

Fuel Quality in Fuel Cell Systems

S. Ahmed, D. Papadimas, R. Kumar
Argonne National Laboratory

Presented at the 2010 Hydrogen Program Annual Merit Review Meeting
Washington DC, June 8, 2010

Project ID: AN010

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Project Overview

Timeline

- Project Start: October 2007
- Project End: Open

Budget

- FY 07: \$200 K
- FY 08: \$350 K
- FY 09: \$200 K
- FY 10: \$200 K

Barriers

- B. Stove-Piped/Siloed Analytical Capability
- D. Suite of Models and Tools

Partners

- Energy Companies (BP, GTI)
- National Laboratories (NREL)
- Fuel Cell Companies
- International
 - Japan Gas Association
 - International Standards Org



Relevance - Impurities in hydrogen affect the performance, life, and cost of fuel cell systems

- Fuel Cell systems operate on hydrogen and H₂-rich reformates that contain impurities
 - Inerts / diluents, reversible / irreversible poisons
- The effect of impurities depends on the type of fuel cell
 - Electrolyte, anode, operating temperature, concentration of impurities
- The impurities usually enter the fuel cell system with the feedstock
 - Natural gas, landfill gas, bio-derived liquid, etc.
- The critical impurities are removed before the vulnerable component
 - e.g., sulfur before reforming catalyst, ammonia before fuel cell anode, etc.
- Impurity removal adds to the lifecycle cost of the fuel cell
 - Existing clean-up strategies are often expensive or burdensome
 - E.g., low sorbent capacity, regeneration or waste disposal
 - Newer clean-up technologies will accelerate deployment of fuel cell systems



Relevance - Objective

- Study the impact of impurities on fuel cell systems
 - Components affected
 - Performance loss
 - Degradation
 - Clean-up strategies and their cost factors
- Identify the impurity – system configurations that are most constrained by impurity effects
- Recommend R&D that can
 - Mitigate the deleterious effects
 - Provide alternative and less expensive clean-up options



Approach

- Track the pathway between the feedstock and the fuel cell through literature review and industry experience
- Assess the impact of the impurities on the fuel cell
 - e.g., sorbent capacity and associated cost, loss in performance
- Identify opportunities to enhance impurity removal or tolerance



Previous Years Technical Accomplishments

- Studied the effect of impurity concentrations on the process efficiency and cost of hydrogen
 - Produced at distributed production centers (1500 kg/day)
 - From Natural Gas
 - Based on Steam Reforming followed by Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA)
 - In most cases, the allowable limit for CO (0.2 ppm) limited the H₂-recovery of the PSA unit
 - The cost of hydrogen was marginally affected by the CO concentration
- Extended the study to include
 - Hydrogen from NG using Autothermal Reforming
 - Hydrogen for Central production plants using coal gasification



Technical Accomplishments and Progress - FY10

A database has been set up to document the effects

- The template classifies a fuel cell system in terms of
 - The type of fuel cell (e.g., PEFC, SOFC, ...)
 - The feedstock / fuel that it uses (e.g., NG, landfill gas, biogas, ...)
 - The impurities present in the fuel (e.g., sulfur, metals, ...)
 - The fuel purification / impurity management strategies employed (e.g., HDS, sorbent, ...)
 - Conversion to syngas / reformat (e.g., gasification, steam reforming, ...)
 - Reformate purification (e.g., PSA, sorbent, ...)
 - The type of application (e.g., stationary, remote, ...)
 - Outputs (e.g., kWe, heat, hydrogen, ...)
 - Impurities entering the fuel cell (e.g., sulfur, ammonia, metals, ...)
 - Impact (on performance, durability, cost)



Technical Accomplishments and Progress

Identified the fuel impurities*

- Natural gas impurities are few
 - Oxygen, sulfur, helium, nitrogen, light HCs, moisture
- Biogas contains many species that can be classified into
 - Sulfur, siloxane, hydrocarbons, halides, air, moisture, etc.
- Syngas from coal contains metal vapors and oxides

*Meets March 2010 milestone



Landfill gas contains a wide variety of species at concentrations of less than 0.05%

| Major Species: % | Paraffins | ppm | Aromatics | ppm |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|---|-----|
| CH₄: 41-54 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Isobutane <100 ▪ Isopentane <970 | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Isopropylbenzene <6 ▪ Benzene <5 | |
| CO₂: 32-35%; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ N-Pentane <180 | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Toluene <21 ▪ Xylene (and isomers) <45 | |
| O₂: 0.7-0.9%; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Hexanes <390 | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Styrene <0.5 ▪ Ethylbenzene <13 | |
| N₂: 11-13% | <p><u>Sulfur</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Hydrogen Sulfide <280 ▪ Methyl Mercaptan <0.5 ▪ Ethyl Mercaptan <8 ▪ Dimethyl Sulfide <0.02 ▪ Carbon Disulfide <0.5 ▪ Methanethiole <0.5 <p><u>Cyclics</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Pinene <86 ▪ Limonene <25 | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Trimethylbenzene <14 <p><u>Halides</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Chlorobenzene <1 ▪ Dichloroethene <33 ▪ Dichloroethane <0.25 ▪ Cis-1,2 Dichloroethane <5 ▪ Methylene Chloride <12 ▪ Trichloromethane <0.6 ▪ Trichloroethene <6.3 ▪ Vinyl Chloride <1.4 <p><u>Organic Silicon</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Siloxane (D3, D4*, D5, L2, L4) <15* ▪ Trimethylsilanol <12 | |

- Urban, W., Lohmann, H., Salazar Gomez, J.I., Journal of Power Sources, 193 (2009) 359-366.
 - Speigel, R.J., Preston, J.L., and Trocciola, J.C., Energy 24 (1999) 723-742.



