

Innovation for Our Energy Future

# 2007 DOE Hydrogen Program System Dynamics: HyDIVE™ (Hydrogen Dynamic Infrastructure and Vehicle Evolution) Model

### Cory Welch National Renewable Energy Laboratory May 16, 2007

### **Project ID: ANP3**

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information



NREL is operated by Midwest Research Institute - Battelle

# **Overview**

#### Timeline

- Project start date: 10/2005
- Project end date: 09/2007
- Percent complete: ~80%

### Budget

- Total project funding
  - \$260K (DOE)
- Funding received in FY 2006
  - \$70K
- Funding for FY 2007
  - \$190K (\$50K from systems analysis, \$140K from technology validation)

### **Barriers**

- Barriers addressed
  - "Understanding the behavior and drivers of the fuel and vehicle markets is necessary to determine the long-term applications. Another major issue is the hydrogen supply, vehicle supply, and the demand for vehicles and hydrogen are all dependent and linked. To analyze various hydrogen fuel and vehicle scenarios, models need to be developed to understand these issues and their interactions." (MYRDDP, 4.0-11)

### Partners

- Varying degrees of collaboration with and/or feedback from:
  - GM, Ford, DaimlerChrysler, RCF Economic Consulting, NERA Economic Consulting, PA Government Services, Knowledge Networks, Massachusetts Institute of Technology



# **Objectives**

- Quantify and better characterize the nature of the "chicken-and-egg" barrier of hydrogen stations and hydrogen vehicle demand
- Identify high leverage strategies and policies for the development of the hydrogen transportation market through spatial, temporal simulation



# Approach

### **System Dynamics**

- HyDIVE is a dynamic, spatial, and behavioral market simulation model (NOT an *optimization* model)
- System behavior results from decision-making processes of individuals (e.g., vehicle owners, refueling station owners)
- Spatial resolution is relevant to vehicle and station owners (current regional focus is southern California)

### **Discrete Choice Analysis**

- HyDIVE vehicle choice model parameters are quantified via discrete choice analysis
- Data were obtained from respondents in southern California with a controlled design of experiment

# **Approach: Main Feedback in HyDIVE** <sup>(1)(2)</sup>



(1) See Struben, 2006a for exposition and analysis of the original model foundation

(2) Research at NREL is envisioned to be part of a larger model including additional relevant dynamics

# **Approach: Spatial, Dynamic Interdependence**



## Approach: Spatial Characterization for Discrete Choice Analysis



## Approach: Example Discrete Choice Analysis Screen

	Gasoline Vehicle (Similar to Honda Accord)	Alternative Fuel Vehicle A (Similar to Honda Accord)	Alternative Fuel Vehicle B (Similar to Honda Accord)		
Click on the attributes for definitions		<u>Virtually NO oil used or</u> <u>imported</u>			
		<u>No smog emissions</u>			
		<u>30%-70% fewer Greenhouse Gas</u> <u>emissions</u>			
<u>Extra Time (one-way) to</u> <u>Local Stations</u>	0 minutes	3 minutes	10 minutes		
<u>Driving Radius</u>	Same as your Honda Accord (typically ~200 miles)	150 miles	150 miles		
<u>Medium Distance Trips with</u> <u>No Advance Planning</u>	100%	50% no planning	90% no planning		
Long Distance Trips that are <u>Possible</u>	100%	50% possible	50% possible		
Fuel Cost (\$/month)	\$110	\$110	\$165		
Purchase Price	\$32,000	\$27,200	\$32,000		
Vehicle you are MOST likely to purchase	۲	•	۲		
Vehicle you are LEAST likely to purchase	•	٠	٠		

Next Question

# **Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results**

- Initial HyDIVE model developed, capturing key interactions b/w • vehicle demand and station coverage
- Early dynamic runs revealed that consumer sensitivity to • station convenience is a key driver of dynamics
  - Based on above analysis, model structure modified to better characterize station convenience
- **Discrete choice analysis experiment designed to quantify** ٠ consumer sensitivity to station convenience & other metrics
- Analysis of early discrete choice analysis data completed ۲
- Parameters from discrete choice analysis input to HyDIVE
- Spatial, STATIC\* analysis conducted to forecast market share for two different station configurations
  - Parametric analysis done to quantify effects of fuel cost, vehicle price, and vehicle model availability

\*While HyDIVE is a dynamic model, the scope of this task was limited to static market share forecast analysis. Future work would include dynamic analyses.



