

# Thermodynamically Tuned Nanophase Materials for Reversible Hydrogen Storage

Ping Liu and John Vajo HRL Laboratories, LLC Malibu, CA

June 12, 2008



Project ID # ST30

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information



# Overview



## **Timeline**

- Project start date: March 2005
- Project end date: Feb 2010
- Percent complete: 60%

## **Budget**

### Total Project Funding:

- Phase I 3 years: \$1.65M
  - DOE Share: \$1.20M
  - Contractor Share: \$0.45M
- Phase II 2 years: \$1.1M
  - DOE Share: \$0.8M
  - Contractor Share: \$0.3M

## • Funding for FY08:

\$350K as of 4/1/07 (DOE), \$150K (cost share)

## **Technical Barriers**

- A. System weight and volume
- C. Efficiency
- E. Charging and discharge rates

## **Partners**

## MHCoE collaborations:

- U. Pitt, Georgia Tech: modeling of new systems and kinetic barriers
- Stanford: thin film systems
- Caltech, JPL, Hawaii, NIST: scaffolds
- Intematix: catalysis

### - DOE collaborations:

- Drexel, LLNL, PNNL: carbon scaffolds

## - International collaboration

- Norway IFE: synchrotron XRD





## **Overall**

To develop and demonstrate a safe and cost-effective lightmetal hydride material system that meets or exceeds the DOE goals for reversible on-board hydrogen storage

## 2007/2008

- To identify and test new high capacity Li- and Mg-based destabilized hydrides
  - Screen candidate LiBH<sub>4</sub> + MgX destabilized systems and evaluate energetics

and kinetics

- > Down-select systems for additional work
- Evaluate sorption kinetics and thermodynamics of  $\text{LiBH}_4$  and Mg in carbon aerogel scaffolds
  - Investigate effects of pore size and pore size distribution on reaction rates of LiBH<sub>4</sub>
  - > Incorporate Mg into the aerogel and measure its kinetics





Month/Year	Milestone or Go/No-Go Decision		
June-07	<b>Milestone: Incorporate Mg into carbon aerogel.</b> Facilitated Mg incorporation with Ni or Cu as a wetting layer. Continue to improve process to reduce aerogel destruction and increase Mg loading.		
Sept-07	Milestone: Complete screening of LiBH <sub>4</sub> +MgX system and down select for further studies. Tested X=Si and Ni and found Ni system to be reversible with promising kinetics; work to continue on this system.		
Sept-08	<b>Milestone:</b> Incorporate the LiBH <sub>4</sub> /MgH <sub>2</sub> destabilized system into nanoscale scaffold. Successfully incorporated LiBH <sub>4</sub> and MgH <sub>2</sub> separately. Working to encapsulate the combined system. Measure hydrogen sorption thermodynamics and kinetics; incorporate catalysts into the system and investigate their effect on reaction rate.		



### **Approach:** – *MH Destabilization and Nano-engineering* –





### Hydride Destabilization

(addresses thermodynamics)

#### Reduce reaction enthalpy by forming dehydrogenated alloy

- If alloy is stable w.r.t metal then hydride is destabilized
- System cycles between H-containing state and metal alloy  $\Rightarrow$  *lower*  $\Delta H$

Destabilization results in lower  $\triangle H$  and  $T_{1 bar}$ 



#### From Petricevic, et al., Carbon 39, 857 (2001)

### Nano-engineering

(addresses kinetics)

#### Decrease diffusion distances, nanoporous scaffolding

- Shorter diffusion distances: faster hydrogen exchange
- More efficient catalysis pathways
- Nano-scaffolds as hosts for nanostructured hydrides:
   ⇒ structure- directing agents, mitigate particle agglomeration

### Enhanced reaction rate and improved cycling





- Potential systems include: X = F, CI, OH, O, S, Se, CO<sub>3</sub>, Si, SO<sub>4</sub>, Cu, Ge, & Ni
  - > 12 destabilization reactions identified and characterized using HSC modeling software
  - ➢ H-capacities ranging from 5.4-9.6 wt.%, T<sub>1 bar</sub> from -10°C to 430 °C
  - > X = F, S, Se,  $CO_3$ , Cl, and Cu tested previously
- In FY07/08, two new systems tested, X = Si and Ni: 4LiBH<sub>4</sub> + Mg<sub>2</sub>Si↔ 4LiH + 2MgB<sub>2</sub> + Si + 6H<sub>2</sub> (7.3 wt.%, T<sub>1 bar</sub> = 230 °C) 4LiH + 2MgB<sub>2</sub> + Si absorbs 5.5 wt % H<sub>2</sub> at 150 bar, 350°C Hydrogenation forms LiBH<sub>4</sub> and Mg<sub>2</sub>Si However upon dehydrogenation Mg<sub>2</sub>Si does not react

