

Florida Hydrogen Initiative (FHI) DOE Contract # DE-FC36-04GO14225

Dr. David Block, Director Emeritus Florida Solar Energy Center (FSEC) University of Central Florida (UCF) <u>block@fsec.ucf.edu</u> 321-638-1001 Phone 321-504-3438 Fax

May 2011

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information.

Project ID#: TV012



Overview

Timeline

- Project Start Date: 10/1/04
- Project End Date: 6/30/12
- Percent Complete: 50%

Budget

- Total project funding
 - > DOE share: \$3,946,155
 - ▶ Cost Share: \$1,512,604
 - > Total Project: \$5,458,759
- Funding for FY09-FY11: None

Barriers

- Barriers addressed
 - Research and Development
 - Creating Partnership
 - Facilitating Technology Transfer

Partners

- Florida Solar Energy Center
- ✤ EnerFuel, Inc.
- SRT Group, Inc., University of Florida
- Florida State University, Bing Energy, Inc.
- Florida Institute of Technology
- University of South Florida
- EnerFuels, Inc., University of Florida, UCT Coatings



- Develop hydrogen and fuel cell infrastructure
- Create partnerships
- Sponsor R & D
- Facilitate technology transfers
- Develop industry support
- Develop unique education programs



- Project solicited competitive proposals to conduct work
- Initially selected four projects
- Three projects are completed
- New project administration at Florida Solar Energy Center (FSEC) in June 2009
- Solicited new projects in October 2009
- Selected three R & D projects following DOE review and approval
- Three projects began April 2010



Approach (continued)

- ♦ Request for Proposals ≈ \$1.5 million
- Request for Proposals issued March 2010
- Five member peer review committee met and selected winning RFPs
- Five new projects reviewed and received DOE approval
- Sub-contracts written for five new projects
- New projects began December 1, 2010



Key Results – Very Successful Year!

- Project has solicited proposals to conduct work
- Project composed of 12 projects 3 projects completed
- Presently have 9 active projects
- * 1 old project with new demo site
- 3 new projects started on April 1, 2010
- 5 new projects started on December 1, 2010
- All project \$ are now committed
- New program kick-off meeting January 5, 2011



Fuel Cells:

- Methanol Fuel Cell Evaluation at FAU EnerFuel, Inc./Florida Atlantic University
- Low Cost/High Efficiency of PEMFC System Florida State University/Bing Energy, Inc.
- Advanced HiFoilTM Bipolar Plates EnerFuel, Inc./University of Florida and UCF Coatings
- Mechanical and Chemical Durability of MEAs FSEC

Hydrogen:

- Hydrogen Leak Detection FSEC
- Production of Hydrogen from Biowaste SRT Group, Inc./University of Florida
- Advanced Hydrogen Storage System University of South Florida

Hydrogen and Fuel Cells:

- Low Cost Electrocatalysts FSEC
- Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technology Academic Program Florida Institute of Technology



Collaborations – Went from 5 to 11

Past Collaborations:

Chevron Technology Ventures/FSEC, Rollins College, Orlando Science Center, EnerFuel, Inc.

New Collaborations:

- EnerFuel, Inc.
- Florida Solar Energy Center
- **SRT Group, Inc. Miami and University of Florida**
- Florida State University and Bing Energy, Inc.
- Florida Institute of Technology
- University of South Florida
- EnerFuel, Inc., University of Florida and UCT Coatings



Proposed Future Work

- Finish fuel cell demonstration at FAU EnerFuel, Inc.
- Develop needed documentation for subcontracts
- Continue project monitoring of nine projects
- Conduct R & D and reporting for nine projects





Relevance: Conduct R, D & D, create partnerships, facilitate technology transfer

- **Approach:** Solicit proposals to meet objectives, conduct R & D
- **Technical Accomplishments and Progress:** Three projects completed. Nine active projects
- **Technology Transfer/Collaboration**: Continue 11 active partnerships
- **Proposed Future Research:** Continue conducting nine active projects, conduct project reviews





Hydrogen Technology (HyTech) Rest Area

Michel Fuchs EnerFuel, Inc. 3/10/2011

Project ID #

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information



Project Overview

Timeline

- Start Oct 2006
- Finish July 2011
- 94% complete

Budget

- Total project funding
 - DOE \$607K
 - Contractor \$632K
- Funding received for FY07
 - \$191.0K
- Funding received for FY08
 - \$160.9K
- Funding received for FY09
 - \$35.7K
- Funding received for FY10
 - \$41.7K
- Funding for FY2011
 - \$177.6K

Barriers

• Barriers

- C. Performance
- E. System Thermal and Water Management
- G. Startup and shut-down time and Energy/Transient Operation
- Targets

