



2007 DOE Hydrogen Program PEM Fuel Cell Freeze Durability and Cold Start Project

<u>Mike Perry</u>, Tim Patterson, Jonathan O'Neill United Technologies Corporation May 15, 2007

Project ID # FCP5

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information.

Overview



Timeline

- Project start date: 5/1/2006
- Project end date: 4/30/2007
- Percent complete: 100%

Barriers

- Start-up and shut-down time and energy
- Water transport within the stack
- Durability

Budget

- Total project funding
 - \$990K DOE
 - \$247K UTC Power

Partners

 United Technologies Research Center (UTRC)





- Improve cold-start, or Boot-Strap Start, ("BSS") time by investigating the effects of cell properties and start procedures
- Subject a short stack to freeze/thaw cycling between - 40°C and + 20°C to investigate any possible damage mechanisms
- Subject single cells and/or short stacks to repeated cold starts (BSS), measure performance degradation, and investigate the mechanisms of any performance degradation





- Task 1: Cold Start (BSS) Decay Studies
 - Investigate the effect of freeze and cold start (BSS) procedures on performance decay
 - Alternative cell materials will be evaluated for their resistance to performance loss with repeated cycles.
- Task 2: Cold Survivability
 - Conduct freeze/thaw cycling of short stack to -40 °C
 - Conduct teardown analysis to characterize failure modes
- Task 3: Rapid Cold Start (BSS) Characterization
 - Investigate the effect of freeze and cold start procedures on BSS capability
 - Investigate effect of alternate cell materials on BSS capability

UTC's PEM fuel-cell technology

- Traditional, Solid-Plate Cell
 - Water movement is in the channels
 - External water management required
 - Humidification and water recovery
 - Liquid water build-up is unavoidable
 - In the channels and in the GDLs

UTC's Microporous-Plate Cell

- Water movement is through the plate
- Provides humidification and removal of excess liquid water
- Single-phase flow in the channels
 - Low pressure drop
 - No local flooding/starvation





Baseline Performance: 30-cell Stack (320-cm² per cell)





- Poor BSS performance and high frozen resistance on anode end of stack.
- Anode-end resistance is affected by rate of freeze.

Proposed Performance-Loss Mechanism (1)

Water Movement During Freeze: Frost-Heave Mechanism



Pore cross-section

Proposed Performance-Loss Mechanism (2)

Cathode Side: Water transport towards cold cathode side Cathode catalyst layer water content decreases Heat, water Final Fill Initial Fill

Anode Side:

Water transport towards cold anode side Cathode catalyst layer water content *increases*







Single-Cell Hardware Configuration: Glycol used to create freeze direction





Single-Cell Results: Effect of GDL Permeability





•Cell w/ High Permeability GDL performed better after cathode-side freeze •Cell w/ Low Permeability GDL performed better after anode-side freeze

"Adiabatic" Single-Cell Hardware: Heat used to create freeze direction



- Heating pads on each side mimic stack heat
 - Cell in freezer, no glycol
 - Heating one side during freeze simulates freeze direction
 - Freezing rates more realistic to those experienced in stacks
 - Variable heat on each side during startup, pegged to current density
- Pyropel[©] insulation between cell and pressure plates and highdensity Pyropel[©] manifolds
- Resistance is very high after anode freeze, due to H₂O movement during freeze (see slides 7 & 8)



"Adiabatic" Single-Cell Results: 0.6 A/cm² BSS from -30°C





- Cell w/ Low Perm GDL maintained positive voltage at 0.6A/cm² after anode freeze to -30°C
- There appears to be an optimum permeability. Results are dependent on rate of freeze.



Effect of GDL on Short-Stack BSS



•When all cells had baseline GDL configuration, anode end performed poorly on 0.3 A/cm² BSS.

•Inserting low permeability GDLs on the anode-end cells greatly improved their BSS performance.

-40°C Freeze/Thaw Survivability





-40°C Freeze/Thaw Survivability: Second 20-Cell Short Stack





- Excluding end-cells, negligible performance loss after 111 freeze/thaw cycles.
- Mostly recoverable decay observed on end-cells.

Progress vs. 2010 DOE Targets



- DOE Target: BSS from -40°C
 - Achieved: BSS from -35°C with Short Stack
 - With no air-side purge required on shutdown
 - Short fuel-side purge for system components (*e.g.*, fuel regulator)
 - Achieved: -40°C Freeze/Thaw Survivability
- DOE Target: 50% rated power in 30s from -20°C
 - Baseline Short Stack: 33% r.p.* in 30s from -12°C
 - Single Cell w/ Low Perm GDL:
 - 65% r.p. in 30s from -10°C (anode freeze & cathode freeze)
 - 47% r.p. in 30s from -29°C (anode freeze)
 - 33% r.p. in 30s from -29°C (cathode freeze)
- DOE Target: 50% rated power in 5s from +20°C
 - Achieved: 94% r.p. in 5s from +23°C (single cell)

* UTC's rated power is 0.65 W/cm²



- DOE-funded program completed on 4/30/2007
- Freeze work continues at UTC Power & UTRC
 - Both stack and system-level work ongoing
 - Additional optimization of cell design and materials required to achieve faster cold-start times
 - Further system simplifications to improve robustness
- Results strongly depend on water management:
 - Amount of water present in cell on shutdown
 - Water movement during freeze
 - Water production, movement, and removal on start





- Excellent BSS and freeze durability results have been achieved with UTC's microporous-plate cells.
- Freeze-decay mechanism:
 - H₂O moves down thermal gradient across GDL
 - Anode end of stack: More cathode flooding during freeze
- With low permeability GDL:
 - Less H₂O movement during freeze than baseline cells
 - Excellent performance after both anode- and cathode-side freeze
- Notable short-stack results:
 - Cold starts (BSS) successfully conducted down to -35°C
 - No purging of stack on shutdown required
 - 111 freeze/thaw cycles to -40°C with negligible performance loss