

# First-Principles Modeling of Hydrogen Storage in Metal Hydride Systems J. Karl Johnson & David S. Sholl

University of Pittsburgh, Georgia Institute of Technology

12 June 2008



Project ID # ST33

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

DOE Hydrogen Program







## Timeline

- Project start date: FY05
- Project end date: FY10
- Percent complete: 60%

# Budget

- Requested total: \$1.05M (DOE)
- Cost sharing: \$0.33M
- FY06 \$175K (DOE)
- FY07 \$218K (DOE) requested

# Barriers

- A. System weight and volume
- E. Charging/discharging rates (kinetics)
- P. Lack of Understanding of Hydrogen Physisorption and Chemisorption

# Partners

- Cal Tech, GE, HRL, U. Hawaii, JPL, NIST, Sandia, Stanford, UIUC, U. Utah
- Coordination of theory work within MHCoE through the theory working group





### **Overall Objectives**

- Compute thermodynamics of metal hydride systems
- Compute interfacial properties of hydrides
- Address fundamental processes in hydrogenation

### **Specific Objectives for FY07-FY08**

- Develop an automated approach for screening complex hydrides by gravimetric densities and heats of reaction, ΔH.
- Explore nanoparticle thermodynamics through calculation of surface energies and Wulf construction calculations.
- Screen doped hydrides for phase stability.
- Compute surface reactions as relating to poisoning and initial kinetics of hydrogenation/dehydrogenation.
- Investigate the structure and thermodynamics of  $Mg(BH_4)_2$ .



# Milestones



Month/Year	Milestone or Go/No-Go Decision
Feb-07	Identify single-step reactions having acceptable hydrogen gravimetric densities and thermodynamics using the automated free energy search procedure. Paper has now been published: <i>J. Phys. Chem. C</i> , <b>112</b> , 5258-5262 (2008).
Jun-08	Identify and classify multi-step and metastable reactions having acceptable hydrogen capacities and thermodynamics using the automated free energy search procedure. Interesting multi-step reactions have been identified. More calculations and analysis required.
Sept-08	Investigate dehydrogenation/hydrogenation pathways for Mg(BH4) <sup>2</sup> in concert with experimental efforts. Experiments have identified Mg(B12H12) as a possible amorphous phase intermediate.







Plane wave Density Functional Theory (DFT) is well suited to treating hydrogen interactions with metals<sup>1,2</sup>



#### **Planewave PAW Pseudopotentials**

- Gradient-corrected DFT (PW91)
- Convergence reached with energy cutoff and *k*-space sampling
- All solid state structures fully optimized within experimental space group

Enthalpy Changes at T=0 K (neglecting zero point energies)  $\Delta U_0$ 



PHONON code<sup>3</sup> direct lattice method for finite temperature thermodynamics





Changes in *Gibbs Free Energy* **∆G** 

- 1. Kamakoti et al., Science, 307, 569 (2005)
- 2. Wolverton et al., Phys. Rev. B, 69, 144109 (2004)
- 3. K. Parlinski, Sofware PHONON (2005)



### Reaction Screening Much Improved in FY08



Alapati, Johnson, and Sholl, *J. Phys. Chem.* C **111,** 1584 (2007); *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **9** 1438 (2007)

#### Previously,

DFT calculations used to give energies of ~200 potentially relevant crystal structures

Reaction enthalpies of hundreds of possible reactions calculated (but list of reactions developed in an ad-hoc manner)

Free energy calculations performed for most interesting reactions, predicting van't Hoff curves

Multiple new reactions (not previously examined in literature) predicted to have favorable reaction thermodynamics

in response to feedback from Tech Team and DOE Reviewers.....

#### Major FY2008 Reaction Screening Accomplishments:

We can now screen reactions with account of multistep character, study reactions in a more comprehensive and rigorous way and provide more accurate prediction of single-step reactions.