# Accomplishments: Early Dynamic Analyses Reveal Key Sensitivities and Spatial Behavior







## Accomplishments: Modeling of Driving Patterns -- Combining Theory and Data



#### Accomplishments: Analysis of a 400-Station Layout Scenario, Spatial Attribute Calculation and Forecast Market Share



Fueling convenience attributes and equilibrium sales forecast (400-station case). Ten hydrogen FCV models; \$3.00/kg hydrogen (before taxes); vehicle price same as equivalent gasoline ICE

NREL National Renewable Energy Laboratory

#### Accomplishments: Analysis of a 750-Station Layout Scenario, Spatial Attribute Calculation and Forecast Market Share



Fueling convenience attributes and equilibrium sales forecast (750-station case). Ten hydrogen FCV models; \$3.00/kg hydrogen (before taxes); vehicle price same as equivalent gasoline ICE

NREL National Renewable Energy Laboratory

### Accomplishments: Parametric "Equilibrium" Analysis for a 400-Station Scenario

Los Angeles Area: Forecast Hydrogen FCV Sales and Scenario Targets		HyDIVE Equilibrium Upper Bound Sales/Year <sup>16</sup>	Target Sales/Year (2020) <sup>17</sup> Scenario Number <sup>18</sup>		/Year nber <sup>18</sup>	<sup>16</sup> This static forecast is considered to be an upper bound, equilibrium level per HyDIVE calculations. See Section 4.2.4 for the caveats associated with using this equilibrium value. Hydrogen FCV performance is assumed to be equivalent to		
# Vehicle Models <sup>19</sup>	Vehicle Price (rel. to gas ICE) <sup>20</sup>	H2 Price (\$/kg, before taxes) <sup>21</sup>	w/ 400 Stations (seeFigure 6)	1 105 stations	2 372 stations	3 386 stations	gasoline ICEs. For this initial estimate, Hydrogen FCVs compete only with gasoline ICEs (i.e., not with gas-electric hybrids). Competition with gas-electric hybrids	
10	-15%	\$2.00	130K	55K	130K	160K	of hybrid vehicles	
10	-15%	\$3.00	123K	55K	130K	160K	17 All the forecast vehicle sales correspond with convenience levels afforded by	
10	-15%	\$4.00	117K	55K	130K	160K	having 400 fueling stations in the Log Angeles and do not necessarily	
10	0%	\$2.00	112K	55K	130K	160K	naving 400 fuering stations in the Los Angeles area and do not necessaring	
10	0%	\$3.00	105K	55K	130K	160K	correspond with the year 2020, since delays (see Section 4.2.4) in achieving the	
10	0%	\$4.00	99K	55K	130K	160K	upper bound equilibrium sales forecast for the given fevel of station coverage have	
10	15%	\$2.00	94K	55K	130K	160K	not yet been taken mito account. The comparison to DOE's 2020 targets was made	
10	15%	\$3.00	8/K	55K	130K	160K	since in 2020, the number of stations required for <i>capacity</i> only is less than or	
10	15%	\$4.00	81K	55K	130K	160K	roughly equal to 400 stations (per Hylrans calculations received from ORNL, 386,	
15	-15%	\$2.00	163K	55K	130K	160K	372, and 105 stations are required for capacity only, assuming the <i>cumulative</i> target	
15	-15%	\$3.00	155K	55K	130K	160K	sales volumes for each scenario are achieved).	
15	-15%	\$4.00	147K	55K	130K	160K	<sup>18</sup> DOE Hydrogen FCV sales growth scenarios.	
15	0%	\$2.00	141K	55K	130K	160K	<sup>19</sup> For calculating equilibrium vehicle sales, FCVs are assumed to be offered in	
15	0%	\$3.00	133K	55K	130K	160K	vehicles similar to the top $X(e.g., 10, 15, 20)$ selling gasoline ICE models (see 3.0).	
15	0%	\$4.00	124K	55K	130K	160K	If offered in less popular models, forecast sales would be lower. Hydrogen FCV	
15	15%	\$2.00	118K	55K	130K	160K	range is assumed to be 300 miles.	
15	15%	\$3.00	110K	55K	130K	160K	<sup>20</sup> Price difference (including incentives) is relative to that of an equivalent	
15	15%	\$4.00	102K	55K	130K	160K	performance gasoline ICE.	
20	-15%	\$2.00	191K	55K	130K	160K	<sup>21</sup> The actual price paid at the pump, for the purpose of forecasting, is assumed to	
20	-15%	\$3.00	181K	55K	130K	160K	include an additional \$0.55/kg for state and federal taxes (about \$0.55/gal for	
20	-15%	\$4.00	172K	55K	130K	160K	gasoline in California). The gasoline price, which affects the relative fuel cost	
20	0%	\$2.00	165K	55K	130K	160K	savings and therefore hydrogen FCV sales, is assumed to be \$2.64/gal, including	
20	0%	\$3.00	155K	55K	130K	160K	taxes per AEO's "High Price" scenario for 2020. A fuel economy ratio of 2.4 is	
20	0%	\$4.00	145K	55K	130K	160K	assumed (per DOE guidance) for hydrogen ECVs over conventional gasoline ICEs	
20	15%	\$2.00	138K	55K	130K	160K	(again, hybrid competition is not vet included)	
20	15%	\$3.00	129K	55K	130K	160K	(again, nyoria competition is not yet metaded).	
20	15%	\$4.00	119K	55K	130K	160K		



