**Fundamental Reactivity Testing and Analysis** of Hydrogen Storage Materials

# David Tamburello, Charles James, Jose Cortes-Concepcion, and Don Anton

#### **Savannah River National Laboratory**

June 8, 2010

Project ID #: ST011



This presentation does not contain proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information



## Overview



## Timeline

- > Start: 10/1/05
- ➢ End: 9/30/10
- Percent complete: 90%

# Budget

Funding received in FY09

Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

Institut de recherche sur l'hydrogène

Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières

Pacific Northwest

Kidde Fenwal

- > \$400K
- Funding for FY10
  - > \$350K

# **Barriers Addressed**

- F. Codes and Standards
- P. Understanding of Hydrogen Physisorption & Chemisorption
- **Q. Reproducibility of Performance**

# Partners

- M. Fichtner, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Germany
- N. Kuriyama, National Institute for Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Japan
- R. Chahine, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Canada
- D. Mosher, United Tech. Res. Ctr., USA
  J. Khalil, United Tech. Res. Ctr., USA
- > **D. Dedrick,** Sandia NL, USA
- **T. Autrey**, PNNL, USA
- R. Zidan, SRNL, USA







#### Relevance – Objectives

- The objective of this study are to understand the safety issues regarding solid state hydrogen storage systems through:
- Development & implementation of internationally recognized standard testing techniques to quantitatively evaluate both materials and systems.
- Determine the fundamental thermodynamics & chemical kinetics of environmental reactivity of hydrides.
- Build a predictive capability to determine probable outcomes of hypothetical accident events.
- Develop amelioration methods and systems to mitigate the risks of using these systems to acceptable levels.





#### Approach – Materials Test Plan

- The priority of materials to be analyzed is being conducted in consultation with the three Materials CoE's, HSECoE and DoE. This includes metal hydrides, chemical hydrides, and adsorbents.
- Tested (UN Testing and Calorimetry):
  - ▶  $2LiBH_4 \cdot MgH_2$
  - > NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>
  - >  $8LiH:3Mg(NH_2)_2$
  - Activated Carbon
  - $\blacktriangleright$  AlH<sub>3</sub> (in progress)
- Modeling:
  - ➢ 2LiBH₄:MgH₂
  - ► NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>
  - > AlH<sub>3</sub>



#### Approach – UN Test Summary

Special thanks to for their collaboration

Material / UN Test	State	Pyrophoricity	Self-Heat	Burn Rate	Water Drop	Surface Contact	Water Immersion
2LiBH₄∙MgH₂ SRNL	С	No ignition event. Hygroscopic material absorbed $H_2O$ from air.	Self-heated ~300 °C within 5 min at as $T_{oven} = 150$ ° is approached.	Flame propagated in 5 sec with burn rate of 52 mm/sec.	$2 H_2O$ drops required for near-instant ignition.	Material ignited	No ignition event recorded. Gas evolved at longer times. (5 min)
NH3BH3 SRNL	С	No ignition event. Hygroscopic material absorbed $H_2O$ from air.	Self-heated ~300 °C within 10 min, 5 min at T <sub>over</sub> =150 °C	Flame propagated in 6 sec with burn rate of 33 mm/sec	No reactivity detected	No ignition event recorded. Gas evolved at longer times. (5 min)	No reactivity detected
3Mg(NH <sub>2</sub> )₂∙8LiH AIST	С	Ignition event recorded in room temp experiment	Material failed pyrophoricity test	Flame Propagates at 463 mm/sec	Material ignited	Material ignited	Not tested

#### > Flammability

Flammability Test Spontaneous Ignition Burn Rate



Immersion Surface Exposure Water Drop Water Injection



#### Technical Accomplishments and Progress – Alane Water Immersion Test



Time

- Material was synthesized chemically (Finholt et al. J. Chem. Soc., 69 (1947))
- Identity of material was confirmed by XRD as α-AlH3 with aluminum impurity. A crystallite size of 40 nm was calculated by Sherrer method. (R. Zidan, SRNL)
- Material sparked upon contact with water. Precipitate formed upon completion of reaction.



#### Technical Accomplishments and Progress – Alane UN Water Drop Test



Time

- A conical-shaped pile of Alane was set. A water drop is added on the top of the pile.
- Sample reacted upon contact with water, initiating an ignition event. The pile showed an orange-white flame.



#### **Technical Accomplishments and Progress** – Alane Wet Surface Contact







- Sample sparked for a few seconds.
- Residual material bubbled for about 15 minutes.

