## 2011 DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells

## **Program Review**

High Speed, Low Cost Fabrication of Gas Diffusion Electrodes for Membrane Electrode Assemblies

Emory S. De Castro BASF Fuel Cell, Inc. 12 May 2011

**MN007** 

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

The Chemical Company

## **Overview**



### Timeline

- Start: 1 July 2009
- End: 30 June 2012
- 55% complete

### **Barriers**

- Manufacturing R&D
  - (A) Lack of High Volume Membrane Electrode Assembly (MEA) Processes
  - (F) Low Levels of Quality Control and Inflexible Processes.

### **Budget**

- Total project funding: \$3.06M
  - DOE share: \$1.99M
  - Contractor share: \$1.07M
- Fed. funding received in FY10: \$700K
- Est. Fed. funding for FY11: \$339K

### **Partners**

- Case Western Reserve University
- X-Ray Optical Systems

## Relevance



### **Overall Objective**

- Reduce cost in fabricating gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs)
  - Focus on GDEs used for combined heat and power generation (CHP).
- Relate manufacturing variations to actual fuel cell performance in order to establish a cost effective product specification within six-sigma guidelines.
- Develop advanced quality control methods to guide realization of these two objectives.

### **Objective(s)** this reporting period

- 2X speed increase or equivalent on cloth
- Proof-of-principle coating on non-woven paper

### **Directly Addresses Barriers**

- (A) Lack of High Volume Membrane Electrode Assembly (MEA) Processes
  - High speed or throughput coating
- (F) Low Levels of Quality Control and Inflexible Processes.
  - On-line Pt measurement.

### Addresses key DOE targets

Targets: 1–10 kW<sub>e</sub> Residential CHP FC Operating on Natural Gas

	2008 Status	2012	2015	2020
Electrical efficiency at rated power	34%	40%	42.5%	45%
CHP energy efficiency	80%	85%	87.5%	90%
Factory cost <sup>*</sup> per kW	\$750	\$650	\$550	\$450

\*Cost includes materials and labor costs to produce 50k/yr stacks

Approach



	Tools	Build	Test
Task	Task 1: On-line QC to guide the process by Y1Task 2: Model impact of defects by Y1	Develop Ink and Application <b>Task 3</b> : full length coating by Y2 <b>Task 4</b> : Increase line speed by Y2 (go/no go June 2011) <b>Task 5</b> : Full width roll by Y2/Y3	Performance Defects/Uniformity Relate defects to performance
Milestone	<ul> <li>T1: On-line Pt measurement</li> <li>T1: On-roll porosity measurement</li> <li>T2: Verify Model, Calculate defect limits</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>T3: &gt;240 lin m</li> <li>T4: 2X speed improvement (go/no go): 3X final goal</li> <li>T5: full width (&gt;100 cm full width) at higher speed</li> </ul>	Main Concept Use advanced dispersion and ink formulations to make aqueous
Status	<ul> <li>T1: complete, modified for full width cloth</li> <li>T1: On-roll porosity: delay due to vendor</li> <li>T2: Base model established</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>T3: cathode &amp; GDL complete</li> <li>T4: demonstrated 2X capacity / time unit</li> <li>T5: full width GDL begun</li> </ul>	solid - binder suspensions compatible

### Technical Accomplishments and Progress Task 2: Defects



- Objective: 1.) What is the impact of loss-of-catalyst coating defects? 2.) Does the model accurately predict actual?
- Experiment: systematically introduce "coating" defect into GDE, characterize, make MEA and test. Sample 1=25% gross GDE surface area loss, 2=10% area loss.
- New Collaboration: X-ray Optical Systems used highresolution XRF mapping. RPI tested under a variety of conditions.
- Conclusion: system is robust to catalyst loss defects. Model in good agreement with experiment at <0.4A/cm<sup>2</sup>





Actual performance loss at 0.2A/cm<sup>2</sup> <1% power with 10% surface defect

### **Technical Accomplishments and Progress** Tasks 3/4: Full Length Roll Coating, 2X speed Cathode



- New cathode 0.9 Pilot + Prod. scale 0.8 0.7 0.6 Voltage baseline 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0 Current Density, A/cm<sup>2</sup> 0 0.2 1.2
- Accomplishments: Extended last year's ink formulations to stabilizing catalyst suspensions that have been subject to very high energy dispersion.
- Breakthrough: Very fine particles tend to agglomerate. Advanced additives stabilized dispersion.

