# 2010 DOE Hydrogen Program Development of Advanced Manufacturing Technologies for Low Cost Hydrogen Storage Vessels

Mark Leavitt, Alex Ly Quantum Fuel Systems Technologies Worldwide Inc.

> Karl Nelson, Brice Johnson The Boeing Company

Ken Johnson, Kyle Alvine, Stan Pitman, Michael Dahl, Daryl Brown Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

> Andrew Weisberg, Salvador Aceves Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

> > June 8, 2010

Project ID # MN008

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information









# Overview

#### Timeline

- Project start date 09/2008
- Project end date: 03/2012
- Percent complete: 33%

#### **Budget**

- Total Budget: \$5,486,848
- DOE Share: \$2,566,451
- QT/Boeing Share: \$1,920,397
- FFRDC Share: \$1,000,000
- FY08 Funding: \$475,845
- Funding for FY09: \$350,000
- Funding for FY10: \$800,000





#### **Barriers**

- Material system costs
- Manufacturing processes

#### **Partners**

- Quantum Technologies, Inc.
- The Boeing Company (Boeing)
- Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL)
- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL)





#### **Project Objectives- Relevance**

# To manufacture Type IV H<sub>2</sub> storage pressure vessels, utilizing a new hybrid process with the following features:

- Optimal elements of advanced fiber placement (AFP) & commercial filament winding (FW).
- Reduced production cycle times by adaptations of highspeed "dry winding" methodology.
- Improve understanding of polymer liner  $H_2$  degradation.

#### With the aim of achieving:

A manufacturing process with lower composite material usage, lower cost, and higher efficiency.









#### **Milestones**

Time	Milestone				
09/08-04/09	Program Kick-off Material development investigation; 100% complete Composite design literature review & optimum liner dome profile; 100% complete Fiber placement delivery head modification; 100% complete Initial cost model; input/output & approach; 100% complete				
05/09	Merit Review				
05/09-12/09	Manufacture & test best effort tank using hybrid process: build & test two tanks Baseline cost model complete Go/NoGo decision→ data shows AFP & FW processes can manufacture a tank → GO Decision				
11/09-04/10	Dry tape technology evaluation				
06/10	Merit Review				
03/10-01/11	Manufacturing process development; manufacture & test best effort tank Revised cost model Go/NoGo decision→ demonstrate process can reduce material usage and cost				
03/11-06/11	Hybrid manufacturing technology refinement				
05/11	Merit Review				
06/11-01/12	Produce hybrid manufacturing technology tanks; test per EIHP Final cost model				









#### Approaches

 Material study to address material compatibility, cure profile, AFP process requirements.

Composite design & stress analysis

**Governing Equilibrium Equation** 

$$\frac{N_{\alpha}}{R_m} + \frac{N_{\beta}}{R_P} = p$$

Assumed dome profile in parametric form

$$S(r,\beta) = \{r\cos\beta, r\sin\beta, z(r)\}$$



Optimum liner dome profile, composite lay-up









## **Approach: Advanced Fiber Placement- Boeing**

- Advanced Fiber Placement: A CNC process that adds multiple strips of composite material on demand.
  - Maximum weight efficiency places material where needed
  - Fiber steering allows greater design flexibility
  - Process is scalable to hydrogen storage tanks
  - Optimize plies on the dome sections with minimal limitation on fiber angle
  - Reinforce dome without adding weight to cylinder











**Tape Placement** 

Roller

#### **Approach: Advanced Fiber Placement- Boeing**

- Integration of Filament Winding and Advanced Fiber Placement
  - In the same cell
  - In parallel cells
  - Off line fiber placement of reinforcement details









# Technical Progress: Advanced Fiber Placement - Boeing

- Boeing Advanced Fiber Placement (AFP) Process
  Boeing has successively modified their AFP head
  - Smaller polar openings, more optimal structural design
    - 2.5-inch aft dome, and a 3.7-inch forward dome
  - Local heating and cooling of the towpreg.
    - Control of "tackiness" and "boardiness"
    - Enhances feeding and lay-down, reduces wrinkling









## Technical Progress: Advanced Fiber Placement - Boeing

Developed an alternative build approach (Off-line AFP process)