Approach



Adapted from Akbarzedeh, Ozoliņš, Wolverton, Adv. Mater. 19, 3233 (2007)



 At fixed *T*, minimize grand potential, Ω, via linear programming to obtain equilibrium composition
 Ramp *T* to detect all possible reactions over a specified range

Example:

```
7 LiBH<sub>4</sub> + MgH<sub>2</sub> → 7 LiH + MgB<sub>7</sub> + 11.5 H<sub>2</sub>
```

```
\begin{array}{c|c} 2 \text{ LiBH}_4 + \text{MgH}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ LiH} + \text{MgB}_2 + 4 \text{ H}_2 \\ 2 \text{ LiBH}_4 + \text{MgB}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ LiH} + \text{MgB}_4 + 3 \text{ H}_2 \\ 3 \text{ LiBH}_4 + \text{MgB}_4 \rightarrow 3 \text{ LiH} + \text{MgB}_7 + 4.5 \text{ H}_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Step 1 } T_1 \\ \text{Step 2 } T_2 \\ \text{Step 3 } T_3 \end{array}
```







Over 16 million discrete compositions are screened in this way





#### A number of "interesting" reactions listed in our previous papers are still predicted to be viable single step processes

 $CaH_2 + 6 LiBH_4 \rightarrow CaB_6 + 6 LiH + 10 H_2$  (11.7 wt.%,  $\Delta U_0 = 63 \text{ kJ/mol}$ )

 $ScH_2 + 2 LiBH_4 \rightarrow ScB_2 + 2 LiH + 4 H_2$  (8.9 wt.%,  $\Delta U_0 = 50 \text{ kJ/mol}$ )\*

 $TiH_2 + 2 LiBH_4 \rightarrow TiB_2 + 2 LiH + 4 H_2$  (8.6 wt.%,  $\Delta U_0 = 22 \text{ kJ/mol}$ )

 $\text{LiNH}_2 + \text{C} \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{CN}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2 \quad (7.0 \text{ wt.\%}, \Delta \text{U}_0 = 31 \text{ kJ/mol})^{**}$ 

 $LiBH_4 + C \rightarrow LiBC + 2 H_2$  (12.0 wt%,  $\Delta U_0 = 44 \text{ kJ/mol})^{**}$ 

\*Reaction being studied experimentally by Ahn(Cal Tech)/Vajo(HRL)/Bowman(JPL) \*\*Reactions being studied experimentally by Fang(Utah)



### Results for Single-Step Reactions with LiBH<sub>4</sub>



Alapati, Johnson, & Sholl, J. Phys. Chem. C, 112, 5258 (2008).

Only reactions with  $15 < \Delta U_0 < 75 \text{ kJ/mol H}_2 \text{ and } > 6 \text{ wt.\% H retained}$ Example:  $6 \text{ LiBH}_4 + 2 \text{ TiN} \rightarrow 6 \text{ LiH} + 2 \text{ TiB}_2 + 2 \text{ BN} + 9 \text{ H}_2$ , 7.1 wt.% H,  $\Delta U_0 = 36 \text{ kJ/mol}$ 





> 6 wt% H and 15 <  $\Delta U_0$  < 75 kJ/mol H<sub>2</sub>

11





A number of "interesting" reactions listed in our previous papers are now known to proceed by more complicated multistep pathways....

- A.  $\text{LiNH}_2 + \text{MgH}_2 \rightarrow \text{LiMgN} + 2 \text{H}_2$
- -- Forms 0.5  $Mg(NH_2)_2$  + 0.5  $MgH_2$  + LiH on rehydrogenation

Predicted Possible Dehydrogenation Paths starting from LiH, Mg(NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, LiNH<sub>2</sub>  $0.5 \text{ MgH}_2 + 0.25 \text{ Mg}(\text{NH}_2)_2 \rightarrow 0.25 \text{ Mg}_3\text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2$   $0.33 \text{ LiH} + 0.25 \text{ Mg}(\text{NH}_2)_2 \rightarrow 0.33 \text{ LiNH}_2 + 0.083 \text{ Mg}_3\text{N}_2 + 0.33 \text{ H}_2$   $0.67 \text{ LiH} + 0.33 \text{ LiNH}_2 + 0.33 \text{ Mg}_3\text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{LiMgN} + 0.67 \text{ H}_2$ Similar multi-step pathway identified by Akbarzedeh, et al., Adv. Mater. 19, 3233 (2007)

- B.  $4 \text{LiBH}_4 + \text{MgH}_2 \rightarrow \text{MgB}_4 + 4 \text{LiH} + 7 \text{H}_2$
- C. 7  $\text{LiBH}_4 + \text{MgH}_2 \rightarrow \text{MgB}_7 + 7 \text{LiH} + 11.5 \text{H}_2$

Both reactions proceed by multistep paths (this situation also identified by Ursula Kattner using CALPHAD)

- D.  $XH_2 + 2 \text{ LiBH}_4 + 2 \text{ C} \rightarrow XB_2C_2 + 2 \text{ LiH} + 4 \text{ H}_2 (X = \text{Sc, Mg, or Ca})$
- E.  $ScH_2 + 2 LiBH_4 + C \rightarrow XB_2C + 2 LiH + 4 H_2$



Ι.