### Accomplishments: Parametric "Equilibrium" **Analysis for a 750-Station Scenario**

Los Angeles Area: Forecast Hydrogen FCV Sales and Scenario Targets Sales/Y		HyDIVE "Equilibrium Upper Bound" Sales/Year <sup>26</sup>	yDIVE "Equilibrium Upper Bound" (2022) <sup>27</sup> Sales/Year <sup>26</sup> Scenario Number <sup>28</sup>		/Year nber <sup>28</sup>	<sup>26</sup> This static forecast is considered to be an upper bound, equilibrium level p HyDIVE calculations. See Section 4.2.4 for the caveats associated with usin equilibrium value. Hydrogen FCV performance is assumed to be equivalent	
# Vehicle Models <sup>29</sup>	Vehicle Price (rel. to gas ICE) <sup>30</sup>	H2 Price (\$/kg, before taxes) <sup>31</sup>	w/ 750 Stations (see Figure 16)	1 229 stations	2 592 stations	3 698 stations	gasoline ICEs. For this initial estimate, H2 FCVs compete only with gasoline (i.e., not with gas/electric hybrids). Competition with gas-electric hybrids we reduce these forecast sales volumes somewhat, depending on the success leve
10	-15%	\$2.00	155K	85K	150K	210K	hybrid vehicles.
10	-15%	\$3.00	148K	85K	150K	210K	<sup>27</sup> All the forecast vehicle sales correspond with convenience levels afforded
10	-15%	\$4.00	141K	85K	150K	210K	having 750 fueling stations in the Los Angeles area and do not necessarily
10	0%	\$2.00	135K	85K	150K	210K	correspond with the year 2022, since delays (see Section 4.2.4) in achievin
10	0%	\$3.00	128K	85K	150K	210K	upper bound equilibrium sales forecast for the given level of station coverage
10	U%	\$4.00	120K	05K	150K	210K	not vet been taken into account. The comparison to DOE's 2022 targets was
10	10%	\$2.00	115K 107K	00K 85K	150K	210K	since in 2022, the number of stations required for <i>capacity</i> only is less than o
10	15%	\$3.00	100K	85K	150K	2101	roughly equal to 750 stations (per HyTrans calculations received from ORNI
10	1570	Ψ <del>1</del> .00	1001	OUN	450K	210N	592 and 698 stations are required for capacity only assuming the <i>cumulative</i>
15	-15%	\$2.00	195K	85K	150K	210K	solar volumes for each scenario are achieved)
15	-15%	\$3.00	186K	85K	150K	210K	28 DOE bydrogon ECV galag growth geonariog
15	-15%	\$4.00	177K	85K	150K	210K	<sup>29</sup> For coloulating equilibrium rabials cales. ECVs are assumed to be offered
10	0%	\$2.00 ¢2.00	170K	00K 051/	150K	210K	For carculating equinormal venicle sales, $FCVs$ are assumed to be offered
10	070	\$3.00 \$4.00	10 IK		150K	210N	venicles similar to the top X (e.g., 10, 15, 20) setting gasoline ICE models. If
15	0.70	\$4.00	101K	00K 85K	150K	210K	in less popular models, forecast sales would be lower. Hydrogen FCV range
15	15%	\$3.00	1351	85K	150K	210K	assumed to be 300 miles.