## $4\text{LiBH}_4 + \text{Mg}_2\text{NiH}_4 \leftrightarrow 4\text{LiH} + 2\text{MgB}_2 + \text{Ni} + 6\text{H}_2(8.3 \text{ wt.\%})$

System cycles forming ternary boride(s) -- see following slides

**Results for LiBH<sub>4</sub>/Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni may indicate new class of systems** 





emperature (°C)



System cycles at ~ 6.5 wt % with some degradation

Weight Percent







LiBH<sub>4</sub>/Mg<sub>2</sub>NiH<sub>4</sub> appears to have formed upon hydrogenation
Ternary borides formed upon dehydrogenation; appear to cycle
Further characterization (FTIR, NMR) required





 $4LiBH_4 + Mg_2NiH_4 \longrightarrow 1/5Li_{2.4}Ni_5B_4 + 3.52LiH + 1.6MgB_2 + 0.4Mg + 8.3 wt\% H_2$ 

 $4\text{LiBH}_4 + \text{Mg}_2\text{NiH}_4 \leftrightarrow 1/3\text{MgNi}_3\text{B}_2 + 4\text{LiH} + 1.6\text{MgB}_2 + 8.0 \text{ wt\% H}_2$ 

 $4\text{LiBH}_4 + \text{Mg}_2\text{NiH}_4 \leftrightarrow 1/7.5\text{Mg}_3\text{Ni}_{7.5}\text{B}_6 + 4\text{LiH} + 1.6\text{MgB}_2 + 8.0 \text{ wt\% H}_2$ 

- Three ternary borides have XRD patterns consistent with our observations
- Further work to characterize reaction with NMR is planned
- Suggests computational and experimental efforts to search for other Li(Mg)-transition metal borides





# **Motivation:**

- Scaffolds are effective structure-directing agents for nanoscale hydrides
- Kinetics improved by limiting particle size and diffusion distances
- Thermodynamic changes possible through surface/interface energy effects
- Initial work demonstrated feasibility using LiBH<sub>4</sub> incorporated into carbon aerogels (in collaboration with T. Baumann, LLNL)



## **Current Effort:**

- optimize pore size and pore size distribution
- incorporate Mg into aerogels
- incorporate full LiBH<sub>4</sub>/MgH<sub>2</sub> destabilized system into aerogel







Rate for LiBH₄@aerogel ~60X rate for LiBH₄/graphite control sample

т1







• Rate for 13 nm > 25 nm, indicates influence of pore size

Relatively slow rate for 4 nm indicates requirement for access



## 2007 Status: Mg@Carbon Aerogel





- Nickel "wetting layer" enables incorporation of Mg from melt
  However, 900 °C is too high to preserve aerogel structure
- Lower temperatures needed



## Lower Temperature Process Improves Mg Encapsulation





Lower temperature (700 °C vs. 900 °C) reduces aerogel break down
Cube samples contain bulk Mg impurity







- MgH<sub>2</sub> in aerogel can be fully dehydrogenated  $\checkmark$
- P<sub>eq</sub>(250 °C) equal to bulk value, <u>no change in thermodynamics</u>



## Encapsulation Improves MgH<sub>2</sub> Desorption Kinetics





- Time (hr)
- Ni & Cu wetting layers catalyze dehydrogenation
- Rate without wetting layer still higher than (uncatalyzed) milled MgH<sub>2</sub>

**EXAMPLE Practicality of Aerogel Encapsulation** 



- **1. Gravimetric and Volumetric Penalties** 
  - Current aerogels:
    - ~ 1 cm<sup>3</sup>/g for 5 to 10 nm pore sizes
    - up to > 4 cm<sup>3</sup>/g for pore sizes > 20 nm
  - If kinetic improvements are sufficient, then will need:
    - ~ 5 to 10 nm pore sizes with > 3 cm<sup>3</sup>/g pore volume

