

Fuel-Cycle Analysis of Hydrogen-Powered Fuel-Cell Systems with the GREET Model

Michael Wang
Argonne National Laboratory
June 10, 2008

Project ID # AN2

Overview

Timeline

- Project start date: Oct. 2002
- Project end date: Continuous
- Percent complete: N/A

Budget

- Total project funding from DOE: \$2.04 million through FY08
- Funding received in FY07: \$450k
- Funding for FY08: \$840k

Barriers to Address

- Inconsistent data, assumptions, and guidelines
- Suite of models and tools
- Unplanned studies and analyses

Partners

- H2A team
- PSAT team
- NREL
- Industry stakeholders


Objectives

- Expand and update the GREET model for hydrogen production pathways and for applications of FCVs and other FC systems
- Conduct well-to-wheels (WTW) analysis of hydrogen FCVs with various hydrogen production pathways
- Conduct life-cycle analysis of H₂-powered FC systems
- Provide WTW results for OFCHIT efforts on the Hydrogen Posture Plan and the MYPP
- Engage in discussions and dissemination of energy and environmental benefits of hydrogen FCVs and other FC systems

Approach

- **Obtain data for hydrogen production pathways**
 - **Open literature**
 - **H2A simulation results**
 - **Process engineering simulations with models such as ASPEN**
 - **Interact with hydrogen producers**
- **Obtain data for hydrogen FCVs and other FC Systems**
 - **Open literature**
 - **PSAT simulations**
 - **Data of available FCV models**
 - **Data from industry sources**
- **Expand and update the GREET model**
- **Conduct WTW or fuel-cycle simulations with GREET**
- **Analyze and present WTW results**

Argonne Has Been Developing The GREET Model Since 1995



- **Emissions of greenhouse gases**
 - CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O
- **Emissions of six criteria pollutants**
 - Total and urban separately
 - VOC, CO, NO_x, SO_x, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}
- **Energy use**
 - All energy sources
 - Fossil fuels (petroleum, NG and coal)
 - Petroleum
 - Coal
 - NG

- **GREET and its documents are available at**
<http://www.transportation.anl.gov/software/GREET/index.html>
- **At present, there are over 7,500 registered GREET users from**
 - Auto industry, energy industry, governments, universities, etc.
 - North America, Europe, and Asia
- **The most recent GREET1.8 version was released in March 2008**

What's New In GREET1.8?

- New fuel production pathways
 - Biomass to hydrogen with carbon capture and storage (CCS)
 - Brazilian sugarcane ethanol
 - Corn to butanol
 - Soybeans to renewable diesel via hydrogenation
 - Coal/biomass co-feeding for FT diesel production
 - Various corn ethanol plant types with different process fuels
- Hydrogen-powered FC systems (not available in public GREET1.8 yet)
 - FC forklifts vs. ICE and electric forklifts
 - FC distributed power generation vs. conventional distributed power generation
- Enhancements of existing pathways
 - Compression energy efficiencies for NG and H₂ calculated with the first law of thermodynamics
 - Tube trailer delivery option for gaseous H₂ to refueling stations
 - Inclusion of three methods in dealing with co-products for soybean-based biodiesel
 - Revision of petroleum refining energy efficiencies

Fuel-Cycle Analysis of FC Forklifts and FC Distributed Power Generation

- FC forklifts and distributed power generation are early markets to help development of hydrogen production and FC technologies
- Examine energy use for baseline and alternative technologies
- Track the energy use and emission occurrences throughout the upstream processes up to the primary source of energy for each technology
- The fuel cycle includes the following processes:
 - The recovery, processing, and transportation of the primary fuel (e.g., NG)
 - The conversion of the primary fuel (e.g., NG to H₂ or electricity)
 - The conditioning of the fuels (e.g., compression of H₂, AC-to-DC conversion, etc.)
 - The use of the conditioned fuels in forklifts or for distributed power generation
- Argonne's GREET model was expanded to estimate the fuel-cycle energy use and GHGs emissions for FC forklifts and distributed generation technologies

