



# **PNNL Research as Part of the DOE Chemical Hydrogen COE**

Chris Aardahl

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy

DOE Hydrogen Program Annual Merit Review May 2007

Project ST-28

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information



# **Overview**



### **Timeline**

- Project Start: 3-15-05
- Project End: 9-30-09
- Complete: ~40%

# Budget

- FY06: \$1200K
- FY07: \$1700K

### **Barriers Addressed**

- System weight & volume for 2010
- $H_2$  release rate
- Thermal management
- Fuel cost: regeneration

# **Partners**





Objectives



- Investigate chemicals that promise to meet DOE goals
  - Storage density  $\rightarrow$  RELEASE (pathways/thermodynamics)
  - $H_2$  release rate  $\rightarrow$  RELEASE (kinetics)
  - Fuel cost  $\rightarrow$  REGENERATION
- Principal focus on solid ammonia borane (AB)
  - 19.6 wt%, 0.16 kg/L  $\rm H_2$  on a material basis
  - 13.1% quantitatively demonstrated and working to higher levels
- Coordinate efforts Center-wide on engineering



# **Team & Collaborations**



- PNNL Team: T. Autrey, D. Camaioni, S. Rassat, J. Linehan, W. Shaw, D. Dubois, D. Rector, D. Heldebrant, A. Karkamkar, K. Rappe, R. Zheng, D. Caldwell, J. Li, L. Li, X. Li
- Center Collaborations:
  - Ammonia Boranes: LANL, Penn, UW, Alabama
  - Engineering: Rohm & Haas, Millennium Cell, LANL
  - Fuel Stability: Rohm & Haas
  - Fuel Regeneration: LANL, Rohm & Haas, Penn, UC Davis, Alabama
  - Catalysis: LANL, Penn, UW
  - Computational Chemistry: Alabama
- IPHE Collaborators: Oxford University, Industrial Research Limited, National University of Singapore, University of Birmingham, Rutherford Appleton Labs, LANL
- Additional international collaboration through IEA Task 22
- Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory
  - Computational Chemistry Grand Challenge in Hydrogen Storage
  - High Field NMR Facility



# Approach



#### • Release

- Maximize H<sub>2</sub> from fuel to obtain high capacity
- Maintain balance with spent fuel  $\rightarrow$  need a composition that is regenerable
- Different approaches to control release and obtain high rates
  - Thermally driven release
  - Additives
  - Scaffolds
- Understand the impact of working with exothermic release  $\rightarrow$  safety
- Regeneration
  - Minimize energy and cost
  - Determine best route for digestion of solid spent fuels
  - Determine how to economically reduce boron centers
- State-of-the-art scientific instrumentation and computational chemistry tools
  - High Field NMR Facility
  - TGA/DSC thermochemistry
  - Spectroscopic tools: Raman, IR, XAFS, others
  - High resolution X-ray diffraction
  - Molecular Science Computing Facility (MSCF)
- Consider the system: use engineering tools to help direct research activities and identify pitfalls
  - Component & process models
  - Semi-continuous & continuous bench-scale investigations

# Data being collected for Center down-selection process at the end of FY07









- Release
  - Now understand the mechanism for the onset of release
    - Series of experiments with high field <sup>11</sup>B NMR
    - Confirmed nucleation and growth mechanism
    - Diammoniate intermediate is key to release
    - Mechanism valid up to ~ 120°C
  - Identified additives that accelerate release
  - Shown that AB is stable at 50/60°C and that impurities have a large impact on release
  - Explored higher loading in silica MCM-41 scaffolds
- Regeneration
  - Demonstrated complete digestion of solid spent fuel
  - Theory used to identify better digestion approaches
  - Theory used to build case for reduction approaches
- Engineering Assessment
  - Used bench scale kinetics to understand impact of 2010 rate requirement on reactor dimensions
  - Preliminary consideration of fuel morphology indicates capacity targets likely within reach



# 800 MHz <sup>11</sup>B NMR: Solid AB





- Peaks sharpen at 20 min. indicating mobile phase
- Feature at -(10-15) ppm is key to understanding mechanism

PNNL: W. Shaw, T. Autrey, J. Linehan

Impact: NMR teaches rate enhancement approaches



#### Transition from Nucleation to Growth





- Multiple triplets indicates series of -BH<sub>2</sub>- in slightly different chemical environments: oligomers nucleated by DADB
- DADB is the reaction initiator

#### PNNL: W. Shaw, T. Autrey, J. Linehan

#### Key result: DADB is key to increasing rate



### Additives Increase Release Rate







DADB



- Additives reduce the induction period
- Neat DADB → fastest kinetics
- BH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> & NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> improve kinetics
- NH₄CI is slightly better
  than DADB at 5% loading
- Acidic character appears to be important for the additive  $\rightarrow$  consistent with mechanistic work