Technical Accomplishments and Progress

Available information is being documented for each item in the database

- Identifies the impurities of concern contained in a feedstock and their effects, e.g.,
 - Coal contains metals that are volatilized during gasification
 - The metals react with active surfaces (catalyst, heat exchanger)
 - The metals condense out at various temperatures (locations within the system)
 - Landfill gas contains siloxanes
 - Siloxanes oxidize to form silica that deposits on surfaces
 - Siloxanes can be removed by sorbents (e.g., silica gel, bentonite, etc.)
 - Removal is affected by siloxane type, sorbent used, and the nature of the other impurities (e.g, water)
 - Sorbent beds are often staged
 - Life / capacity are generally low

Siloxane Uptake Capacity of Silica Gel*

| Rel. Humidity, % | L2 | D5 |
|------------------|-----|-----|
| 0 | 11 | 10 |
| 10 | 10 | 8.4 |
| 20 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| 30 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| 50 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

*Schweigkofler et al (2001), *Journal of Hazardous materials B83* 183-196

Technical Accomplishments and Progress

Impurity removal strategies are identified

- Sulfur is removed by HDS, Adsorption, Absorption, Oxidation

Example of medium used for H₂S and mercaptan removal*

| Medium | Regeneration | Capacity | \$/kg of H ₂ S |
|------------------------------|--------------|---|---------------------------|
| Iron Sponge (Iron Oxide) | 2-3 X | 2.5 kg-H ₂ S/kg-Fe ₂ O ₃ | 0.35-1.35 |
| Sulfa Treat® (Iron Oxide) | No | 0.5-0.7 kg-H ₂ S/kg-Fe ₂ O ₃ | 4.85-5.00 |
| Sulfur Rite® (Iron Oxide) | No | | 7.95-8.50 |
| Media G2® (Iron Oxide) | 15 X | 0.5 kg-H ₂ S/kg-Fe ₂ O ₃ | 2.90-3.00 |
| Impregnated activated carbon | Yes | 0.12 g-S/g-C | 1.75-2.00 |

- Pressure swing adsorption is very effective for most impurities
- Membrane permeation (H₂) is effective but the membrane is vulnerable to poisoning by sulfur
- Phase separation is used for the removal of moisture, ammonia, metals

*Zicari, S (2003). M.Sc Thesis - Cornell University; Spiegel et al (2003). Waste Management, 23, 709-717

Technical Accomplishments and Progress

The documented projects have been classified in terms of application and output

- The applications range from
 - Stationary – central, distributed, remote
 - Portable
 - Transportation – auxiliary power, forklifts, etc.
- With outputs that include
 - Electric power
 - Heat, steam, hot water
 - Hydrogen



Technical Accomplishments and Progress

Higher temperature fuel cells are less affected by impurities

- Manufacturers define tolerance limits based on degradation rate, cost of maintenance, maintenance schedule, life, etc.
 - Tolerance limits are affected by stack temperatures

Reported and (**Tolerance Limits**) of Fuel Cells* to Select Impurities
(The tolerance limits usually have constraints on exposure time)

| | PEFC | PAFC | MCFC | SOFC |
|-------------------|------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Sulfur | | 0.40 ppm (3) | 0.1 ppm (?) | 1 ppm (?) |
| Ammonia | | 0.25 ppm (?) | | 5000 ppm (?) |
| CO | | | | |
| Total Halide | | 0.01 ppm (3) | | |
| Tars | | | | 0.5 g/Nm ³ (?) |
| Silanes/Siloxanes | | 0.08 ppm (?) | | |

*Reformate/Syngas based systems

Collaborations

- We are grateful for the technical support and guidance from
 - Fuel Cell Energy
 - Versa Power
 - Acumentrics
 - Nuvera



Summary

- A database is being set up to document the impurity levels and management in fuel cell applications
 - The data are being classified on the basis of the unit operations and processes of the system
- The key impurities in the feedstock fuel and the fuel gas to the anode have been identified
 - The concentration levels of these impurities are being determined
 - Open literature, personal communications
- Sulfur, siloxanes, and halides are detrimental for all fuel cells
 - Ammonia, CO, hydrocarbons are less damaging for the higher temperature fuel cells
 - Metals are easier to remove for low temperature fuel cells



Proposed Future Work

- Available data will be organized within the database and analyzed to identify
 - The key impurity that is limiting a fuel cell system performance
 - Capital and operating costs, maintenance frequency, regeneration or waste disposal, life of components
- Identify the R&D needed to help resolve the limitations for each combination of fuel and fuel cell application
 - Report the results from this study (September 2010)

