2010 DOE Hydrogen Program The Effect of Airborne Contaminants on Fuel Cell Performance & Durability

Richard Rocheleau, Principal Investigator

Hawaii Natural Energy Institute School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology

University of Hawaii at Manoa

June 8, 2010



Project FC065

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Overview

Timeline

- ✓ Start Contract Pending
- ✓ Finish May 2014*

Budget

- \$4.5 million
 - \$3.6 million DOE
 - \$0.9 million Research Team
- Funding Received in FY10
 - \$0

Barriers

- ✓ Fuel Cells
 - A. Durability
 - C. Performance

Partners

- University of Hawaii Hawaii Natural Energy Institute (lead)
- University of Connecticut Center for Clean Energy Engineering**
- Ballard Power Systems
- UTC Power

* Assumes original 4 year program period

** Formerly the Connecticut Global Fuel Cell Center at the University of Connecticut

Technical Barriers/Targets-Relevance

- Technical barriers to performance & durability:
 - Tolerance to air impurities not established
 - Need for mitigation strategies not established
 - Effects of air impurities on MEAs (e.g. ECA) are not known
 - Loss of performance with air impurities may present challenge to meeting performance targets at low catalyst loadings
- Technical targets for membranes & electro-catalysts:
 - Durability with cycling
 - Minimum ECA loss
 - Minimum catalyst support loss
 - Minimum mass and specific activity loss

Objectives - Relevance

- Characterize, analyze, and understand the effects of airborne contaminants that have the potential to reduce the performance or durability of PEMFC
- Develop empirical and mechanistic models to increase understanding of cell operation and kinetics in presence of contaminants
- Characterize in situ and ex-situ recovery techniques which will mitigate contaminant impacts
- Disseminate results to industry

Approach



Approach: Task Timeline & Milestones

| Task | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| 1. Contaminant Studies | | | | |
| 2. Real Life Operation & Mitigation Strategies | | | | |
| 3. Modeling of Contaminant Effects | | | | |
| 4. Outreach | | | | |
| End of Fiscal Year Milestones | Prioritize, test, & report performance impact of relevant airborne contaminants | Report effects of real life operation on performance & durability | Report principle poisoning mechanisms and spatial modeling results | Demonstrate successful mitigation of most significant airborne contaminants |

Approach: Partner Responsibilities

| Task/Institution | HNEI | C2E2 | Ballard | UTC |
|---------------------------------|------|------|---------|-----|
| Impurity Screening | X | X | X | X |
| Testing | X | X | | |
| Analysis | X | X | X | X |
| | | | | |
| Empirical Modeling | X | X | | |
| Mechanistic Modeling | | X | | |
| | | | | |
| Mitigation Strategy Development | X | X | X | X |
| Mitigation Strategy Testing | X | Χ | | |
| | | | | |
| Outreach | X | X | X | X |

Approach: Task Details

- Task 1: Contaminant Studies
 - Identify, survey, and prioritize contaminants)
 - Quantify impact under steady state & cyclic operation
 - Characterize self-recovery in neat air
 - Conduct *ex-situ* analysis of key components
- Task 2: Real World Operation & Mitigation Strategies
 - Characterize priority contaminants under transient & cyclic operation
 - Characterize effects of various purge and start-stop procedures
 - Explore mitigation strategies for contaminant removal or to enhance fuel cell tolerance
- Task 3: Model Development & Application
 - Develop empirical models to characterize spatial effects
 - Develop & use mechanistic models to increase understanding of electrochemical kinetics
- Task 4: Outreach, Task 5: Project Management

Approach: Yearly Budget & Annual Testing Hours



Additional inputs/needs:

 DOE or lab lead coordination with goal of facilitating dissemination of information within program and communication of results for all air impurities' awards

• Estimated annual testing in hrs (assumes 2 dedicated test stations at each institution:

| Organization | Impurity Screening | Contaminant Impact/Recovery | Real World Operation & Mitigation Strategy Development | Total |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------|
| HNEI | 2000 | 12,500 | 2,500 | 17,000 |
| CGFCC | 2000 | 13,500 | 1,500 | 17,000 |

Go/No-Go Decisions After Year Two (All criteria must be satisfied)

| No. | Success Criteria | YES | NO |
|-----|--|-----|----|
| 1 | Contaminant studies show significant impact on cell performance? | | |
| 2 | Modeling and experiments increase understanding of poisoning mechanisms and process? | | |
| 3 | Mitigations measures / techniques have been identified? | | |