Results:

- 1. Decreased ink preparation time by >60%.
- 2. Decreased number of application passes by >40% through higher solids in ink.
- 3. Increased Pt utilization by >25%.
- 4. Decreased variation from +/-20% to +/-4% g/m<sup>2</sup> (using on-line XRF).



• Conclusion: Have effectively increased capacity/unit time by 2X and reduced costs.



New cathode reduces labor by at least 50% and increases performance by 20mV over baseline! Met go/no go with Cathode

## **Technical Accomplishments and Progress**

Task 3/4: Full Length Roll Coating, 2X Speed

GDL (microporous layer) + Anode

- Observation: baseline MPL inks are non-Newtonian. Indicates strong particle-particle interaction. Limits solid content for ink and prone to agglomeration.
- Approach: Identified additive and prep methodology that shields hydrophobic carbons and provides for Newtonian behavior over wide shear range.
- Results: Decrease ink prep time and number of coating applications by >50%. Improved performance. Demonstrated MPL on production coater with full width cloth.

Extended high energy dispersion and new formulation for MPL and Anode inks with >50% reduction in prep time and number of coats.



A/cm<sup>2</sup>

MPL ink shear vs. viscosity, baseline. hiah solids baseline, and new highest improved formulation

The Chemical Company

7

### **Technical Accomplishments and Progress** Task 3/4: Full Length Roll Coating, 2X Speed

Carbon Paper (Non-Woven)



- Observation: Cost for paper vs. cloth is projected to be at least 30% lower in higher volume. However, inks used for cloth do not work with paper.
- Approach: Using established cloth approach and high energy dispersion, design new inks matched to carbon paper substrate to yield desired porosity and hydrophobicity profile.
- **Results:** Demonstrated good electrode performance at Pilot scale, and MPL at Production scale. Production scale MPL coating at >2X speed compared to cloth. In all platforms, number of application passes paper< best new cloth formulations.

Paper-based materials offer great potential in performance and further reduction in process cost.

1.0 0.9 New paper-based cathode and anode 0.8 Benchmark cloth-based 0.7 
 volts 
 0.0 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 Current Density (A/cm<sup>2</sup>)

New GDEs on paper vs cloth standard, 180°C, Reformate/air 1.4/5



New GDEs on paper vs cloth standard 160°C, H<sub>2</sub>/air, 1.2/2

# Collaborations



#### **Task 2 Defects**

- X-Ray Optical Systems (XOS)
  - New collaboration for detailed XRF mapping of GDE surface
- **RPI** Prof. Dan Lewis
  - Evaluation of MEAs with defects

### Collaborations begun during this reporting period

- RPI CATS Prof. Ray Puffer
  - Have sent samples of new anode and cathodes for ultrasound assembly technology
- **Customer** Have sent MEAs made with new GDE builds to a major µCHP supplier.

These collaborations were initiated outside the original team tasks in this DOE program

## Proposed Future Work Over next year



### Task 3: full roll coating

- Scale new anode ink to production coating within Q2, 2011.
- Continue new approach to coating non-wovens (carbon paper).

### Task 4: Increase line speed

- Key go/no go in Fy2011: Demonstrate 2X increase in line speed on a full roll June 2011
- Have elements in place: MPL, cathode, and anode will focus on anode.
  - Demonstrated 2X reduction in cost from decreasing labor content of ink prep and significant decrease in number of coat applications across all platforms.
  - Will show an effective 2X increase in capacity when anode at production coater.

#### Task 5: Demonstrate full width (>100cm) and 3X equivalent speed (Phase II)

- MPL at full width and 2X equivalent capacity demonstrated.
- First anode and cathode trials planed for Q2, 2011 (ahead of plan).

# **Summary Slide**



- Reduced total GDE labor costs by ~50% due to new high energy dispersion with advanced formulations.
  - Cathode utilization improvement (20 mV gain) implies potential for decrease of precious metal content by at least 25%, although this is not main focus of the program.
- Well on the path towards achieving June's go/no go.