15	15%	\$4.00	125K	85K	150K	210K	<sup>30</sup> Price difference (including incentives) is relative to that of an equivalent
20	-15%	\$2.00	228K	85K	150K	2101	performance gasoline ICE.
20	-15%	\$3.00	2201	85K	150K	2101	<sup>31</sup> The actual price paid at the pump, for the purpose of forecasting, is assume
20	-15%	\$4.00	210K 207K	85K	150K	210K	include an additional \$0.55/kg for state and federal taxes (about \$0.55/gal for
20	0%	\$2.00	199K	85K	150K	210K	gasoline in California). The gasoline price, which affects the relative fuel cos
20	0%	\$3.00	188K	85K	150K	210K	savings and therefore hydrogen FCV sales, is assumed to be \$2.67/gal, include
20	0%	\$4.00	177K	85K	150K	210K	taxes, per AEO's "High Price" scenario for 2022. A fuel economy ratio of 2.
20	15%	\$2.00	169K	85K	150K	210K	assumed (per DOE guidance) for hydrogen FCVs over conventional gasoline
20	15%	\$3.00	158K	85K	150K	210K	(again, hybrid competition is not yet included).
20	15%	\$4.00	147K	85K	150K	210K	(



### Accomplishments: Sensitivity Analysis of Forecast Market Share to Various Attributes



Reference Case: roughly equivalent to the 400-station scenario with \$3/kg H<sub>2</sub> (before taxes)

Source: Welch, C., "Using HyDIVE™ to Analyze Hydrogen Scenarios," NREL/TP-560-41363, Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, forthcoming 2007



### Accomplishments: Sensitivity Analysis of Forecast Market Share to Various Attributes



Reference Case: equivalent to 100% H<sub>2</sub> station coverage with equivalent fuel cost (on a \$/mile basis)

Source: Welch, C., "Using HyDIVE™ to Analyze Hydrogen Scenarios," NREL/TP-560-41363, Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, forthcoming 2007



# **Future Work**

- FY 2007
  - Complete NREL technical report (in review when this presentation was submitted; should be complete by merit review date)
  - Complete discrete choice analysis based on final data set ... formally document methodology/parameters in separate report
  - Compare HyDIVE with other models (e.g., HyTRANS, RCF CAS model) to determine potential synergies, overlaps, gaps, etc.

#### Beyond FY 2007 (contingent upon funding)

- Incorporate additional dynamics and data into HyDIVE (e.g., vehicle availability, diffusion of technology awareness, etc.)
- Make endogenous H<sub>2</sub> price at station using H2A
- *Dynamic* strategy/scenario/policy analysis

# Future Work: Rigorous Modeling of Dynamics of Vehicle Availability



## **Future Work: Scenario/Strategy Analysis**



# Summary

Relevance: Characterizes and better quantifies the nature of the H<sub>2</sub> station coverage and vehicle demand chicken-and-egg problem