This is difficult but not impossible (requires thinner scaffold walls which will exacerbate mechanical stability issues)

## 2. Other issues

- Chemical stability, i.e., CH<sub>4</sub> formation from carbon scaffolds
- Mechanical stability over multiple cycles? (Note: volume changes during cycling are contained within aerogel particles)

Aerogels are a useful research tool for studying nanoscale effects. Practical use will require advances in the aerogels themselves



Future Work – FY2007/08 –



**New Destabilized Systems** 

- Further characterize the LiBH<sub>4</sub>/Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni reaction
- Explore oxide-based destabilized reactions
   Ex: 6LiBH<sub>4</sub> + B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ⇔ 3Li<sub>2</sub>O + 8B +12H<sub>2</sub> (12.0%)

**Nanoporous Scaffolds** 

- Continue to work towards incorporating full LiBH<sub>4</sub>/MgH<sub>2</sub> destabilized system into carbon aerogel
  - Complete work on Mg incorporation (evaluate U Hawaii samples)
  - Add LiBH<sub>4</sub> to selected Mg@aerogel samples (test full system)
  - Continue to understand effects of pore size and pore size distribution
  - Optimize aerogel materials for pore size and volume



Summary <u>– FY 2007/08 –</u>



New Destabilized Systems

- Screened new LiBH<sub>4</sub>/MgX systems, X = Si and Ni
  - Observed new Ni based destabilized system with reversible capacity of ~ 6.5%
  - Observed the formation of ternary borides, pointing to potential new direction of discovery

Nanoporous Scaffolds

• Quantified rates for LiBH<sub>4</sub> dehydrogenation in aerogel:

- at 300 °C, rate in aerogel is 60X rate for control sample

- Incorporated Mg into aerogel at reduced temperature to minimize degradation of aerogel (in progress)
- Measured dehydrogenation rates for Mg@aerogel

 with Ni wetting layer/catalyst, the rate at 250°C comparable to best catalyzed bulk samples

Began to understand effects of pore size and pore size distribution

- smaller pores lower reaction temperatures; hydrogen access is important



## Program Direction – By System –



Destabilized System	Benchmark	2007 Status	2007/08 Progress	Future
LiBH₄ / MgH₂ @C aerogel 11.4 wt.%, 0.095 kg/L w/o aerogel, est. T <sub>1 bar</sub> =170°C	Could meet 2010 system weight and volume capacity goals (assuming 25% aerogel and 25% system penalties)	Lowered LiBH <sub>4</sub> dehydrogenation temp by 70°C in C-scaffold	<ul> <li>Reduced capacity penalty to 40%</li> <li>Measured 10x equilibrium pressure</li> <li>Incorporated Mg into aerogel</li> <li>Measured &gt; 150x reaction rate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Incorporate full destab.</li> <li>system in scaffold</li> <li>Optimize scaffold</li> </ul>
LiBH <sub>4</sub> / Mg <sub>2</sub> NiH <sub>4</sub> 8.3 wt%, est. T <sub>1 bar</sub> =150°C	Could meet 2010 system capacity goal (but only small system penalty)	Reversible capacity of ~6.5% at 350°C Slight degradation observed		Candidate for incorporation into scaffold
LiBH <sub>4</sub> / MgF <sub>2</sub> 7.6 wt%, est. T <sub>1 bar</sub> =150°C	Could meet 2010 system capacity goal (but only small system penalty)	Hydrogen uptake ~6.5% at 300-350°C Dehydrogenation 5.3% Not fully reversible		Candidate for incorporation into scaffold
LiBH₄ / MgS 8.0 wt%, est. T <sub>1 bar</sub> =170°C	Could meet 2010 system capacity goal (but only small system penalty)	Hydrogen uptake ~6% at 300°C Dehydrogenation 4.3% Not fully reversible		Candidate for incorporation into scaffold
Other LiBH₄ / MgX 4-10 wt.%, est. T <sub>1 bar</sub> : -10 to 430°C	Could meet 2007 goal (including moderate system penalty)	Sorption meas.: X=CO <sub>3</sub> No destabilization	Sorption meas.: X=Cl, Cu No destabilization	•Test new destab. agents, X=O, OH, Ni •Use nano-engineering to improve kinetics