	2003	2005	2011
Electrical Energy Eff.	30%	32	40
Transient response time	<3ms	<3ms	<3ms

Subcontractors

- Florida Atlantic University (FAU) Demo site design and construction
- Technology Research & Development Authority – Assist in demo site preparations & public relations



Objectives



Overall	 Design, construct and demonstrate a 10kW_{net} PEMFC stationary power plant operating on methanol Achieve an electrical energy efficiency >32% Demonstrate transient response time <3ms
2010	 Change demonstration scope and location from rest area demo to electrical vehicle charge station due to budget constraints Construct charging station
2011	 Commission charging station Evaluate fuel cell power plant and charging station performance Present results of project





Milestone

Month/Year	Milestone or Go/No-Go Decision		
Jan-09	Milestone: Identified commercial bio-methanol supplier able to provide entire 5000 gallons necessary for project		
Apr-09	Milestone: Obtain all permits required for construction, including Florida Turnpike Enterprise, Fire Marshall and County permits.		
Jun-09	Milestone: Complete construction of fuel cell demonstration site.		
Sept-09	Milestone: Complete fuel cell power plant, operating on renewable methanol and providing power to service station, demonstration phase.		
May-2010	Milestone: Complete all permits required for construction of site at Florida Atlantic University.		
Feb-2011	Milestone: Complete construction of electric vehicle charge station demo site		
Jun-2011	Milestone: Complete demonstration and evaluation of fuel cell and charge station performance		



Plan & Approach

Complete 100%

95%

Complete

20%

Task 1: Citrus derived methanol

- Identify source
- 100% Complete Clean-up methanol to fuel cell grade
 - Test methanol for compatibility w/ reformer
 - Work out transportation, storage
 - logistics and associated NEPA compliance
 - Identify/establish safety protocols for use

Task 2: Demo site preps

100% Complete

- Obtain permitting & NEPA
 - compliance for methanol storage
- Identify electrical interface requirements
- Establish location for fuel cell power plant and methanol storage

- Task 3: Fuel cell power plant design
 - DMFC vs. standard PEMFC
 - trade study
 - Identify fuel cell stack source
 - Identify reformer source
 - Design system through modeling
- Task 4: Power plant construction and testing
 - Construct power plant
- Complete Test and debug power plant
 - Benchmark performance
- **Task 5: Power Plant installation** and demonstration
 - Install power plant at demo site
 - Operate system for 3 months















Accomplishments

- Changed demonstration from powering a portion of a rest area to powering an electric vehicle charging station
- Successfully incorporated the existing inverter & fuel cell systems into the design of the charging station
- Successfully tested complete system at EnerFuel prior to delivery to FAU.
- Installed FC's, batteries and inverters at FAU









Remaining Work

• <u>Qtr 1, 2011</u>

- Complete charging station wiring
- Test and fine tune system
- Commission station

• <u>Qtr 2, 2011</u>

- Operate charge station for period of 3 months
- Collect operational data
- Complete final report





HyTech Rest Area Project Summary

Relevance

 Demonstrate a "grid" independent "clean" power solution for electric vehicle charging using a methanol fueled fuel cell system

<u>Approach</u>

- Utilize a PEM based fuel cell power plant, with onboard reforming, to convert methanol to usable electrical power
- Demonstrate project in high visibility university environment

<u>Technology collaboration</u>

- Participation with the Technological Research and Development Authority (TRDA) of Florida to promote project objectives
- Participation with Florida Atlantic University to host demonstration as well as design, construct and evaluate electric vehicle charge station

Proposed future projects

 Develop and participate in additional alternative power generation and renewable fuel projects that lead to the development of viable commercial "clean" power solutions





Chemochromic Hydrogen Leak Detectors for Safety Monitoring

A Florida Hydrogen Initiative Project

Drs. Nahid Mohajeri and Nazim Muradov University of Central Florida-FSEC



Relevance and Approach

Relevance: Safety is a major concern for many industries that produce, store, or utilize hydrogen. Developing chemochromic hydrogen detector will lead to lower cost, better reliability, user-friendliness, and superior field worthiness.

- Approach: developing two classes of chemochromic hydrogen sensors:
 - Irreversible
 - Reversible



Irreversible Chemochromic Sensor

✤ Goal:

- To Improve field worthiness of FSEC's 1st generation chemochromic H₂ sensor by:
 - Increasing hydrogen sensing kinetics
 - Determining the sensitivity and selectivity toward hydrogen detection in the presence of other reducing gases
 - Optimizing the encapsulation methods
 - Studying the effect of environmental parameters such as UV, temperature, and water exposure.
 - Improving the worthiness and shelf life of the chemochromic H₂ sensors

Technical Accomplishments & Progress – Irreversible Sensor



- The kinetics for PK-2-31-NM49 chemochromic sensor is <u>80% faster</u> than FSEC's 1st Generation
- The extent of color change (ΔE), in saturation, for PK-2-31-NM49 is almost the same as FSEC's 1st Generation



- PK-2-31-NM49 Chemochromic Sensor shows a great sensitivity and response to 10% and 1% H₂ gas mixture (1% curve exposure kinetics are as good as FSEC's 1st Generation sensor)
- No reaction with CH₄, CO, and H₂S was noticed after one hour at room temperature.