- Stand-alone mandrel for the AFP operations
  - Sub-laminate is released and then re-mounted onto the liner
  - Same shape and dimensions as the liner
  - Cantilevered full head access to the end regions
  - Eliminates head interference problems
  - No polar-opening issues
  - Versatile build options









#### Approaches

Tank preparation and validation test



Representative smallest polar opening that the AFP process can currently make





The localized reinforcement protected the dome regions very well

- Static Burst Result: 23420 PSI > 22804 PSI, EN standard (New European Standard superseding EIHP)
- 64.9 kg composite usage in the 1st hybrid vessel vs. 76 kg in the baseline tank (FW alone)

11.1 kg (14.6%) Savings!









#### **Approach: Tank Cost Analysis**

- Quantum and Boeing's manufacturing experience was used to estimate the \$/kg of Filament Wound (FW) and Advanced Fiber Placed (AFP) Composites.
- Hybrid composite design provided the mass of Filament Wound and Advanced Fiber Placed Composites.
- Cost model included materials, labor, overhead, balance of system, manufacturing equipment and factory space costs.









#### **Approach: Tank Cost Analysis**

Baseline and two bounding manufacturing scenarios were investigated:

- 1. Baseline = Quantum Filament Wound 129 Liter, Type IV Tank.
- 2. <u>Fully Integrated FW and AFP</u> Composite layup optimized for high strength, but inefficient machine usage.
- Fully Separate FW and AFP 100% machine usage, but composite strength may be slightly reduce.









#### Technical Progress: Tank Cost Analysis 500,000/yr, \$11/lb Carbon Fiber

		Type IV Tank	Hybrid FW + AFP Reinforced		
Summary Table		Baseline 129L	Fully Integrated	Separate	
		Filament Wound	FW and AFP	FW and AFP	
Composite Mass, kg	FW	76	63.4	63.4	
	AFP		1.5	1.5	
Total Composite Mass, kg		76	64.9	64.9	
Comp. Placement Speed, kg/hr	FW	13.2	13.2	13.2	
	AFP		0.9	0.9	
Comp. Placement Time, hr/tank	FW	5.75	4.80	4.80	
	AFP		2.48	1.65	
Total Comp. Place Time, hr/tank		5.75	7.27	4.80	
# Manuf. Cells for 500K/yr	FW	191	242	159	
	AFP		484	165	
Tank Costs					
FW Composite		\$2,290	\$1,910	\$1,910	
AFP Composite			\$90	\$90	
End Boss		\$250	\$250	\$250	
Manufacturing Equipment		\$36	\$66	\$41	
Factory Space		\$7	\$10	\$7	
Total Tank Cost		\$2,583	\$2,326	\$2,299	
% Tank Cost Savings		0%	10%	11%	
DOE Measures					
Specific Energy, kWh/kg <sup>1</sup>		1.50	1.67	1.67	
Cost Efficiency, \$/kWh <sup>2</sup>		\$23.45	\$21.91	\$21.75	
<sup>1</sup> 5 kg H2 * 33.31 kWh/kgH2 / (Ta	<u>nk+</u> Oth	erComponents+H2 m	nass, kg) OtherCompMa	ass=30kg	

(Tank+OtherComponents \$\$) / (5 kg H2 \* 33.31 kWh/kgH2)









#### **Accomplishment: Material & Cost Saving**



64.9 kg composite usage in the 1st hybrid vessel vs. 76.0 kg in the baseline tank (FW alone)

- The end-user  $H_2$  storage system weight efficiency = 1.67 kWh/kg vs. 1.50 kWh/kg in the system with the baseline tank
- The end-user H<sub>2</sub> storage system cost efficiency:
  - •<u>\$11/Ib CF</u> Baseline \$23.45 Fully Integrated \$21.91
  - <u>\$6/Ib CF</u> Baseline \$18.74 Fully Integrated \$17.79









Fully Separate \$21.75

Fully Separate \$17.63

## **Approach: Hydrogen Liner Compatibility**

#### Relevance:

- Polymer liner prevents H<sub>2</sub> diffusion
- Exposed to high pressure H<sub>2</sub>, decompression
- H<sub>2</sub> embrittles, blisters metals, ceramics
- Little is known about H<sub>2</sub> effect on Polymers