Approach: Spatial, dynamic, behavioral modeling using system dynamics; discrete choice analysis to quantify key parameters

Accomplishments: Early dynamic results to illuminate key areas to characterize and quantify; discrete choice analysis executed and analyzed; parameters input to HyDIVE to conduct parametric static, spatial analysis of market share for two station scenarios

Proposed Future Work: Include additional relevant dynamics into model; make fuel cost and vehicle availability endogenous; compare HyDIVE with other models to assess similarities, gaps, overlaps

Cory Welch

<u>Cory\_Welch@nrel.gov</u>, 303-275-4436



## **Publications and References**

- Struben, J., Welch, C. and Sterman, J. "Modeling the Co-Evolutionary Dynamics of Hydrogen Vehicles and Refueling Stations." NHA Annual Hydrogen Conference, Long Beach, CA, 2006.
- Struben, J. J. "Identifying Challenges for Sustained Adoption of Alternative Fuel Vehicles and Infrastructure." *MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 4625-06* 2006. Available at SSRN: <u>http://ssrn.com/paper=927012</u>.
- Welch, C. "HyDIVE™ (Hydrogen Dynamic Infrastructure and Vehicle Evolution) Model Analysis." Presented at the DOE 2010-2025 Scenario Analysis Meeting, Washington, D.C., August 9-10, 2006. Available at <u>http://www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/analysis/pdfs/welch\_hydive.pdf</u>.
- Welch, C. "Lesson Learned from Alternative Transportation Fuels: Modeling Transition Dynamics." NREL/TP-540-39446. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, February 2006.
- Welch, C. "Discrete Choice Analysis: Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicle Demand Potential." Presented at the DOE 2010-2025 Scenario Analysis Meeting, Washington, D.C., January 31, 2007. <u>http://www1.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/analysis/pdfs/scenario\_analysis\_welch1\_07.pdf</u>
- Welch, C. "Using HyDIVE™ to Analyze Hydrogen Scenarios." NREL/TP-560-41363. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Forthcoming 2007.



### **Additional Slides**



# **Analysis Tools Employed**

#### System Dynamics



#### Discrete Choice Analysis: Parameter Estimation

BIOGEME Version 1.4 [Mon Dec 12 15:00:43 GMT 200	5]	×
	Select a model description file. The syntax for model description is described in the tutorial. Select file	

#### Mapping, Animation, Station Selection, Tornado Charts



#### Data Input/Output Interface

Microsoft Excel - InfraCoev_Yensim_UpDownLoadXY30 v11.xls										
:8	<u>File E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>I</u> nser	t F <u>o</u> rmat	Tools Dat	ta <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> el	p Ado <u>b</u> e PDF		_ 8 ×		
📝 🦉 🗄 Arial 🔹 10 🔹 🖪 🛛 🖳 💆 = 🚍 🔄 💲 % , 🎎 🕮 = 🖄 - 🗛 - 🖉										
🗄 🦢 🖄 🖾 🖉 🏷   🕉 🐇   🖄 🖏 🕼 🕅 🕅										
: 🔁 🔁 🖏 📮										
	A4 •	▼ fx	CELLX							
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н 🔺		
1	Col_Select			Total	Data from Keith	Xinclude	0.000	0.(		
2						Yinclude	0.000	0.0		
3	7			100			1	1		
4	CELLX	CELLY	CELLYX	Column	X_coord_com	Y_coord_com	10 Stations			
5	8	13	13_8	1	-118.4482	34.274	-118.134	34.(		
6	8	13	13_8	1	-118.4344	34.306	-118.361	33.8		
7	8	13	13_8	1	-118.4218	34.245	-118.008	33.{		
8	8	13	13_8	1	-118.4141	34.221	-118.072	33.		
9	8	13	13,8	1	-118,5781	34 205	-118 245	34 💌		
II										
🛛 Dṟaw 🕶 🔓   AutoShapes 🕶 🔨 🔌 🖸 🔿 🔛 🥥 🥼 🕼 🧟 🖉 💆 🕶 🚣 🖛 🛱 💭 💂										