Capabilities – Hawaii Fuel Cell Test Facility





- Eleven test stations including single cell, stack, and HIL testing capabilities (up to 2kW)
- High resolution on-line gas analysis with sub-ppm detection limits
- Effluent water collection with ion selective electrode for measurement of S and FI ions
- Demonstrated closure of steady state molar flow balance for impurities to 1ppm level
- Multiple segmented cell testing systems with simultaneous electrochemical characterization of all segments over wide range of current density
- Spatial gas sampling from flow channels under development
- Leverages ongoing work with NREL and ONR to characterize effect of fuel and air contaminants
- Laboratory for MEA and cell fabrication
- Modelica modeling for analysis of spatial contaminant effects in cell

Capabilities - Connecticut Center for Clean Energy Engineering

- 7 Fully-Automated Single Cell Fuel Cell Test Stations, Several Additional Systems in Place
- Multi-kW Test Capability for Full-Size Systems
- Real-Time GC and MS Capability for Continuous Feedstock and Effluent Analysis
- On-Site Scanning Electron Microscopy Facility and Access to UConn's Institute For Materials Science With Complete Materials Characterization Capability
- Prototype Component Fabrication Facility For Catalyst Formulation, Membrane Production, and MEA Fabrication
- Facilities for Numerical Analysis and Multi-Physics Modeling









Relevant Previous Work at HNEI (ONR funded) - Dual Poisoning Mechanism for SO₂



 SO₂ is an important air contaminant for both performance and durability effects

- Two performance degradation phases observed during initial poisoning
- Self-induced recovery in neat H₂ & scrubbed air is incomplete
- Initial performance can be restored with multiple CV scans
- Accelerated degradation in neat H₂ & scrubbed air upon subsequent poisoning
- Detailed analysis (e.g. cyclic voltammetry, segmented cells, gas chromatography & EIS) used to study poisoning processes
- Promising recovery techniques developed in collaboration with NRL/ONR
- Combined gas & effluent water analysis to close sulfur mass balance

Collaborations

Connecticut Center for Clean Energy Engineering

(PI: Trent Molter)

- Conduct testing
- Analyze test results
- Develop mechanistic models
- Develop mitigation strategies

Ballard Power Systems (*PI: Silvia Wessel*):

- Assist in identification and prioritization of contaminants
- Assist in analysis of test results

UTC Fuel Cells (*PI: Tom Madden*):

- ♦ Assist in identification and prioritization of contaminants
- ♦ Assist in analysis of test results

Others under development

Future Work: Year 1 Upon Award of Contract

Task 1 - Contaminant Studies

Subtask 1.1: Impurity Identification & Screening:

- Team will identify, survey, and prioritize airborne fuel cell contaminants most likely to negatively impact fuel cell performance
- Available pubic and scientific information will be reviewed using industrial and professional expertise of team

Subtask 1.2 Contaminant Impact

- Test impact of priority contaminants on fuel cell performance and durability following USFCC 04-003 and 05-002 guidelines using properly calibrated test stands and accepted protocols
- Utilize proven sophisticated tools in conjunction with empirical performance and mechanistic models to provide significant insight into cell response to contaminant exposure.
- Utilize previously tested segmented fuel cell and high resolution on-line gas analysis systems.
- Conduct spatial gas sampling along segmented flow fields.

Future Work: Year 1 Upon Award of Contract

Subtask 1.3: Cell Recovery:

- Investigate the ability of the fuel cell to self-recover from contaminant exposure when exposed to neat-air
- ◆ Identify operating conditions that enhance cell recovery
- Results expected to provide insights and potential approaches for development of practical mitigation strategies.

Subtask 1.3 Ex Situ Analysis

- Exposure to airborne contaminants may result in chemical and structural changes in fuel cell components causing irreversible changes to fuel cell performance.
- Conduct ex-situ micro-analysis of MEAs and GDLs to characterize structural and compositional changes associated with irreversible impacts.
- Micro-analytical methods may include SEM, TEM, XRD, IC, ICP-MS, XPSD, SAM, FTIR, EPMA with WDS, SIMS, XRF, BET and MIP.

Summary

- Project has been on hold waiting for execution of contract
- Research team with proven record in characterization of impurities on PEMFC performance and durability has been assembled
- HNEI & C2E2 will conduct testing and develop models to identify impacts of airborne contaminants
- Ballard Power Systems and UTC Power will provide input and feedback on impurity selection & assist in the analysis of data
- Understanding of contaminant behavior from testing will be used to develop effective mitigation strategies wherever feasible.