# Improved Accelerated Stress Tests Based on FCV Data

Timothy Patterson (P.I. and Presenter), Robert Darling, Vivek S. Murthi

UTC POWER

MAY 11, 2011





This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

### Overview

#### <u>Timeline</u>

- Start Date: December 2009
- Finish Date: November 2011
- Status: 65% Complete

#### **Barriers**

(2007 RD&D for auto FC)

- □ >5,000 hr stack durability (with cycling)
  - Include all materials (e.g. membrane, seals)
  - UTC bus fleet target >15,000 h stack life

□ <10% Performance decay

Start-stop / transient operation

<u>Budget</u>

- Total funding \$3,847,218
- Cost share 20%
- Spend on-plan

GFY '09	\$778,015
GFY '10	\$1,638,508
\$ GFY '11	\$1,430,694



□ ASTs used to avoid costly durability testing

#### <u>Partners</u>



2

### Relevance

Program Objectives	Current Gaps	2009-2010 Objectives
Comparison of conditions & materials in bus field operation vs. DOE ASTs	DOE ASTs not calibrated with real world degradation	<ul> <li>Task 1 - Analyze performance data and characterize degraded materials from 2850 hr stacks in bus service</li> <li>Task 2 - Analyze data and degraded materials run in DOE ASTs (same as in bus stacks)</li> </ul>
Develop acceleration factors for DOE AST mechanisms → recommend modifications	DOE ASTs may over- or under- accelerate mechanisms → inadequate material selections	<ul> <li>Task 3 - Correlate results for all current DOE ASTs:</li> <li>1) PGM decay</li> <li>2) Carbon corrosion</li> <li>3) Membrane mechanical</li> <li>4) Membrane chemical</li> </ul>
Identify life-limiting mechanisms not addressed by DOE AST's → recommend new AST's	Validated GDL specific AST; Validated integrated membrane mechanical/chemical AST;	<b>Task 4</b> – Prepare and Validate New/Modified AST Protocols



### Approach

Task				Proje	ct Time	e Perio	d (Quar	ters)				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	<b>Q</b> 5	<b>Q</b> 6	Q7	<b>Q</b> 8	<b>Q</b> 9	Q10		
Task 1.0 Real World Degradation											-	Task Duration
Task 1.0 Real World Degradation												Task Completion Milestone
Task 2.0 Lab World Degradation												•
Task 2.0 Lab world Degradation											<b>4</b>	Go/No Go Gate
Task 2.0 Real World Lab World Correlation												Go/No Go & Budget Gate
Task 3.0 Real World – Lab World Correlation											_	
Task 4.0 Dreparation and Validation of New/Madified ACT Protocols							<u> </u>					
Task 4.0 Preparation and validation of New/Modified AST Protocols												
Task 5.0 Project Management and Modeling												
	Task         Task 1.0       Real World Degradation         Task 2.0       Lab World Degradation         Task 3.0       Real World – Lab World Correlation         Task 4.0       Preparation and Validation of New/Modified AST Protocols         Task 5.0       Project Management and Modeling	Task       Q1         Task 1.0 Real World Degradation       Image: Constant of the state of the sta	Task       Q1       Q2         Task 1.0       Real World Degradation       Image: Comparison of the second secon	Task       Q1       Q2       Q3         Task 1.0       Real World Degradation       -	Task       Proje         Q1       Q2       Q3       Q4         Task 1.0       Real World Degradation       Image: Comparison of the system of the	Task       Project Time         Q1       Q2       Q3       Q4       Q5         Task 1.0       Real World Degradation       Image: Comparison of the second se	TaskProject Time PeriorQ1Q2Q3Q4Q5Q6Task 1.0Real World DegradationImage: Comparison of the second secon	TaskProject Time Period (QuarQ1Q2Q3Q4Q5Q6Q7Task 1.0Real World DegradationImage: Constraint of the second se	TaskProject Time Period (Quarters)Q1Q2Q3Q4Q5Q6Q7Q8Task 1.0Real World DegradationImage: Constraint of the second	TaskProject Time Period (Quarters)Q1Q2Q3Q4Q5Q6Q7Q8Q9Task 1.0Real World DegradationImage: Constraint of the second sec	TaskProject Time Period (Quarters)Q1Q2Q3Q4Q5Q6Q7Q8Q9Q10Task 1.0Real World DegradationImage: Constraint of the second secon	TaskProject Time Period (Quarters)Task 1.0 Real World DegradationQ1Q2Q3Q4Q5Q6Q7Q8Q9Q10Task 2.0 Lab World DegradationTask 3.0 Real World - Lab World CorrelationImage: Correlatio