*Our predictions are motivating experimental studies outside MHCoE:* 

#### $CaH_2 + 6 LiBH_4 \rightarrow CaB_6 + 6 LiH + 10 H_2$

Experiments: Goudy et al. (unpublished),  $P_{eq}$ Pinkerton et al. (*J. Alloys Compds.*, in press),  $\Delta H$ 

Theory predicts experimentally observed  $P_{eq}$  and  $\Delta H$  with good accuracy

### II. $Ca(AIH_4)_2 + 2 MgH_2$

Experiments by Hanada, et al., J. Phys. Chem. C, 112 131 (2008).

```
Experiments and theory both show a multistep process:

Ca(AIH_4)_2 \rightarrow CaAIH_5 + AI + 1.5 H_2
CaAIH_5 \rightarrow CaH_2 + AI + 1.5H_2
12 AI + 17 MgH_2 \rightarrow AI_{12}Mg_{17} + 17 H_2
```

Theory predicts one more reaction at higher T:  $CaH_2 + AI_{12}Mg_{17} \rightarrow 17 Mg + 6 AI_2Ca + 6 H_2$ 



Two examples shown below – many others identified  $0.67MgH_2 + 0.67NaAlH_4 \rightarrow 0.67NaMgH_3 + 0.67Al + H_2, \Delta U_0 = 31.1 kJ/molH_2, 3.76wt\%$ 

0.67NaMgH<sub>3</sub> + 0.47Al  $\rightarrow 0.67$ NaH + 0.04Al<sub>12</sub>Mg<sub>17</sub> + 0.67H<sub>2</sub>,  $\Delta U_0 = 68.7$  kJ/mol/H<sub>2</sub>, 2.91wt%

0.67NaH  $\otimes 0.67$ Na + 0.33H<sub>2</sub>,  $\Delta U_0 = 88.0$  kJ/mol H<sub>2</sub>, 4.20wt%

Thermodynamically reasonable steps 6.67 wt% total

 $0.9302 \text{NaAlH}_{4} + 0.0465 \text{CaAlH}_{5} \rightarrow 0.0465 \text{CaH}_{2} + 0.3101 \text{Na}_{3} \text{AlH}_{6} + 0.6667 \text{Al} + \text{H}_{2}, \Delta U_{0} = 31.73 \text{ kJ/mol} \text{H}_{2}, 3.76 \text{ wt}\%$ 

 $0.3101 \text{Na}_3 \text{AlH}_6 \rightarrow 0.9302 \text{NaH} + 0.3101 \text{Al} + 0.4651 \text{H}_2, \Delta U_0 = 50.88 \text{ kJ/mol H}_2, 2.96 \text{ wt\%}$ 

 $0.0465 \text{CaH}_2 + 0.0930 \text{Al} \rightarrow 0.0465 \text{Al}_2 \text{Ca} + 0.0465 \text{H}_2, \Delta U_0 = 72.26 \text{ kJ/mol} \text{H}_2, 2.10 \text{ wt}\%$ 

All three steps within thermodynamic criterion; 8.8 wt% total 14



Metastable Reaction Paths (Prelim. Results)

Georgialnsti of Technolog

We have extended our thermodynamic analysis to consider metastable paths



CaH<sub>2</sub> + 1.5 Si + 3 Mg(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

These results are with 0 K enthalpies – ordering could change when full free energy is considered ("entropic stabilization"). Of 43 single-step reactions, 25 have one (or more) alternate pathways within 10 kJ/mol  $H_2$  other than direct decomposition of one reactant

Multiple pathways need to be considered!





Our calculations are based on a catalog of known compounds. If a reaction involves a previously unknown compound, our methods cannot describe it.

