O$  vs oxide surface and CO,  $H_2O$  and  $H_2S$  versus subsurface dopants underway

## WGS Catalyst Development - Oxide Characterization

4 of 5 Catalysts satisfy requirements for desired state

	Surface Area / Pore Volume Information				
Target Material	Surface Area (m²/g)	Pore Volume (cm3/g)	<u>Pore Diameter (Å)</u>		
1. $Ce_{0.53}Zr_{0.38}J6_{0.1}O_2$	216	0.28	53		
2. $TiO_2$	238	0.53	91		
3. $Ti_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}O_2$	290	0.64	88		
4. Ce <sub>0.333</sub> Zr <sub>0.333</sub> E4 <sub>0.333</sub> C	D <sub>2</sub> 246	0.39	63		
5. Ce <sub>0.3</sub> Zr <sub>0.3</sub> E4 <sub>0.3</sub> J6 <sub>0.1</sub> C	<sup>2</sup> 244	0.44	72		

#### Structural Information

<u>Та</u> 1.	rget Material Ce <sub>0.53</sub> Zr <sub>0.38</sub> J6 <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Desired State Cubic CeO <sub>2</sub> , no separate J6Ox phase	Phase (by XRD) 64% cub /36% tetr, no J6Ox	<u>Crystal Size</u> 2.5 nm
2.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Anatase (100%)	85% anatase, 15% brookite	6.2 / 1.7 nm
3.	Ti <sub>0.8</sub> Ce <sub>0.2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Single doped phase	Multi-Phase Separation (TiO <sub>2</sub> , CeO <sub>2</sub> , Ce <sub>2</sub> TiO <sub>5</sub> ,Ce <sub>2</sub> TiO <sub>7</sub> )	
4.	Ce <sub>0.333</sub> Zr <sub>0.333</sub> E4 <sub>0.333</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Cubic CeZrO <sub>2</sub> , no separate E4Ox phase	70% cub/ 21% tetr, no anatase	2.4 nm
5.	$Ce_{0.3}Zr_{0.3}E4_{0.3}J6_{0.1}O_2$	Cubic CeZrO <sub>2</sub> , no separate E4Ox or J6Ox phase	100% cubic	2.0 nm



## WGS Catalyst Development - Platinum / Rhenium Loading

#### Results

CATALYST	COMPOSITION (by synthesis)	COMPOSITION (by ICP analysis)	DESIRED Pt wt%	Achieved Pt wt%	Re wt% loaded
ST WGS-01	Ce <sub>0.53</sub> Zr <sub>0.38</sub> J6 <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Ce <sub>0.54</sub> Zr <sub>0.35</sub> J6 <sub>0.11</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	2.0	2.09	1.045
ST WGS-02	TiO <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	0.60	0.30
ST WGS-05	Ti <sub>0.8</sub> Ce <sub>0.2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Ti <sub>0.88</sub> Ce <sub>0.12</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	2.0	2.11	1.055
ST WGS-06	Ce <sub>0.333</sub> Zr <sub>0.333</sub> E4 <sub>0.333</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Ce <sub>0.36</sub> Zr <sub>0.32</sub> E4 <sub>0.33</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	2.0	1.97	0.985
ST WGS-07	Ce <sub>0.3</sub> Zr <sub>0.3</sub> E4 <sub>0.3</sub> J6 <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Ce <sub>0.32</sub> Zr <sub>0.28</sub> E4 <sub>0.31</sub> J6 <sub>0.09</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	2.0	1.74	0.87



**Research Center** 

# **Two Catalyst Families Down Selected for Next Phase**

Subject to H<sub>2</sub>S Aging Study Currently Underway

- Ceria Based: Ce<sub>0.50</sub>Zr<sub>0.40</sub>J6<sub>0.10</sub>Ox & Ce<sub>0.33</sub>Zr<sub>0.33</sub>E4<sub>0.33</sub>O<sub>x</sub>
- Titania Based: TiO<sub>2</sub> (Ti<sub>0.9</sub>J6<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and Ti<sub>(1-x)</sub>Dp<sub>x</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)



Technologies Research Center

# Early Kinetics Suggest Key Mechanistic Differences

Multiple site or Gorte type mechanism evident in Pt-Re/Ce<sub>0.53</sub>Zr<sub>0.38</sub>J6<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> while Pt-Re/ TiO<sub>2</sub> seems dominated by metal site mechanism.