Key Assumptions for Fuel-Cycle Analysis of FC Forklifts and Distributed Power Generation

Forklifts

- Hydrogen consumption by FC forklifts based on data from early and current use; technological improvements and system optimization could reduce H₂ use
- Electricity consumption for electric forklifts from OEMs
- Equivalency ratio of energy use among different energy sources did not change with forklift class or size
 - The amount of hydrogen to substitute for 1 kWh of electricity was almost the same for all sizes and classes
 - 15 kWh electricity use at the wheels for electric forklifts is equivalent to 1 kg H₂ use for FC forklifts, and 2.8 gal propane, 1.8 gal gasoline, or 1.6 gal diesel use for ICE forklifts
- Hydrogen is compressed from 300 psi to 3000 psi for storage onboard forklifts
- Battery efficiency for electric forklifts assumed to be 76%; charger 84%


Distributed Power Generation

- Wide variation in generation capacity of 1-250 kW
- Efficiency of power generation is a strong function of the generator's capacity (higher efficiencies for larger capacities)
- Two general capacity ranges for fuel-cycle analysis:
 - Smaller capacity of power generation units (<10 kW)
 - Larger capacity of power generation units (>>10 kW)


Results of Distributed Power Generation Are Based on the Following Key Assumptions

Generation Technology	Energy Conversion Efficiency (from primary fuel to consumed electricity)	
	Capacity < 10 kW	Capacity >> 10 kW
Microturbine		25%
Natural Gas ICE	23%	35%
Diesel ICE		44%
NG PEMFC	24%	36%
NG PEMFC (DOE target)	40%	40%
NG SOFC	30%	48%
LPG SOFC		47%
Diesel SOFC		46%
NG PAFC		40%
NG MCFC		49%
US average mix (baseline)	38%	38%
CA average mix (baseline)	45%	45%


Fuel Cycle Results of Forklifts: Total Energy Use




Fuel-Cycle Results of Forklifts: GHG Emissions




Fuel-Cycle Results of Distributed Power Generation: Total Energy Use (Capacity < 10 kW)




Fuel-Cycle Results of Distributed Power Generation: GHG Emissions (Capacity < 10 kW)



Fuel-Cycle Results of Distributed Power Generation: Total Energy Use (Capacity >> 10 kW)



Fuel-Cycle Results of Distributed Power Generation: GHG Emissions (Capacity >> 10 kW)



Approach for FC PHEV WTW Analysis

- GREET is being expanded to include FC PHEV
- PSAT simulations are being conducted
 - Li-ion battery is assumed
 - FC PHEV with electric driving range of 10, 20, 30, and 40 miles
 - Size of FC stack and battery varies with different electric ranges
- VMT shares between FC operation and grid electricity operation will be estimated based on:
 - Daily VMT distribution
 - Electric driving range of FC PHEV
 - Charge depletion (CD) and charge sustaining operations are assumed to be sequential and separate
- Various hydrogen production options will be included
- Several electricity generation mixes will be included

Future Work

- New hydrogen production options
 - Biogas/landfill gas to hydrogen
 - Finalizing biomass to H₂ with CCS
- Fuel-cycle analysis of FC forklifts and distributed power generation
 - Criteria pollutants emissions
 - Potential market size for FC forklifts and distributed power generation
 - Cost analysis of distributed power generation technologies by market size and location
 - Combined fuel cell/gas turbine or CHP applications for high-temperature fuel cells
- FC PHEV WTW analysis (see previous slide)

Summary

- WTW analysis is an integral part of examining energy and environmental effects of hydrogen FCVs and other FC systems
- The GREET model has been developed as standard tool to examine energy and emission benefits of hydrogen-powered FC technologies
- H2 FC forklifts and distributed power generation achieve energy and GHG reduction benefits
- H2 FC PHEVs may offer energy and GHG reduction benefits