Summary and Future Work – Irreversible Sensor

- Several new chemochromic pigments have been synthesized and tested.
- Total of three new formulation show faster kinetics when compared to FSEC 1st Generation sensor.
- The discoloration kinetics for PK-2-31-NM49 chemochromic sensor is 80% faster than FSEC 1st Generation sensor.
- FSEC 1st Generation sensor has shown great selectivity and sensitivity toward H₂ gas.
- Encapsulation optimization and studying the effects of environmental factors are underway.



Reversible Chemochromic Sensor

Approach

- Reversible H₂ sensors are based on transition metal compounds with tunable redox properties, e.g., Mo⁺⁶ / Mo⁺⁵, W⁺⁶ / W⁺⁵, V⁺⁵ / V⁺⁴.
- By chemically altering the reversible pigment formulations, the research shows the ability to fine tune the kinetics of bleaching from minutes to days.





Mo-based slow-bleaching pigment formulation





W-based fast-bleaching pigment formulation



Summary & Future Work-Reversible Sensor

- Accomplishments
 - synthesized and tested about 20 novel Mo-, W-, V- based chemochromic formulations
 - determined the effect of co-catalyst/activator on the rate of coloration in presence of H₂
 - valuated the performance (sensitivity) of the sensors at different H₂ concentrations in air (from 1 to 100 vol.%)
 - determined the extent of interference with other reducing gases (CO, NH₃, CH₄, H₂S). Found no interference.
- Future work: Determine environmental effect (UV, rain, dust) on the performance of the sensors.
 Conduct long-term field testing of the H₂ sensors.



High Efficiency, Low Cost Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen Production and Fuel Cell Applications

Cunping Huang and Marianne P. Rodgers Florida Soar Energy Center



- Pt and its alloys are the most effective PEM fuel cell catalysts
- Factors limiting fuel cell commercialization include:
 - Costs
 - Efficiency of oxygen reduction reaction (ORR)
- Approaches to overcome these limitations involve reducing Pt loading while maintaining high performance
 - If Pt activity increases, loading can be reduced



- Methods of increasing Pt activity include:
 - Optimization of the size and shape of the Pt partiles
 - Increase the surface area available
 - Alloying Pt with other metals
 - Attributed to structural changes
 - Depositing catalyst particles only where the electrocatalytic reaction takes place
 - Improves catalyst utilization
- For Pt in a PEM fuel cell catalyst layer to be active, it must be deposited at the "three phase reaction zone"
 - Catalyst, electrons, & electrolyte interface
 - Allows effective gas & water diffusion and proton & electron transport to and from catalyst

Approaches to Localize Catalyst to the Three Phase Reaction Zone

- Sputtering deposition
 - Comparable performance with lower loadings
 - Not appropriate for fabrication of large structures
- Pulse electrodeposition
 - Controlled particle size, stronger adhesion, uniform electrodeposition
 - Control of factors such as t_{off}, t_{on}, peak current density, catalyst precursor, co-catalyst, stabilizing agent



- Catalysts electrodeposited on carbon paper loaded with a carbon microporous layer and Nafion[®], resulting in a 3-phase reaction zone
- Pulse electrodeposition carried out using a rotating disk electrode (RDE)
 - RDE brings analyte molecules from bulk solution towards the surface of electrode, resulting in mass transport faster than diffusion only
 - t_{off}, t_{on}, current density, electrode rotation rate, etc. will be varied and optimized
 - Catalyst activity will be measured using RDE





Rotation Disck Electrodeposition & Oxygen Reduction Reaction Setup

Large Scale Electrodeposition Setup

Technical Accomplishments and Progress Oxygen Reduction Reaction (ORR) Activities



- The optimum rotation rate during deposition was 400 rpm
 - Deposited 400 cycles onto activated carbon/Nafion coated gas diffusion layers
- Increasing the number of deposition cycles to 3200 improved ORR activity to well above commercial materials
 - Deposited at 400 rpm onto Cabot Regal GP-3713/Nafion coated gas diffusion layers

ORR activity of samples at 298 K, 0.8 V, and 400 rpm. CCCC is commercial catalyst coated carbon cloth.


