### **Development of a Hydrogen Home Fueling System**

Greg Tao

Materials & Systems Research Inc., Salt Lake City, UT

Michael Penev

National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO

2010 DOE Hydrogen Program Annual Review

June 7, 2010

**Project ID#: PD069** 



## **Overview**

#### Timeline

- Project started: 07/20/2009
- Project ends: 04/19/2010
- Percent completed: 100%

#### Budget

- Total budget funding
  - DOE \$100k
  - Contractor \$0
- Funding received in FY09
  \$ 84k
- Funding for FY10
  - \$16k

#### Barriers

#### Hydrogen generation by water electrolysis

- G Capital cost
- H System efficiency

#### Fuel Cell

- A Durability
- C Performance

#### Partners

- Materials and Systems Research Inc. advanced H<sub>2</sub> production technology and system development (G. Tao)
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory techno-economic analysis (M. Penev)



## **Objective/Relevance**

Overall Objective • To investigate the development of a hydrogen home fueling system that tri-generates hydrogen, power, and heat directly using distributed natural gas





# Approach

#### <u>H<sub>2</sub> Home Fueling System</u> <u>Development</u>

- A. Fueling system requirement identification
- B.  $H_2$  home fueling system design
- C. Stack stability evaluation

#### <u>Techno-economic</u> <u>Evaluation</u>

- A. H2A analysis implementation
- B. Technology development plan construction





Materials and Systems Research, Inc.

## **Milestones**

Milestones	Progress Notes	Comments	% Completed
H <sub>2</sub> home fueling system design goal	Achieved the design goal of system efficiency > 55% for an optimum system configuration	System performance analyses were carried out with the ASPEN model for a $H_2$ home fueling system with different conceptual configurations.	100%
H2A model implementation	Implemented the H2A model with MSRI's unique H2 production technology	$H_2$ home fueling system would be treated as one type of home appliances. Government incentive is extremely important in the early stage of market penetration. Costs of stacks and BOP components should be reduced.	100%
Degradation rate less than 5%/1000 hrs	Demonstrated a degradation rate < 3%/1000 hrs over a 500 hrs test	Built short stacks and tested them in hybrid mode fueled with line natural gas. 500 hrs long-term test was performed in the electrolyzer mode.	100%



# H<sub>2</sub> Production via Electrolysis Technologies

#### Conventional electrolysis technologies: KOH & PEM (low temperature), SOEC (high temperature)

Input: H<sub>2</sub>O, and electricity (\$\$) Output: H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> (by-product)





## **Cost of Hydrogen**\*



<sup>\*</sup> R.H. Williams, "Alternative Technologies and Strategies for Hydrogen Production", Workshop on future energy issues – future energy resources national defense university, Washington DC, May 2003



## **Fuel-assisted Electrolyzer for H<sub>2</sub> Production**

A Solid Oxide Fuel-Assisted Electrolysis Cell (SOFEC) directly applies the energy of a chemical fuel to replace the external electrical energy required to produce hydrogen from water/steam; thus decreasing the costs of energy relative to a traditional electrolysis process.



Pure H<sub>2</sub> formed. No need for H<sub>2</sub> separation membranes and have lower electricity requirement. Materials and Systems Research, Inc.



### H<sub>2</sub> Production Using SOFEC-SOFC Hybrid Technology



## **Continuous H<sub>2</sub> Production Assisted with NG Fuel**



### Solid-Oxide Electrolyzer Stability Test (SOEC Mode)



 $H_2$  production rate: 32.63 standard liters of  $H_2$  per hour, or 64.56 grams per day

## **System Performance Analysis**



## **Cost of Hydrogen Analysis**

#### • System configuration-1: Current technologies

Specific Item Cost Calculati	on	Total Cost of Delivered Hydrogen	\$10.61
Cost Component	Hydrogen Production Cost Contribution (\$/kg)	Compression, Storage, and Dispensing Cost Contribution (\$/kg)*	Percentage of H <sub>2</sub> Cost
Capital Costs	\$6.14		57.9%
Decommissioning Costs	\$0.00		0.0%
Fixed O&M	\$1.70		16.0%
Feedstock Costs	\$2.25		21.3%
Other Raw Material Costs	\$0.00		0.0%
Byproduct Credits	\$0.00		0.0%
Other Variable Costs (including utilities)	\$0.51		4.8%
Total	\$10.61	\$0.00	

#### • System configuration-2: Improved technologies

Specific Item Cost Calculati	on	Total Cost of Delivered Hydrogen	\$7.15
Cost Component	Hydrogen Production Cost Contribution (\$/kg)	Compression, Storage, and Dispensing Cost Contribution (\$/kg)*	Percentage of H <sub>2</sub> Cost
Capital Costs	\$2.84		39.8%
Decommissioning Costs	\$0.00		0.0%
Fixed O&M	\$1.77		24.7%
Feedstock Costs	\$1.68		23.5%
Other Raw Material Costs	\$0.00		0.0%
Byproduct Credits	\$0.00		0.0%
Other Variable Costs (including utilities)	\$0.86		12.0%
Total	\$7.15	\$0.00	



## Collaboration

#### Partner:

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

 Performed a techno-economic analysis using the H2A model and ASPEN to provide an evaluation of a hydrogen home fueling system built upon the SOFEC-SOFC hybrid technology.



## Future Work (FY 10 & beyond)

#### FY 10 & beyond

- Build and evaluation of a prototypal system at a smaller scale
  - Evaluate the SOFEC-SOFC technology for tri-generation
  - Investigate long-term degradation issues
  - Procure and evaluate key BOP components
  - Proof-of-concept demonstration
  - Identify key factors for cost reduction
  - Develop commercialization roadmap



## **Project Summary**

Relevance:	Developing a hydrogen home fueling system for the tri- generation of hydrogen, power, and heat using distributed natural gas can reduce costs and the need for electrical input.
Approach:	Perform system analyses of a hydrogen home fueling system equipped with advanced SOFEC-SOFC technology, followed by an experimental evaluation of the technology on short hybrid stacks.
Project Accomplishments and Progresses:	Design specifications for a hydrogen home fueling system were defined, followed by a detailed system process design and system performance analysis. Process economics and merits of adopting the tri-generation technologies were analyzed. Short stacks were built and evaluated with different fuels to provide design parameters for system optimization.
Proposed future research:	A prototypal system at a smaller scale would be constructed and evaluated for proof-of-concept demonstration.