Time





#### **Technical Accomplishments and Progress – XRD Results for Alane Water Reactivity**



- In the Water Drop Test, the heat generated by droplet initiates the combustion of Alane that forms primarily aluminum oxide.
- The larger amount of water present in the Wet Surface Contact Test, dissipates heat to avoiding ignition beyond sparks. Material releases hydrogen as it produces aluminum hydroxide.



### Technical Accomplishments and Progress – Alane Burn Rate Test



Time

- Modified scale burn rate test was conducted (100 mm L x 10 mm H x 20 mm W).
- Test result validity has been assessed with other materials (~3% difference).
- > Flame propagation rate  $\sim 250$  mm/sec.

#### **Reactivity Rank:**

 $8LiH:3Mg(NH_2)_2 > AlH_3 > NaAlH_4 > 2LiBH_4:1MgH_2 > NH_3BH_3$ 



#### Technical Accomplishments and Progress – Isothermal Calorimetry of Alane: Air exposure at 40 °C



$$2\text{AlH}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{Al} + 3\text{H}_2$$

- The initial exothermic event (ΔH<sub>1</sub>) is due to the water vapor interaction with AlH<sub>3</sub>.
- A competing effect is believed to take place between the dehydrogenation of AlH<sub>3</sub> (endothermic) and the oxidation of Al (exothermic).
- Subtle changes in crystal structure are difficult to identify from reacted samples by XRD. In-situ XRD and NMR studies are currently underway to understand this behavior.



### Approach – Model Development

#### **Model Objectives:**

- > Identify those scenarios most likely to result in hydride ignition
- > Obtain an initial idea of mechanisms that precede onset of hydride ignition
- > Identify the magnitude of mitigation required to minimize ignition probability
- Phase 1 Proof of Concept Finished
  - > Test the capabilities of off-the-shelf modeling software to capture the physics
    - Incorporate heat transfer, fluid dynamics, species generation, and chemical reactions
    - > Use generic material properties and constant heat and mass generation source.

#### Phase 2 – Simple, "1-D" geometries

- Use realistic materials
  - Non-constant H<sub>2</sub> and Heat generation sources (time dependent) from calorimetry data
  - Implement simple kinetics models tuned to match experimental results
- Identify measurements needed in future experiments

#### Phase 3 – Model "3-D" experiments

- > Expand one-dimensional model to represent two- and three-dimensional experiments
  - Add temperature, pressure, and composition dependence to the kinetics



## Technical Accomplishments and Progress – Phase 2: "1-D" Sphere of 2LiBH<sub>4</sub>·MgH<sub>2</sub>

Special thanks to

for their collaboration

Sandia National Laboratories

FL	UENT model:	Model	r <u>1 (in)</u>	r <u>2 (in)</u>	<u> </u>	
$\triangleright$	2-D, double-precision, axisymmetric	0 in	0.00	0.05	10.05	
$\triangleright$	Pressure-based, 2 <sup>nd</sup> -order implicit, unsteady formulation	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> in	0.20	0.25	10.25	
$\triangleright$	Laminar Viscosity	$\frac{1}{2}$ in	0.45	0.50	10.50	
$\triangleright$	Heat transfer and Species models enabled	1 in	0.95	1.00	11.00	
$\triangleright$	Built-in hydrogen-air reaction enabled	1.5 in	1.45	1.50	11.50	
Ma	aterial Properties – porous 2LiBH <sub>4</sub> ·MgH <sub>2</sub> :	2 in 2.5 in	1.95 2.45	2.00 2.50	12.00 12.50	
	Porosity $(\varepsilon) = 0.5$				<b>_</b>	
$\triangleright$	Particle Diameter $(D_p) = 3.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}$			İ		
$\triangleright$	• Density $(\rho) = 0.927 \text{ g/m}^3$				pient air	
$\triangleright$						
$\triangleright$	Specific heat $(C_p) = 1.583 \text{ J/g-K}$				$\backslash$	
	Heat & Mass Generation – based on SRNL's Calorimetry (2LiBH <sub>4</sub> ·MgH <sub>2</sub> reacted with liquid water at 70 °C)	y data		$\sim \mathcal{V}$	Reaction initially in outer hydride shell	
	Reaction propagation = 0.03 mm/s (from Sandia's contamination model by Dedrick et al.)		Reaction propagates			
Initial conditions: through porous hydrid			de	r <sub>3</sub>		
	> Dry air @ 1 atm & 298 K					
	> Dry air is 76 wt-% $N_2$ , 23.4 wt-% $O_2$ , 0.6 wt-% $H_2O$ , and 0.0 wt-% $H_2$					
	SRNL				14	