- Pt catalysts prepared by the pulse electroplating technique show higher activities than that of commercial catalyst with reduced Pt loading.
- The same catalysts can also be used for H₂ production via water electrolysis with higher efficiency.
- Future work:

Synthesizing alloy based electrocatalysts Catalysts Characterization



Understanding Mechanical and Chemical Durability of Fuel Cell Membrane Electrode Assemblies

A Florida Hydrogen Initiative Project

Darlene Slattery, Leonard Bonville, Nahid Mohajeri, Marianne Rodgers, Paul Brooker and Benny Pearman University of Central Florida-FSEC



Relevance and Approach

- Relevance: Durability is a major target for fuel cells. Understanding degradation mechanisms will lead to greater durability.
- Approach: Four tasks
 - Chemical mitigation of membrane degradation
 - Evaluation of platinum band formation
 - Development of Pt band formation mitigation strategy
 - Combination of chemical mitigation and Pt band reduction



Approach- Task 1

Goal:

- To investigate the effect of radical scavengers on membrane durability
- It is hypothesized that the addition of various radical scavengers, such as ceria, would eliminate or substantially reduce the amount of generated radicals
 - Radicals within MEA will compromise integrity, leading to embrittlement and H₂-crossover
- Fabricate membranes containing ionic and nanoparticular compounds such as: Ce^{3+/4+}, Zn²⁺, MnO₂, CeO₂, ZnO₂, etc.
- Vary shape, size and concentration of additives
- Measure membrane properties
 - Proton conductivity
 - Durability in gaseous and liquid Fenton's tests
 - Accelerated fuel cell durability tests
 - Fuel cell performance
- Optimize chemical mitigator types and levels

Technical Accomplishments & Progress – Task 1

Liquid Fenton Test



- Addition of ceria to the membranes greatly reduces their fluoride emission
- Synthetic method changes its efficacy
- Radical scavenging ability of ceria depends on its concentration
- TH Ceria synthesized via thermal hydrolysis
- WS Ceria synthesized via wet synthesis





Technical Accomplishments & Progress – Task 1

Gaseous Fenton Test



- Gaseous Fenton test confirms the results taken from liquid Fenton test
- Fluoride emission rate for membranes with 0.5% TH ceria is three times higher than membranes with 1.45% TH ceria





Summary and Future Work – Task 1

- It was found that in two Fenton tests, liquid and gaseous, the fluoride emission of 1100 EW PFSA membranes is reduced by the addition of cerium oxide to the membrane
- The formulation of the ceria changes its efficacy
- The durability improvement is furthermore dependent on the ceria concentration
- Analysis of cerium oxide is under way to determine the source of improvement
- Accelerated durability tests will be performed and compared to the Fenton tests



Approach- Task 2

- Goal:
 - To investigate the effect of catalyst type on membrane durability
 - It is hypothesized that, because the Pt-Co/C catalyst is more stable in fuel cells than Pt/C, it will lead to lower membrane degradation
 - Less Pt deposited inside the membrane
- Compare the durability of cells containing Pt/C and Pt-Co/C in their electrodes
 - > All other aspects of the fuel cells are kept the same
- ♦ Open circuit voltage (OCV), 100 h, H₂/air, 90 °C, 30% RH
 - Electrochemical pre- and post-testing
 - Cyclic voltammetry, linear sweep voltammetry, polarization
 - During test monitor:
 - Voltage
 - Fluoride emission rate (FER)



- ✤ Tested at OCV at 90 °C/30% RH, H₂/air
- Decay rates:
 - 1.1 mV/h for Pt/C
 - 0.60 mV/h for Pt-Co/C
- Examining the fuel cell condensate water for F⁻ is an in-situ, nondestructive technique for measuring the degradation rate
- The FER using Pt/C is higher than when Pt-Co/C is used



Summary and Future Work – Task 2

- Using Pt-Co/C rather than Pt/C results in improved cell durability
 - Lower FER and losses in performance and OCV
- It is hypothesized that the improved durability of the cell containing Pt-Co/C over Pt/C is due to the increased stability of the Pt-Co/C
- Further studies including scanning electron microscopy, and transmission electron microscopy are necessary to determine the amount and location of Pt in the membrane



Approach- Task 3

- Goal:
 - To investigate the effect of heteropolyacids (HPAs) within the electrode on membrane durability
 - It is hypothesized that the HPAs could prevent platinum ions from entering the membrane
 - Less Pt deposited in the membrane = less degradation
- Compare the durability of cells containing different HPAs in electrodes
 - > HPAs are modified to exhibit different reduction potentials
 - All other aspects of the fuel cells are kept the same
- ✤ Test at open circuit voltage for 100 h, H₂/air, 90 °C, 30% RH
 - Electrochemical pre- and post-testing
 - Cyclic voltammetry, linear sweep voltammetry, polarization
 - During test, monitor voltage and fluoride emission rate (FER)