Catalyst	Composition (by synthesis)	n <sub>co</sub>	n <sub>H2O</sub>	n <sub>co2</sub>	n <sub>H2</sub>	E / kJ.mol <sup>.1</sup>
STWGS-01	Ce <sub>0.53</sub> Zr <sub>0.38</sub> J6 <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0.32	1.0	0.85	0.87	51.4±0.1
STWGS-02	TiO <sub>2</sub>	-0.22	0.85	0.57	0.28	75.5±6.8
STWGS-05	Ti <sub>0.8</sub> Ce <sub>0.2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	1.0	1.0	0.70	0.81	81.5±8.4
STWGS-06	Ce <sub>0.333</sub> Zr <sub>0.333</sub> E4 <sub>0.333</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0.70	1.0	0.73	1.0	72.4±9.6
STWGS-07	Ce <sub>0.3</sub> Zr <sub>0.3</sub> E4 <sub>0.3</sub> J6 <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	1.0	1.0	0.72	0.78	70.2±7.0

80

**Residuals Plot for STWGS01** 

Studentized Residuals for CO Conversion 20 100 15 **Observed CO Conversion / %** 80 10 5 60 40 -5 -10 20 -15 0 -20 100 80 20 40 60 0 20 40 60 Predicted CO Conversion / % Run Order / Experiment Number logies **Research Center** 

Parity Plot for STWGS01

$$r_{\text{WGS}} = k P_{\text{CO}}^{n_{\text{CO}}} P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{n_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} P_{\text{CO}_2}^{n_{\text{CO}_2}} P_{\text{H}_2}^{n_{\text{H}_2}} \times (1 - \beta)$$

$$\beta = \frac{P_{\rm CO_2} P_{\rm H_2}}{P_{\rm CO} P_{\rm H_2O} K_{eq}}$$

• Additional kinetics, sulfur and aging experiments planned

# **Future Work**

- (Apr-Jun '06) Complete selection of optimum ternary compositions from solidstate, thermodynamic, and H<sub>2</sub> diffusivity parameter predictions made for two ordered Pd-Cu compositions substituted with varying levels of the two TM BCC stabilizing candidates.
- (Jul-Sep '06) Select a final PdCuTM composition through virtual refinement of phase stability, hydrogen permeability, and resistance to sulfide formation.
  Complete evaluation of the first set of five WGS catalyst candidates for performance in the presence of 0.004 atm H<sub>2</sub>S. Identify through a combination of modeling and kinetic analysis and the prepare the next set of 5 WGS Catalyst candidates
- (Oct-Dec '06) Determine sulfur free kinetics of 2nd set of 5 catalysts.
- (Jan-Mar '07) Complete evaluation of 2<sup>nd</sup> set of 5 catalysts and complete catalyst atomistic modeling and synthesize final set of catalysts.
- (Apr-Jun '07) Complete evaluation of final set of catalysts and deliver final report.



# Advanced Membrane Reactor Water Gas Shift Summary

**Relevance** Lower cost high purity  $H_2$  production from precleaned coal gas: Eliminates need for: 1) complete sulfur scrubbing, 2) separate  $H_2$ extraction/purification train and retentate gas is >90% CO<sub>2</sub> on a dry basis.

#### Approach

- Atomistic and thermodynamic modeling to design high stability BCC Pd-Cu based trimetallic alloy with commercial relevant permeance.
- Design synthesize and test catalyst tailored to needs of AMR

#### Accomplishments

- Two "stabilized" BCC alloys  $Pd_{0.5}Cu_{(0.5-x)}G5_x$  and  $Pd_{0.5}Cu_{(0.5-x)}J6_x$  down selected.
- Dopants that could potentially reduce H<sub>2</sub>S impact on Pt based WGS activity identified.