Task	Progress	Status
1.0. Real world degradation	<ul> <li>Completed bus operating cycle analysis</li> <li>Completed characterization of field-operated bus stack (2850 h) for all 4 decay mechanisms covered by current AST's</li> </ul>	100% complete
2.0. Lab world degradation	<ul> <li>Completed all 4 DOE AST on the same materials as the bus stack</li> <li>Post-mortem characterization completed</li> </ul>	100% complete
Go / No Go Gate 1	<ul> <li>Correlate all observed degradation to field operating conditions → sufficient degradation in field conditions</li> </ul>	100% complete
3.0. Real – Lab correlation	<ul> <li>Acceleration factors determined for all existing DOE mechanisms</li> <li>New degradation mode found and accelerated test proposed</li> <li>New breadboard unit developed and running accelerated life test (ALT)</li> </ul>	100% complete
Go/No Go Gate 2	<ul> <li>Gap in DOE AST's identified for isolation of GDL oxidation effects</li> <li>Modeling and DMA used to understand chemical + mechanical membrane degradation</li> </ul>	100% complete
4.0 Preparation and Validation of New/Modified AST Protocols	<ul> <li>Integrated membrane chemical/mechanical AST underway</li> <li>Ex-situ GDL oxidation AST development proposed</li> </ul>	10% complete
5.0 Project Management and Modeling	•Further development of membrane hydration strain model ongoing	25% complete
M//. 1120 D		



### Approach



### Collaborations

#### Partners







United Technology Research Center (Industry)

- Membrane hydration strain modeling
- Material characterization

Los Alamos National Laboratory (Federal)

- AST development
- Subscale fuel cell and electrochemical testing

Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Federal)

• Material characterization



### **Real World Performance Degradation**

Real World Conditions Reactants: H<sub>2</sub>(dry)/Air(ambient) Average Temperature: 63 C Cycle Frequency: 100-120 cycles/hr Operating time: 2800 hours Cumulative cycles: 300,000 cycles



UTC 2008 fleet leader at BOL and EOL ~ 15 mV loss @ 0.2 A/cm<sup>2</sup> ~50% Platinum area loss



ECA measurements performed on subscale sections at indicated locations (calculated particle size shown in red)



### **PGM AST**

Lab ASTs by LANL on UTC 2008 fleet leader BOL components in 50 cm<sup>2</sup> cell

AST Conditions
Reactants: H <sub>2</sub> (100%)/N <sub>2</sub> (100%)
Average Temperature: 80 C
Cycle Frequency: 112 cycles/h
Cycle Range: 0.6 – 1.0 V (Triangle)

Observed @ 30k cycles	DOE Target @ 30k cycles
~37% ECA loss	<u>&lt;</u> 40% loss of initial area
~7 mV loss @ 0.2 A/cm <sup>2</sup> ~5 mV loss @ 0.8 A/cm <sup>2</sup>	<30 mV loss at 0.8 A/cm <sup>2</sup>
~20% loss of activity	<u>&lt;</u> 40% loss of activity



Voltage and ECA loss during potential cycling AST

□Potential cycling AST has acceleration factor of ~8X vs. fleet operation



### TEM Analysis on UTC 2008 fleet leader



TEM analysis shows particle agglomeration and platinum precipitation within the membrane adjacent to cathode catalyst layer



### TEM analysis of MEA subjected to PGM AST





Project ID: FC015

Particle Size (nm)

#### **Carbon Corrosion AST**

AST Conditions Reactants:  $H_2(100\%)/N_2(100\%)$ Average Temperature: 80 C Cycle Period: 24 h Cycle Range: 1.2 V

Observed @ 285 hr	DOE Target @ 400 hr
~40% mass activity	≤60% mass activity
>150mV @ 1.5 A/cm <sup>2</sup>	≤30mV @ 1.5 A/cm²
~50% ECA loss	≤40% ECA loss



#### **Summary**

Severe carbon corrosion under Lab AST

Damaging potentials avoided in 2008 fleet leader

2006 and 2007 fleet leaders suffered from carbon corrosion



### **Real World and AST Carbon Morphology**

#### UTC 2008 Fleet Leader



# EOL 5 nm



#### UTC 2008 fleet leader at BOL and EOL

- Small voltage loss @ 1.0 A/cm<sup>2</sup>
- Effective system mitigations for start-stop decay  $\rightarrow$  Minor carbon corrosion in realworld operation

#### AST

Farticle
 Farticle

- Cathode electrode thinning (20-70%)
- Platinum particle growth
- Increased σ carbon bonds
- AST effective for C corrosion



AST

Pt particle growth: ~2.0nm in Increased  $\sigma$  carbon bonds after baseline to ~3.8nm after AST





Graphitic structure disorganized Project ID: FC015

### Real World Membrane Mechanical Damage

Real World Conditions Reactants:  $H_2$  / Air Average Temperature: 63 C Cycle Frequency: 100-120 cycles/hr Humidity range: 50-100% ( $\Delta$ RH=50%) Total Time: 2800 hours Total Cycles: 300,000 cycles