- Synthesized HPAs with high reduction potentials
- Adsorption of HPA onto carbon results in a decrease in reduction potential
 - Micro-environment of HPA is more constricted within carbon
- Fuel cells were created with an electrode sublayer containing HPAs
 - The addition of a sublayer has led to increased performance losses
 - These losses may be diffusion and/or resistance related



- Several cells are currently being tested to determine the effect of HPA on platinum migration into the membrane
 - Higher reduction potentials should make the HPA more likely to reduce platinum ions
 - Tested membranes will be examined using SEM and TEM for presence of platinum
- Performance losses will be identified and sublayer will be optimized electrode to reduce these losses
 - HPAs may assist in reaction kinetics, which can only be seen in optimized electrodes

Florida Hydrogen Initiative SRT Group Inc. (SRT)

Production of Low-Cost Hydrogen from Biowaste (HyBrTec™)

POC: Robin Z. Parker (305) 321-3677 rzpsrt@thesrtgroup.com



Relevance :

- Conventional Biowaste-to-Fuel processing:
 - Anaerobic Digester
 - requires biological microorganisms ('bugs')...temperature dependent, large-volume, low-yields, H₂S contamination
 - Fermentation/Distillation
 - slow-processing 'bugs' (yeast) & requires heat for distillation
 - questionable economics, even with \$0.45/gal tax credit
 - Gasification
 - not developed for small scale, requires oxygen plant
 - complex gas clean-up >700° C
 - Pyrolysis
 - feedstock pretreatment & large footprint for upgrade of oil
 - temperature sensitive 200-300° C waste stream disposal



The HyBrTec[™] Advantage:

- Exploits two thermochemical advantages that reduce the cost and energy of converting waste-to-fuel:
 - elevated temperature and pressure provides high product yield minimizing the size of equipment
 - improves the relationship between fuel production and consumption
 - the chemical bonds requiring energy to release hydrogen are weak, requiring less energy that what hydrogen will produce when burned with oxygen (air)



Approach:

- Bromination¹
 - Produces hydrogen bromide (HBr) from wet-cellulosic waste
 - Co-produces carbon dioxide (CO₂) & thermal energy (exothermic)

¹Bromination is analogous to combustion (burning) wood (cellulose) with oxygen from air, only bromine is the oxidizer, consequently HBr is formed instead or H_2O .

- Electrolysis
 - Dissociates hydrogen bromide (E° = 0.555V) producing recyclable bromine and hydrogen (endothermic)
- Combustion
 - React hydrogen with the more energetic oxygen (E° = 1.229V), affording a theoretical process efficiency >100%²

²Waste-to-fuel efficiency of 70%; >160% if omitting the energy content of waste.



Electrolytic Hydrogen Production (25°C):





- H₂O electrolysis requires a pure feedstock
- HBr electrolysis improves with conductive contaminates

Summary of Electrolytic Hydrogen Production (Water Electrolysis), September 2004, NREL /MP-560-36734



HyBrTec[™] Biowaste-to-Hydrogen:



- Bromination: $C_6H_{10}O_5 + 7H_2O + 12Br_2 \rightarrow 24HBr + 6CO_2$
- Electrolysis: $24HBr \rightarrow 12H_2 + 12Br_2$
- Overall: $C_6H_{10}O_5 + 7H_2O \rightarrow 12H_2 + 6CO_2$
- Net enthalpy change: -2.7 kWh/lb H₂



What Happens to Contaminants?

- Pathogens and organisms are killed by bromination
- Sulfur and nitrates are converted into sulfates and nitrogen in exothermic reactions that produce additional HBr
- Metals and other impurities that react with Br₂ to form metal bromides that are treated with dilute sulfuric acid to form metal sulfates and additional HBr
- Sulfates and unreacted carbon are removed with the ash, which is sterile and safe for use as fertilizer



Temperature Effect on Yield & Energy:



- Increase yield
- 95% biomass conversion to HBr @ 250°C



- Decrease energy
- HBr electrolysis requires 4kWh/lb H₂ @ 250°C

Integrate the Two Processes:



DOE/FHI 2011 Program:

- Integrate reactor/electrolysis vessel
 - \$250K Program
 - Verify conversion efficiency of >85%
 - Confirm a hydrogen cost of <\$1.00/lb
 - Assess regulatory and safety issues
 - Assess entry-markets, end-users issues
 - Assess energy and capital needs
 - Determine scale, cost & performance goals for follow-on prototype