Key result: additives to AB formulation accelerate release



- CENTER OF EXCELLENCE
  - Stability & Exothermic Release
  - DOE target for 2015: fuel needs to be stable at 60°C
- Solid ammonia borane shows an induction period before release
- Isothermal DSC data [Wolf et al., Thermochimica Acta 343, (2000) 19] can be used to extrapolate release trends to lower temperatures
- Adiabatic assumed as a worst case
  - Fuel predicted to be stable for ~ 100 hrs. at 60°C
  - Greater stability at lower T

#### **Avrami Kinetics**











ROHM

HAAS

# Measured Stability at 60°C



60°C, Two AB Sources



Solid ammonia borane

- Source A unstable
- Source B stable
- Source B had a significantly higher purity than source A
- If source A is dissolved and recrystallized, it becomes significantly more stable
- Adiabatic hold experiments indicate that the modeling approach was conservative within the range of formulations Rohm & Haas: A. Chin, J. Magee, G. VanSciver examined

#### Key Finding: fuel purity is critical for stability at 60°C

PNNL: S. Rassat



- <sup>11</sup>B NMR and DSC suggest di-H-bonds are disrupted at lower temperature
- 1:1 AB to scaffold releases hydrogen at 50°C
- Higher AB loading may offer best trade off between tuned thermodynamics and fuel stability

#### PNNL: A. Karkamkar, W. Shaw, T. Autrey

Key result: scaffolds allow tuning of thermodynamics and kinetics

10





- RECLAIMATION
- Spent fuel is off-boarded at fueling station
- Transported to large-scale regeneration facility

- DIGESTION (& DISPROPORTIONATION)
- First step is to dissolve the fuel
- Fuel has to be digested to allow chemical manipulation

- REDUCTION & DISPROPORTIONATION
- Reduction is required to get H<sub>2</sub> back into the spent fuel
- Disproportionation allows recovery of ammonia borane

#### REGENERATED FUEL VIA MULTI-STEP PATHWAY <sup>14</sup>



# **Digestion with Disproportionation**



Polyborazylene + t-BuOH Digestion in capped vial at room temperature 300 MHz <sup>11</sup>B NMR time study

- **Digestion:** *t*-BuOH digests compounds analogous to spent AB fuel
- **Disproportionation**: B(Ot-Bu)<sub>3</sub> and AB are formed over time from initial product
- There is competition between AB and H<sub>2</sub> formation
  - MeOH favors H<sub>2</sub>
  - *t*-BuOH favors AB

 $\begin{array}{l} 1/3 \ (\mathsf{BHNH})_3 + 2 \ R\mathsf{OH} \rightarrow (R\mathsf{O})_2 \mathsf{BH} + \mathsf{NH}_3 \ (\text{wanted}) \\ (R\mathsf{O})_2 \mathsf{BH} + \frac{1}{_3} \mathsf{NH}_3 \rightarrow \frac{2}{_3} (R\mathsf{O})_3 \mathsf{B} + \frac{1}{_3} \mathsf{BH}_3 \mathsf{NH}_3 \ (\text{wanted}) \\ R\mathsf{OH} + (R\mathsf{O})_2 \mathsf{BH} \rightarrow (R\mathsf{O})_3 \mathsf{B} + \mathsf{H}_2 \ (\text{unwanted}) \end{array}$ 

PNNL: D. Heldebrant, J. Linehan, D. Camaioni

Impact: B-H recovered through one pot digestion and disproportionation



### Digestion: Effect of Ammonia Addition and Alcohol Substitution





- 6-fold increase in B-H recovery with NH<sub>3</sub> overpressure
- MeOH gives little  $NH_3BH_3 \rightarrow B-H$  loss dominates
- $B(Ot-Bu)_3$  co-product  $\rightarrow$  B-OR bonds may be difficult to reduce

PNNL: D. Heldebrant, J. Linehan, D. Camaioni

Impact: ammonia speeds digestion & increases yield of NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> 6-fold



### Theory: A Guide to the Best Digestion Strategy







Rxn 1:  $(RO)_3B + H^- \rightarrow (RO)_3BH^-$ 

Alkyl	B-O (Å)	O-B-O	B-O-C	-∆ <i>H</i> 1
Glyceryl, I	1.43, 1.39	113°, 122°	82°, 93°	115
Cyclohexa-1,3,5-triyl, II	1.39	118°	101°	100
Methanetrimethyl, III	1.39	118°	100°	96
Triphenyl, <b>IV</b>	1.37	120°	126°	87
Trimethyl	1.37	120°	121°	51

PNNL: D. Camaioni, J. Li

Impact: strained B-O bonds are 36-64 kcal easier to reduce than B(OMe)<sub>3</sub>



Engineering Assessment Toward Meeting the DOE Rate Targets Bench Scale Kinetics → Reactor Size