In fleet operation, membrane failed after 2800 hours at air inlet due to membrane hydration strain cycling induced by load-flow cycling

#### Surface image



#### **Cross-section**



SEM micrographs confirming membrane failure at the air inlet



### AST Membrane Mechanical Damage

Lab AST Conditions Reactants: Air / Air Average Temperature: 80 C Cycle Frequency: 15 cycles/h Humidity range: 0-100% (∆RH=100%)

	DOE AST Target @ 20000 cycles	Observed @ 7000 cycles
Crossover	<2 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	20 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>
Shorting Resistance	> 1000 ohm cm <sup>2</sup>	~1400 ohm cm <sup>2</sup>



Membrane mechanical AST resulted in acceleration factor of ~6X vs. fleet operation with same failure mode







Structural damage observed in membrane and catalyst layers



### Real World Membrane Chemical Damage

Real World Conditions Reactants: H<sub>2</sub> / Air Average Temperature: 63 C Time at high voltage: 30% Humidity: 100%



No detectable chemical attack



Membrane thickness measurements and DMA testing of remaining life results of BOL and EOL at various locations in the active area

No membrane thinning observed in real world application; Significant mechanical degradation observed at reactant inlets due to membrane hydration strain cycling



### **AST Membrane Chemical Decay**

AST Conditions Reactants: H<sub>2</sub> / Air Average Temperature: 90 C Voltage: Open Circuit Humidity: 30%

	DOE Target @ 500 hr	Observed @ 200 hr
Crossover	≤ 2 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	~ 20 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>
OCV loss	≤ 20%	~ 20%
Shorting Resistance	< 1000 $\Omega$ - cm <sup>2</sup>	~ 250 Ω-cm <sup>2</sup>

#### Crossover/Shorting Resistance





Significant membrane thinning observed after membrane chemical decay AST; DMA technique useful for estimating remaining life



### ALT Rig

Stack format	Failure mode	Expected completion
2008 leader	Membrane	May 2011
2010 leader + advanced UEAs	Unknown	Nov 2011





from "Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Analysis" TV001, K. Wipke of NREL, 2010 AMR





### Technical Accomplishments Summary of Real World to AST Comparisons

Mechanism	Real Cycle	Real Diagnostics	AST Cycle	AST Diagnostics	Correlation
Pt Dissolution/ sintering	<u>2850 hrs</u> 100 cycles/h	~2% V loss @ low power	<u>30 kcyc</u> 112.5 cycles/h	~1% V loss @ low power	-High AST-Real correlation
	0.6 to 0.9 V	~60% ECA loss	0.6 – 1.0 V <sub>nhe</sub>	$\sim 37\%$ ECA loss	-Low impact on Real World perf
					-May depend on 0.4 mg Pt/cm <sup>2</sup>
Carbon	$\sim$ 500 cycles H <sub>2</sub> / Air fronts	Negligible performance	<u>250 hrs</u> Continuous hold	90 mV performance loss	-No AST-Real correlation
CONOSION	from S/S cycles loss @ 1.0 (mitigated) A/cm <sup>2</sup>	@1.2 V <sub>nhe</sub>	@ 1.0 A/cm <sup>2</sup>	-AST effective for C corrosion	
	-				-High impact on performance if S/S mitigations not employed
Membrane	<u>2850 hrs</u> 100 cycles/h	High RPS response &	<u>6000 cyc</u> ∆RH: 0- >100%	>15 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> (vs 2 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	-High AST-Real correlation
Mechanica	∆RH: 40-50%	visible leakage across stack	15 cycles/h	BOL)	-High impact on Real World perf
Membrane	<u>~850 hrs</u> H <sub>2</sub> /Air @ V <sub>idle</sub>	Minimal membrane	<u>100 hr</u> H <sub>2</sub> /air	>15 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> (vs 2 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	-Low AST-Real correlation
	63°C 100%RH	thinning	90°C 30%RH OCV	BOL)	-AST effective for membrane chemical degradation
			001		-Combined chemical-mechanical



#### Future Work

Task	Description	Status	Owner	Comments
Task 4	4.1 Develop new AST protocols	Underway	UTC Power / LANL	<ul> <li>Identified following opportunities:</li> <li>1) Integrated membrane chemical / mechanical AST[Q2/2011]</li> <li>2) Validated failure time prediction using DMA[Q3/2011]</li> <li>3) GDL oxidation AST[Q3/2011]</li> <li>4) Validate the ALT breadboard degradation[Q4/2011]</li> <li>5) Evaluate proposed FCTT load / RH cycle AST[Q2/2011]</li> </ul>
	4.2 Test BOL cells with new AST's	Underway	UTC Power / LANL	<ul> <li>ALT currently testing BOL materials at UTCP[Q3/2011]</li> </ul>
	4.3 Validate new AST protocols	Not started	All	<ul> <li>LANL testing of developed protocols[Q3/2011]</li> <li>Employ analyses and techniques already developed for new AST's[Q3/2011]</li> </ul>
Task 5	5.1 Further development of membrane hydration strain model	Underway	UTRC	<ul> <li>Include modeling of composite structures[Q3/2011]</li> <li>Include effect of flow field design on membrane hydration strain[Q4/2011]</li> </ul>