Accomplishments and Progress:

- Designed & sourced reactor/electrolysis vessel
 - <300° C, <5,000 psi</p>
 - Designed novel MEA (cathode) and anode
 - Determined feedstock and byproduct analysis
- Preliminary bromination experiments are favorable
 - Establish experimental test matrix
 - quantity of cellulose vs. H₂O vs. Br₂ reagents
 - temperature vs. pressure vs. time
- Assembled prototype development team
- Expenditures (1/5/11-2/28/11): <\$25K</p>
- Anticipate completing program in 6 months

11

Future Work:

- High temperature HBr electrolysis
 - Slurry electrodes
 - Voltage vs. concentration vs. temperature
- Integrate bromination/electrolysis
 - Determine optimum temperature and pressure
- Analysis of byproducts
- Preliminary economic analysis



Collaborators (other than DOE & FHI):

US Army RDECOM, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD

- DOD requirements for a 1-ton/day system
- Electrolytic Technologies Corp.
 - product line of halogen electrolysis system...
- De Dietrich, Mountainside, NJ
 - product line of reactors, Nutsche filters, Br₂ recovery systems...
- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
 - bromination-electrolysis reactor/HEX pressure vessel
- Sandia National Laboratory, Livermore, CA
 - controls, instrumentation, safety & UL certification







Project: Development of a Low-Cost and High-Efficiency 500 W Portable PEMFC System

Jim P. Zheng, Richard Liang, and Wei Zhu, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32310 Harry Chen, Bing Energy Inc. Tallahassee, FL 32310

Research Objectives:

- Demonstrate new catalyst structures comprised of high conducting buckypaper and Pt catalyst nanoparticle coated at or near the surface of buckypaper
- Demonstrate efficiency and durability improvement and cost reduction of using CNT buckypaper based electrodes







Technical Approach



catalytic electrode

Electrodeposition of Pt

Innovative Fuel Cells Assembly

Conventional method



Pt/carbon coating



Catalytic electrode film

Our method



Buckypaper (Mixture of CNT/CNF)



Pt/buckypaper coating

Disadvantages:

Low Pt usage: due to Pt blocking by support materials and micropores
Poor durability: carbon surface corroded under the severe condition



MEA & fuel cell assembly

Advantages:

High Pt usage: no Pt blockingGood durability: stable CNT surface

Images of Two-Layered Pt/Buckypaper



Unique Properties of buckypaper based catalytic electrodes

- •The porosity in nonuniform in electrode
- •The Pt is not uniformly distributed CNT surface
- •High surface area
- •Minimum micropore
- •Highly electrical conductivity
- •Good durability in electrochemical oxidation

Cell Performance



H₂-O₂ (Air) Fuel cells at 80 °C

•Cathode Pt loading 0.11 mg_{Pt}/cm², Anode Pt loading 0.05 mg/cm²

•Rated power density: **956 mW/cm² (510 W/cm²)** at 0.65 V

•Pt utilization: 0.167 g_{Pt}/kW (0.314 g_{Pt}/kW)

Accelerated Durability Test

- Three-electrode cell setup
- 0.5 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte
- Fixed potential (0.95 V vs SCE) applied to working electrode for 200 hours
- ECSA characterized by CV every 5 hours

2015 DOE Targets and Current Results Achieved in FSU/BEI for Electrocatalyst and MEAs

Characteristic	Units	DOE Targets for Cell Stack	2009 FSU Status for H ₂ -O ₂ (Air) FC
Platinum group metal total content (both electrodes)	g/kW (rated)	0.125	0.167 (0.314)
Platinum group metal (pgm) total loading	mg PGM /cm ² electrode area	0.125	0.16
Electrochemical area loss	% after 100 hours @1.2V	<40	55
Electrocatalyst support loss	mV after 100 hours @1.2V	<30	60
Mass activity loss	% after 100 hours @1.2V	<60	57
Mass activity	A / mg Pt @ 900 mV _{iR-free}	0.44	0.3
Specific activity	$\mu A / cm^2 @ 900 mV_{iR\text{-}free}$	720	600
Performance @ rated power (MEA)	mW / cm ²	1,000	956 (510)

Current Research Fuel Cell Module Development





Florida Institute of Technology

Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technology Academic Program

Relevance:

For hydrogen energy to become viable, an interdisciplinary approach to education should be developed and implemented.