### Technical Accomplishments and Progress – Phase 2: 2LiBH<sub>4</sub>·MgH<sub>2</sub> Sphere with 0.50" Radius



15

### Technical Accomplishments and Progress – Phase 2: 2LiBH<sub>4</sub>·MgH<sub>2</sub> Sphere Results

Model	Ignition Event	H <sub>2</sub> mole fraction	Temperature	
0.05 in	None	Maximum value of 0.0123 at $t = 160s$	Maximum temperature of 164.2°C at t = 300s	
0.25 in	None	LFL reached between t = 55s and 60s Max of 0.135 at $t = 230s$	Maximum temperature of 752.4°C at t = 370s	
0.50 in	Between t = 255s and 260s: $H_2 mf = 0.266 and 0.015$ Temp = 569°C and 571°C	LFL reached between t = 30s and $35sMax of 0.266 at t = 255s$	Maximum temperature of 1422°C at t = 540s	

- Models can be used to examine several parameters quickly, such as pellet size.
- > Pellet size can be used as a mitigation strategy:
  - > No ignition event occurred for pellets with radii of 0.25 inches or smaller.
  - > The smallest pellet never reached the LFL.
  - > As pellet size increased, the time required to have an ignition event decreased.
- Similar results were shown when the pellet was initialized with ambient air or hydrogen gas filling the porous space.



### Approach – Phase 3: NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> Cylinder Self-Heating

#### Model additions:

- > Temperature dependent material properties.
- > Temperature and concentration dependent reaction kinetics.
- Change modeling package from FLUENT to COMSOL.

#### Cylindrical self-heating test data:

- > Experimental differences between the cylinder and cube self-heating tests
  - Identical experimental chamber and setup
  - Similar volumes: Cube volume =  $1 \text{ in}^3$ ; Cylinder volume =  $0.8 \text{ in}^3$
- > A 2-D cylinder is simpler to model than a 3-D cube
  - Reduces model size (number of equations) and calculation time (time to convergence)

#### Ammonia Borane (NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>):

- Ammonia Borane is one of the hydrides of interest to the Hydrogen Storage Engineering Center of Excellence (HSECoE).
  - > Well-studied, high capacity hydride with advantageous reaction rate.



## Technical Accomplishments and Progress – NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> Cylinder Self-Heating Results



- Sample begins to self-heat after about 58 minutes
  - > Time at set-point =  $1 \min$
- Maximum Temperature observed = 236°C
- No ignition event was observed





#### Approach – Risk Mitigation

Special thanks to **HSECOE** for their collaboration

- ➤ Four risk mitigation strategies (A, B, C, D) have been identified.
- Currently submitted for invention disclosure.
- Tests are being conducted on materials based on information provided by the HSECoE. These materials include:
  - >  $8LiH:3Mg(NH_2)_2$
  - ➢ 2LiBH₄:1MgH₂
  - > NaAlH<sub>4</sub>+4% (mol) TiCl<sub>3</sub>
- Testing strategies include:
  - > UN Water Drop Testing
  - Water Vapor Calorimetry
  - Cycling Experiments w/ Seivert's Apparatus





- Reactivity towards water is reduced.
- Risk mitigation strategy A avoid ignition event characteristic of unmodified sample



## Technical Accomplishments and Progress – Risk Mitigation-Calorimetry, T=40°C, RH=30%



- Comparable heat release for unmodified samples to A and C.
  - Mitigant might not be affecting the release of hydrogen
- The rate of heat release:
  - C > AIST > A > B
- The maximum is achieved faster by sample C
- B has lowest total heat release



## Summary

- A modified burn rate test has been developed and verified using less than ½ the material required for the standard burn rate test.
- Alane has unique environmental reactivity properties; nonpyrophoric, but highly water-reactive resulting in "sparking" as opposed to ignition.
- Modeling has been used to determine a critical radius for pelletization of 2Li(BH<sub>4</sub>)-MgH<sub>2</sub>.
- The self-heating test has been modified to a cylindrical geometry to more thoroughly support the modeling effort.
- Four mitigation strategies have been evaluated preliminarily and several have been promising enough to be evaluated under cyclic sorption conditions.



## **Proposed Future Work**

- Conclude the modeling effort through Phase 3
  - ➢ NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (in progress)
  - > AlH<sub>3</sub>
- Conclude the UN testing and calorimetry of Alane.
- Conclude the UN testing of various metal hydrides mixed with automotive fluids.
- Experimentally verify the pellet size results from Phase 2 of the modeling effort.
- Utilize newly purchased high pressure DSC to improve the reaction rate calculations of the metal hydrides for the modeling effort.