#### Issues

 Mechanical difficulties have delayed high pressure and sulfur testing, but identification of next set of 5 catalysts still expected in July



## **Responses to Previous Year Reviewers' Comments**

 Project underway less than 1 year, no reviewers' comments form last year



## **Publications and Presentations**

- S. M. Opalka, T. H. Vanderspurt, S. C. Emerson, D. A. Mosher, Y. She, X. Tang, and D. L. Anton, "Theoretical Contributions Towards the Development of Storage Media and Related Materials for Hydrogen Processing", invited presentation, 2006 TMS Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas, March 13-16, 2006.
- S. M. Opalka, Y. She, W. Huang, D. Wang, T. B. Flanagan, S. C. Emerson, and T. H. Vanderspurt, "Hydrogen interactions with the ordered BCC PdCu alloy," invited presentation to be given at MH2006 International Symposium on Metal-Hydrogen Systems, Lahaina, HI, October 1-6,2006.





 Slides reflect either work from past efforts or details from present effort



# VASP Modeling Insights Led To Better Catalysts



# **Doping Has Increased Catalyst Thermal Robustness**

Ce<sub>0.52</sub>E6<sub>0.38</sub>J6<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> retains estimated 65% of 100 hr lined out activity after 40,000 hr at 420°C w/o S

 Ce<sub>0.58</sub>Zr<sub>0.42</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalyst retains estimated 54% of 100 hr lined out activity after 40,000 hr at 369°C w/o S



Ce<sub>0.5</sub>Zr<sub>0.41</sub>J6<sub>0.09</sub>O<sub>2</sub> retains estimated
 20% of 100 hr lined out activity after
 40,000 hr at 400°C with 2 ppm S

 Oxide prepared on a multi-kg pilot plant scale had a surface area/skeletal oxide volume of ~970 m<sup>2</sup>/cm3





# H<sub>2</sub>S Adsorption



 $H_2S/TiO_2$  Anatase(101)  $H_2S/J6$ -Doped TiO<sub>2</sub> Anatase(101) Binding Energy: -1.93 eV/H<sub>2</sub>S Binding Energy: -2.17 eV/H<sub>2</sub>S







# H<sub>2</sub>S Adsorption



 $H_2S/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.42}J6_{0.08}O_x(111)$ Binding Energy: -2.14 eV/H<sub>2</sub>S





**Research Center** 

# **CO** Adsorption



## CO/TiO<sub>2</sub> Anatase(101) Binding Energy: -0.32 eV/CO

CO/J6-Doped TiO<sub>2</sub> Anatase(101) Binding Energy: -0.85 eV/CO







## **CO** Adsorption



## $CO/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.42}J6_{0.08}O_x(111)$ Binding Energy: -0.63 eV/CO





**Research Center** 

# H<sub>2</sub>S Adsorption (Pt ML)



 $H_2S/Pt_{1ML}/TiO_2Anatase(101)$ Binding Energy:-0.76 eV/ $H_2S$ 

 $H_2S/Pt_{1ML}/J6$ -Doped TiO<sub>2</sub>Anatase(101) Binding Energy:-0.40 eV/H<sub>2</sub>S







# H<sub>2</sub>S Adsorption (Pt ML)



 $H_2S/Pt_{1ML}/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.42}J6_{0.08}(111)$ Binding Energy: -2.04 eV/H<sub>2</sub>S

Pt Ce Zr J6 O S H



**Research Center** 

UTC PROPRIETARY

# CO Adsorption (Pt ML)





CO/Pt<sub>1ML</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> Anatase(101) Binding Energy: -1.67 eV/CO CO/Pt<sub>1ML</sub>/J6-Doped TiO<sub>2</sub> Anatase(101) Binding Energy: -0.97 eV/CO







# CO Adsorption (Pt ML)



CO/Pt<sub>1ML</sub>/Ce<sub>0.5</sub>Zr<sub>0.42</sub>J6<sub>0.08</sub>O<sub>x</sub> Binding Energy: -1.74 eV/CO





# Sulfur-free CO Conversion of WGS catalysts

Feed Conditions: 14% CO, 31% H<sub>2</sub>O, 14.9% CO<sub>2</sub>, 12% H<sub>2</sub>

Feed Conditions: 7.5% CO, 31.6% H<sub>2</sub>O, 7.9% CO<sub>2</sub>, 6.4% H<sub>2</sub>