The program will allow students to:

- Follow hydrogen technology from introduction to long-term applications
- Obtain a basic understanding of the fundamentals of the field
- Redirect their current technology focus as a means for new career options
- Measure students' gains in knowledge of hydrogen as a fuel source
- Interact with outside industries
- Satisfy the need for hydrogen technology graduates



Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technology Academic Program

Approach:

- Develop Masters Degree area of specialization
- Develop modules for existing undergraduate courses
- Support senior design and capstone projects
- Prepare hydrogen-themed general chemistry lab experiments



Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technology Academic Program

Technical Accomplishments & Progress

- Initial Hydrogen Knowledge and Opinion surveys administered to MAE and Chemistry students.
 - Results show a general need for hydrogen and fuel cell technology education.
 - Results show most students currently obtain their knowledge of energy from modern media such as television and the Internet rather than classroom instruction or print media.
 - Results show that students do not have a uniform projection of the future of hydrogen and fuel cell technology.
- Graduate courses and modules under development.
- Present pending curriculum at international conference, "Sustainability 2011: Is It Worth It?"


Hydrogen-Themed General Chemistry Lab Experiments

Kurt Winkelmann, Department of Chemistry

Relevance

Improve students' views about chemistry and their knowledge about hydrogen as an alternative energy source

Approach

Add experiments to General Chemistry II lab course Experiments are related to hydrogen fuel research PI will adapt/improve published experiments Experiments will use an inquiry pedagogy

Measure effect of experiments on students' views and knowledge about hydrogen (Hydrogen Knowledge and Opinion Survey, HKOS)

Measure changes in students' perspectives about chemistry (in-house survey)

Hydrogen Experiments

Produce H_2 by semiconductor photocatalysis Absorb and store H_2 in metals Produce H_2 by enzyme-catalyzed reactions Detect an intermediate of H_2 combustion Construct a solar powered H_2 electrolysis cell Construct an H_2 fuel cell

Accomplishments and Progress

Beginning development of new experiments Determining baseline HKOS responses

Future Work

Introduce new experiments in spring 2012 Continue collecting HKOS student responses



Florida Institute of Technology High Tech with a Human TouchTM

Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technology Academic Program

Anticipated Results

- A strong curriculum on hydrogen and fuel cell technology that will assist undergraduate students in furthering their understanding of hydrogen and fuel cell technology and offer graduate students a career path into renewable energy.
- Students prepared for entry into research and other positions related to hydrogen technology within government, industry and academia.
- The strengthening of Florida as a cornerstone of the southeastern fuel cell and hydrogen hub.

Design and Development of an Advanced Hydrogen Storage System using Novel Materials

> E.K. Stefanakos, D. Yogi Goswami, A. Kumar CERC, University of South Florida



Project Goal

Design and develop novel conducting polymeric nanomaterials for onboard hydrogen storage with a system gravimetric capacity of 5.5 wt.% or greater and completely reversible hydrogen storage characteristics at moderate temperature (<100 °C).

DOE's new 2015 Technical targets for storage system Gravimetric 0.055 kg H₂/kg Volumetric 0.040 kg H₂/L





Specific objectives

Task 1: Fabrication of polymer nanostructures for reversible hydrogen storage

Task 2: Modification of polymer nanostructures for e.g. by CNT, Graphene and transition metal catalyst doping

Task 3: Engineering system design, development and testing

Task 4 Education and Outreach

Proposed Approach

- Synthesis of polyaniline (PANI) a solid state hydrogen storage material.
- Modification of synthesis parameters for optimized storage capabilities.

Major Challenges

Develop polymer nanostructures that can store hydrogen at room temperature, and be reversible for many cycles





Preliminary Work at CERC

Hydrogen Sorption Kinetics - PANI **Nanofibers**



-3

0

10

20

Time (hr)

30

40

50



M.U. Niemann, S.S. Srinivasan et. al., J. Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, 9, 2009, 1-5.

(b)

Preliminary Work at CERC

PANI Nanostructures for H₂ Storage

- PANI Nanospheres(NS) Chemical Method
- PANI Nanofibers (NF)– Chemical (CM) Method









Date :10 Jan 200 Signal A = InLens

Preliminary Work at CERC







PANI Nanostructures Summary

Material	Capacity	Reversibility	Temperature	Comments
PANI Bulk	0.4 wt%	Small	125°C	
PANI NS-CM	6 wt%	Decreases to 0.5 wt%	30°C	Slow kinetics (hours)
PANI NF-CM	3 wt%	Reversible	30°C	Fast Kinetics (<10min)
PANI NF-ES	10wt%	Reversible with PCT, capacity decreases with kinetics measurement	100°C (kinetic) 125°C (PCT)	Kinetics combination of physisorption (rapid) and chemisorption (slow)

- PANI nanostructures combine physisorption and chemisorption
- Reversible storage of >3wt% possible at room temperature
- Reversible storage of <10wt% possible at 100°C</p>





Project Timeline

TASK NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6
Task 1: Fabrication of polymer nanostructures for reversible hydrogen storage]	
Task 2: Modification of polymer nanostructures for e.g. by CNT, Graphene and transition metal catalyst doping						
Task 3: Engineering system design, development and testing						
Task 4 Education and Outreach						





Synthesis and characterization of conducting polyaniline which would be storing hydrogen with a system gravimetric capacity of 5.5 wt.% or greater and completely reversible hydrogen storage characteristics at moderate temperature (<100 °C).</p>

Demonstrate the hydrogen storage system

 Implementation of course, curriculum development and education outreach







Task 14: Advanced HiFoil™ Bipolar Plates

James Braun

Manager, Advanced Materials and Technology

EnerFuel, Inc.