### **PROJECT SUMMARY**

**Relevance:** Development of validated accelerated test protocols for all identified failure modes will decrease need for expensive, time consuming durability testing

**Approach:** Perform fuel cell diagnostics and materials characterization on real world samples and samples that have been subjected to accelerated test protocols; Identify any failure modes not being addressed by current DOE AST protocols and develop and validate new AST's for those failure modes;

#### **Technical Accomplishments and Progress:**

-Completed characterization of field-operated bus stack (2850 h) for all 4 decay mechanisms covered by current AST's;

-New breadboard unit developed and running accelerated life test (ALT)

-Gap in DOE AST's identified for isolation of GDL oxidation effects

-DMA used to estimate remaining life

**Technology Transfer/Collaborations:** Active partnerships with LANL and ORNL in AST validation,

development of new AST's, and material characterization. Technology transfer through team meetings, presentations and publications.

**Proposed Future Research:** Develop validated ex-situ GDL oxidation test; Validate combined membrane chemical/mechanical AST; Validate use of DMA as lifetime prediction tool;

# **Technical Back-up Slides**

#### Real World versus AST

	Task 1 – Real-World			Task 2 – Lab-World		
Decay Mechanism	Real-World Cycle	Real-World Diagnostics	Real-World Post-test Analysis	Lab AST Cycle	Lab AST Diagnostics	Lab AST Post-test Analysis
Pt Dissolution/ sintering	H₂/Air 63°C 100%RH 100 cycles/h 0.6 – 0.9 V	Performance ∆V @ 0.2A/cm <sup>2</sup> ECA loss	SEM XRD HRTEM	H <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> 80°C 100%RH 112.5 cycles/h 0.6 – 1.0 V <sub>nhe</sub>	Performance $\Delta V @ 0.2A/cm^2$ Mass activity & ECA loss	SEM XRD HRTEM
Carbon Corrosion	H <sub>2</sub> / Air fronts during start- up (25 C) and shutdown (65 C) (mitigated); Air-Air Time	Performance ∆V@1.0 A/cm <sup>2</sup>	SEM HRTEM EELS	H <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> 80°C 100%RH 1.2 V <sub>nhe</sub>	Performance $\Delta V @ 1.5 A/cm^2$ $CO_2$ release Mass activity loss	SEM HRTEM EELS
Membrane Mechanical	H₂/Air 63°C ∆RH: 40-50% 100 cycles/h	Crossover diagnostics (RPS)	SEM, DMA (BOL & EOL)	Air/Air 80°C ∆RH: 0 <del>→</del> 100% 15 cycles/h	H <sub>2</sub> cross-over Shorting	SEM, DMA (BOL & EOL)
Membrane Chemical	H <sub>2</sub> /Air 63°C 100%RH V <sub>idle</sub> for ~30% load time	Crossover diagnostics (RPS)	SEM, DMA (BOL & EOL)	H₂/air 90°C 30%RH OCV	FER H <sub>2</sub> cross-over Shorting	SEM, DMA (BOL & EOL)



### Experimental – dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA)

Sample: MEA Conditions:  $60^{\circ}C$ 90%RH 10Hz $\sigma_{Min} = 20\%\sigma_{max}$ 



Sampling configuration:





#### Membrane Hydration-induced Stress Model

Membrane Mechanical Decay Model:



### Motivation for Membrane Chemical + Mechanical



	Through plane	In plane	"Model for	
Maximum stress, Mpa	0.41	0.81	Prediction of Abrupt Failure of PEMFC Membranes" in preparation to Journal of the Electrochemical Society, November 2010.	
Cycles to failure:Mechanical	142463.76	70840.79		
Cycles to failure: Chemical + Mechanical	45208.29	30679.42		



### **Motivation for Diffusion Layer AST**

#### **GDL** Corrosion

- Occurs at all normal cathode potentials
- Can be partially oxidized to form surface oxide groups  $C+H_2 0 \rightarrow C-OH+H^++e^-$ 
  - Results in change in surface hydrophobicity
- Can be fully oxidized to form gas  $C+2H_20 \rightarrow CO_2+4H^++4e^-$ 
  - Results in change in structure
- Cycling vs holding at fixed potentials leads to different results



2 min @ 1.8 V

MPL with 10% PTFE & Vulcan XC-72