#### Approach:

- Charge Polymers in high pressure H2
- Investigate degradation: blistering
  - Function of temperature, decompression
  - Function of crystallinity
- Bulk Modulus tests planned to look for changes



#### PNNL High Pressure H<sub>2</sub> Setup

- •100% H<sub>2</sub> or D<sub>2</sub> atmosphere
- up to 5,000 psi
- RT to 200C temperature
- large samples possible





decompression

blister





#### Technical Progress: Hydrogen Liner Compatibility

- Developed Thermal Gradient Stage for <u>in-situ</u> Combinatorial testing
- Preliminary testing of amorphous polymers with temperature
- Preliminary testing of 100% crystalline polymers with temperature
- Observed blistering dependent of temperature, crystallinity



# Hydrogen Liner Compatibility: Future Work

- Further H<sub>2</sub> blistering studies semi-crystalline Polymers
  - HDPE, PVDF, other standard materials
  - Function of crystallinity (LDPE, HDPE, UHMWPE)
  - XRD measurements to confirm crystallinity
  - Function of temperature (aging)
- Tests on Quantum Polymers
- Bulk Modulus testing (bulk rods)
  - HDPE, other materials
  - Function of H2 exposure
  - Recovery time

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

PNNL mechanical testing lab

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### **Approach: Dry Tape Winding**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Manufacturing cost is inversely proportional to Throughput → Significant cost reductions require fast winding

18

#### **Approach: Dry Tape Winding**

How to implement ultra fast bonding? Our approach retains full fiber strength, improves tensile strength, and produces precise parts (like tires, instead of like baskets)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Approach: Dry Tape Winding**

We are measuring tape-to-tape bonding process speed with calorimeters (exothermic plastic + endothermic solder bonds)

Design for 1<sup>st</sup> generation RTD remote infrared calorimeter measures heat emissions from >> T's (found in Go-No-Go trial) Scaled by heat

diffusion (from 280 to 1 mil thick bond layers) gains 10 x viscosity in ~0.14 msec

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_10.jpeg)

Time

20

Thermocouple-instrumented hot pot experiment Achieved Go-No-Go Milestone in August 2009

Tape specimens atop

thermal isolators

![](_page_19_Figure_13.jpeg)

## **Future Work: Strategies for Program Goals**

- Continued improvement on advanced fiber placement (AFP) processes and structural design
  - Flexibility in AFP to cover more regions
  - Off-line AFP process for automation platforms
- More testing to validate the AFP/FW hybrid process
  - Ambient temperature cycle fatigue
  - Extreme temperature cycle fatigue
  - Accelerated stress rupture
- Cost model update including consideration of textile performing approaches as compared to our hybrid AFP/FW process.
- Continuous evaluation of polymer liner candidate material resistance to H<sub>2</sub> environment
- Improve tape processes speed and test performance
  - Measure strength: bond shear stress and strain, tensile stress
- Evaluation of alternative materials: S-Glass, Basalt fibers

![](_page_20_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_16.jpeg)

## **Project Summary**

- A Boeing/Quantum Composite Tank Has Been Produced Using a Hybrid AFP/FW Process:
  - Significant step towards DOE's efficiency goals
  - The first hybrid tank exceeded the required burst pressure and saved 11.1kg of the 76 kg baseline (14.6% !!)
  - Composite cost is high compared to factory equipment and space costs.
    The composite layup can be optimized without significant cost from machine inefficiencies.
  - Reduced tank mass improves:
    - Specific energy increased from 1.5 to 1.67 kWh/kg.
    - Cost efficiency reduced from \$23.45 to \$21.75/kWh (7.2% reduction) for \$11/lb carbon fiber.

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Project Summary (Con't)**

- PNNL Hybrid Process Cost Model Development and Polymer Liner Hydrogen Compatibility:
  - Initial cost model shows additional costs of equipment required for hybrid process is insignificant compared to cost saving achieved from fiber usage reduction.
  - Polymer liner compatibility testing in hydrogen indicates crystalline density and temperatures have direct effect on formation of blisters in liner material.
- LLNL Dry Tape Winding Development:
  - Dry tape initial feasibility showed positive results. Development of process still in early stages.

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)