1501 Northpoint Pkwy, Suite 101

West Palm Beach, FL 33407

(561) 868-6720 ext. 227 jbraun@enerfuel.com

DOE Contract #DE-FC36-04GO14225

FHI Project ID #

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information



Sales@enerfuel.com



Advanced HiFoil[™] Bipolar Plates

Project Goal - Relevance

Address **cost** and **durability** barriers for High Temperature Proton Exchange Membrane (HT-PEM) fuel cells by providing a low cost, easy to form, corrosion-resistant *laminate* bipolar plate having high thermal conductivity and improved mechanical strength/crack resistance



Existing Plate Technology

Existing bipolar plate technology includes machined expanded graphite composite plates (at left) and gold coated stainless steel plates (at right).





Patent-Pending Laminate Technology

Expanded Graphite Conductive Adhesive Modified Metal Foil Conductive Adhesive Expanded Graphite

HiFoil[™] Laminate Technology

Corrugated Inserts:

- Excellent performance
- Corrosion resistant
- HTPEMFC stack tested for
- 1,000 hours with no failure
- Ex-situ: thermal cycled
 from RT to 200°C over
 10,000 times with no failure



Enerfue Project Objectives and Approach

Project Objectives/Task Matrix Objective	Task 1. Laminate Fabrication	Task 2. Flow Field Formation	Task 3. Bipolar Plate Sealing	Task 4. HT-PEMFC Stack Testing	Task 5. Performance Validation	Task 6. Reports
1. Double-sided Plate - Achieve two-sided flow field formation in HiFoil [™] laminates using a labscale embossing process	x	X				X
2. Integral Seal - Achieve a robust seal against porosity around fluid ports and plate edges using a dispensed sealant or coating			x			X
3. Stack Validation - Obtain performance data in an air-cooled, reformate capable HTPEM fuel cell stack				x		X
4. Meet DOE Targets - Meet or exceed DOE bipolar plate technical targets					x	X



Risk Mitigation Approach

Objective 1. Double-sided Plate

Flowfield Reproduction – material sticking in die. Mitigate with more stable expanded graphite layer, change layer thickness, reduce channel depth, increase draft angle and evaluate release agents.

Objective 2. Integral Seal

Sealing – gas leaks from edges of graphite layers. Mitigate by increasing graphite layer density near edges and increase encapsulation layer thickness and uniformity.

Objective 3. Stack Validation

Cell Compression – MEA over/under compressed. Mitigate by using tight tolerance frame hardstop.

Objective 4. Meet DOE Targets

Corrosion – metal ions contaminate MEA. Mitigate by upgrading metal alloy, Ni-B coating, increase conductive adhesive thickness and polymer content.

Electrical Resistance – through-plane resistance does not meet target. Mitigate by increasing metal/coating surface area and adhesive graphite content.

Cost – does not meet target. Mitigate using lower cost metal and reducing thicknesses.

Weight – does not meet target. Mitigate by reducing layer density and thickness.

39 Sales@enerfuel.com



Expected Results

Demonstration and characterization of **advanced fuel cell materials** that combine the strength of metal with the corrosion resistance of graphite, for use as a bipolar plate in High Temperature Proton Exchange Membrane (HTPEM) Fuel Cells operating at ~200°C . *Expected to lead to long life, high power density stacks and better thermal management/cell heat transfer.

- HiFoil[™] samples fabricated ٠
- Flow fields formed ٠
- HTPEM single cell test ٠
- HTPEM sub-scale stack testing ٠

March-September 2011

June-October 2011

August 2011

November 2011 - May 2012

Collaborating Partners:

Dr. Yancy Riddle C UCT COATINGS Dr. Juan Nino





Future Commercial Applications

Transportation



Car



Buses



Trains



Boats



APU – Military



Planes

Scooters



APU - Police

41 Sales@enerfuel.com



- Off-grid power supply
- Notebook computers ٠
- Smartphones ٠

Stationary





Home Power

Office Building





Telecom Power

Facility

Power

Remote Locations





Cruise Ships





Space Shuttle

Submarine