Data vs DSC-Based Model

Neat AB, Gas Burette Tests



PNNL: S. Rassat, D. Heldebrant, T. Autrey

Impact: DOE rate target can be achieved with modest sized reactor



# Engineering Assessment Impact of Solid Fuel on Capacity



2 Equiv. H<sub>2</sub> from AB (13.1 wt%)



- Loosely packed AB powder cannot make the 2010 system target
- 2010 system target may be attainable with pellets
  - How is release impacted by pellet size?
  - What packing density is realistic?
- 2015 system target is not attainable with a pellet-based approach
- Game changers:
  - Ultra-low voidage approach
  - More  $H_2$  from AB

#### PNNL: S. Rassat, T. Autrey, A. Karkamkar

Volumetric capacity target looks possible with an engineered morphology



### Higher Temperature Preliminary Data



- New gas burette apparatus allows release at higher temperature
- Working to higher temperature to further increase rate and capacity
- Wt% > 16% H<sub>2</sub>
- Max rate >  $3 \text{ gH}_2/\text{s/kg AB}$
- Virtually no induction period observed at higher temperatures



#### D. Heldebrant, S. Rassat, T. Autrey

Key result: higher temperature could be the key to capacity & rate



### Future Work: Release



- Continue to drive up Capacity
  - Higher temperature  $\rightarrow$  > 2 equivalents (mechanism still poorly understood)
  - Volumetric: fuel morphology & low voidage formulations
- Continue to drive up Rate
  - Higher temperature  $\rightarrow$  faster kinetics
  - Additives enhance release and maintain stability
  - Need to understand kinetics of  $H_2$  release after 1<sup>st</sup> equivalent
- Quantitative measurement of  $H_2$  purity
- Scaffolds: different surface chemistry to tune thermodynamics, kinetics while maintaining fuel stability
- Reactor and process concepts for solids: keep working toward evaluation based on systems rather than materials
  - Apparatus being assembled for continuous processing
  - Examine impact of engineered solids on release
  - Component and process model development







- Drive to better efficiency
- Develop strategies that destabilize the bonds formed during digestion and offer good access to the B center for reduction
  - Theory indicates destabilization using polyols & phenols
  - Need to look at -SH in addition to -OH digestions
- Incorporate reduction pathways
  - Rohm & Haas efficiency analysis has shown that Zn may be an economical metal route to sodium borohydride
    - PNNL synthesizing ZnH<sub>2</sub> in support of experimental program
    - PNNL will examine  $ZnH_2$  for reduction of spent ammonia borane
  - Theory driving renewed interest in transfer hydrogenations
  - New pathway proposed by Dan Dubois at PNNL
    - Activates H<sub>2</sub> for reduction reactions
    - Theory suggests reduction of borate esters feasible
    - Use on B-O bonds directly or for regeneration of other hydrides
- Engineering analysis
  - Conceptual design & preliminary flow sheeting
  - Efficiency & cost analyses



Goal:  $H_2 + Base + (RO)_3 B \xrightarrow{Cat} (RO)_3 BH^- + Base H^+$ 

PNNL: D. Dubois, J. Linehan, D. Camaioni, D. Heldebrant

Impact: route demonstrated by Dubois et al. should reduce  $B(OPh)_3^{23}$ 







- Neat AB appears to be the front runner at present
  - Mechanism of release is pretty clear for 1<sup>st</sup> Equivalent
  - Temperature can be used to control rate
  - Demonstrated release of up to 16+ wt% (preliminary) for material
  - Need more kinetics studies on 2<sup>nd</sup>+ equivalents
  - Fuels can be formulated for long term stability at 60°C (2015 target)
- Additives have been identified that greatly accelerate the rate of release
  - Need to determine if there are additives that allow increased rate at release temperature, but stable fuel at 60°C
  - Scaffolds are still of great interest, but additional work needs to be done to be sure stable fuels are possible
- Simple engineered forms have potential to meet the 2010 gravimetric and volumetric targets for a system
- Based on the 2010 maximum rate target, reactor sizes look to be reasonable





- Shown how theory is guiding digestion and reduction work at PNNL
  - Need to destabilize borate ester co-products and look at –SH
  - Two ideas on reduction pathways to be examined over the next year
- Digestion & disproportionation with B-H recovery has been demonstrated
- Ammonia overpressure dramatically impacts B-H recovery
- Engineering analysis will start now that regeneration routes are emerging



# Summary Table (Solid Ammonia Borane)



Temp (°C)	Weight % H <sub>2</sub>		Vol. Cap. (kg/L)	Max. Rate	kg AB in Reactor
	2006	2007	(loose powder)	(gH <sub>2</sub> /s/kg)	for 2010 rate
60 (1:1 Scaffold)	3.2	3.2			
86.5	5.5	5.5			
120	6.5	7	0.021	1	2
140		>13	0.039	1.8	1
155		>16	0.048	>3	0.8

All numbers provided above are  $\underline{\mathsf{MATERIAL}}$  values

DOE targets are based on system:

2010 Gravimetric Capacity of 6%

2010 Volumetric Capacity of 0.045 kg/L

2010 Rate of 0.02 gH<sub>2</sub>/s/kW