-- Implication: Aggressive efforts to identify crystal structures of new compounds are vital

Our results are for thermodynamic equilibrium only. They give no information about reaction kinetics or choice of catalysts.

-- Implication: New insights and experiments are needed to understand which reactions may be kinetically feasible or promoted by catalysts

Our methods do not consider gas-phase products other than H<sub>2</sub>.

-- Implication: Methods should be extended to allow consideration of gas-phase contaminants (NH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>...)

These results include only stoichiometric compounds, so doped materials are not included as candidate materials.

-- Implication: CALPHAD and detailed DFT approaches should be used to assess possible doping strategies for promising systems

See Alapati, Johnson, & Sholl, *Phys. Rev. B* 76, 104108 (2007)



- Calculations complement experimental work by MHCoE partner GE. Zhao et al., *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci,* **B63**, 561 (2007).
- DFT optimization of crystal structure identified a higher symmetry structure, slightly lower in energy. Computed XRD patterns in good agreement with experiments. Dai et al., *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **112**, 4391-4395 (2008).
- Calculations provide insight into energetics of competing structures.



Nanosize Effects on Reaction Thermodynamics



Kim, Dai, Johnson & Sholl, in preparation

DFT used to predict surface energy contributions to reaction thermodynamics of simple hydrides for nanosized particles with equilibrium crystal shape



Thermodynamic effects are small for free particles with radius > 5 nm





Metal alanates: MAIH<sub>4</sub> (M = Li, Na, K)

 $\begin{array}{l} 3\mathsf{MAIH}_4 \leftrightarrow \mathsf{M}_3\mathsf{AIH}_6 + 2\mathsf{AI} + 3\mathsf{H}_2 \\ 2\mathsf{M}_3\mathsf{AIH}_6 \leftrightarrow \mathsf{6MH} + 2\mathsf{AI} + 3\mathsf{H}_2 \\ (\mathsf{M=Li, Na and K}) \end{array}$ 

The experimental consensus is that second reaction is reversible <u>without</u> catalyst for M = K, reversible with catalyst for M = Na, and <u>not reversible</u> for M = Li.

Our hypothesis is that AI plays a similar role in each system, so differences in reversibility of second reaction are linked to  $MH/H_2$  reactions.

Do differences in poisoning of MH surfaces with  $O_2$  and/or  $H_2O$  lead to differences in hydrogenation of MH (+2 AI)?



# H<sub>2</sub>O on LiH, NaH, and KH Surfaces





- H<sub>2</sub>O weakly adsorbs on LiH, NaH, KH surfaces, but does not dissociate without a barrier.
- DFT MD at 600-700 K shows that  $H_2O$  will dissociate on the LiH, NaH and KH surface to form bound OH and  $H_2$  in the gas phase.



### H<sub>2</sub>O Reaction Pathways on MH Surfaces





Turnover frequency (300 K, including ZPE, s<sup>-1</sup>site<sup>-1</sup>)

9.9x10<sup>8</sup> 4.0x10<sup>10</sup> 6.3x10<sup>9</sup>

Do differences in poisoning of MH surfaces with O<sub>2</sub> and/or H<sub>2</sub>O lead to differences in hydrogenation of MH (+2 AI)?

### Our results do not support this idea – theory indicates that reaction of H<sub>2</sub>O with every material is rapid







Georgialnstitute of Technology

### • FY 2008

- Finalize reaction screening including multistep and metastable reactions
- Prioritize with experimental input plan for action on identified reactions
- Continue work on surface/nanosize effects to complement experiments

### • FY 2009

- Extend thermodynamic screening activities to include minority gas-phase contaminants
- Continue support of experimental efforts in interpretation of structures and kinetics for most promising reactions



**Summary** 



- Relevance: Theory is a powerful tool for screening candidate materials
- Technical Accomplishments:
  - Thermodynamics of millions of possible reaction compositions have been predicted
  - Most reactions discarded as thermodynamically impractical
  - A set of >40 promising reactions have been identified for experimental exploration
  - Nanoscale surface effects on thermodynamics
- Future work:
  - Metastable/multi-step reaction identification
  - Nanosize effects
  - Kinetics and surface reactions
- Personnel: Sudhakar Alapati, Bing Dai, Ki Chul Kim, Anant Kulkarni