PD053

PHOTOELECTROCHEMICAL HYDROGEN PRODUCTION

PI: Arun Madan MVSystems, Inc. May 14-18, 2012

Project ID # DE-FC36-07GO17105, A00

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Approach

Approach 1:

Stabilization of High

Efficiency Crystalline

Material Systems

Efficiency

The US DOE PEC Working Group approach towards efficient and durable solar H₂ production



Durability

Overview

Timeline

Phase 1:

- Project start date: 9/1/2007
- Project end date: 12/31/2010

Passed go/no go evaluation in Nov, 2010

Phase 2:

- Project start date: 1/1/2011
- Project end date: 12/31/2012



- Total project funding
 - DOE share: \$ 2,970,172
 - Cost share: \$ 820,000
- Funding received FY11: \$686k
- Planned funding FY12: \$556k

Barriers

 Challenges for photoelectrochemical hydrogen production technologies:

- -Y: Materials Efficiency
- -Z: Materials Durability
- -AB: Bulk Materials Synthesis
- -AC: Device Configuration Designs

Partners

<u>Collaborators:</u>

Hawaii Natural Energy Institute (HNEI) National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) University of Nevada at Las Vegas (UNLV)

• Project Lead: MVSystems, Inc.

Relevance - Objectives



Our goal: Develop a monolithic hybrid PEC device powered by MVS' lowcost a-Si-based tandem solar cell.



Project Objectives:

- Solar-to-hydrogen efficiency: 5%
- Durability: 500-hrs (by the end of Phase II)

Relevance - Milestones

	<u>Material</u> Photocurrent	<u>Material/Device</u> <u>Durability*</u>	<u>Device Efficiency</u> <u>(STH)</u>	
Goal ->	4mA/cm ²	500hrs	5%	
Amorphous Silicon Carbide	8mA/cm2	310hrs @ 1mA/cm ²	2.5%STH	
(d-010)	>100% Achieved	62% Achieved	50% Achieved	
Tungsten Oxide (WO ₃)	3.6mA/cm ² 90% Achieved	600hrs @ 1.5mA/cm ² >>100% Achieved	3.1%STH 62% Achieved	
I-III-VI ₂				
(Copper	20mA/cm ²	420hrs @ 4mA/cm ²	4.34%STH	
based)	>>100% Achieved	84% Achieved	87% Achieved	

* Test conditions in slide #24.

Relevance – Barriers

	a-SiC	Metal oxides	I-III-VI ₂ (Copper Chalcopyrite-based)
AB: Synthesis	Entire PEC device fabricated with low-cost PECVD in an cluster tool identical to those used in PV industries.	Best performance achieved with conventional sputtering methods	CGSe films synthesized with co- evaporation methods. Synergy with PV industry (CIGSe)
AC: Device design	Monolithic device	Hybrid PEC device concept demonstrated with mechanical stack	Hybrid PEC device concept demonstrated with co-planar PV/PEC
- Achieved: - Barriers:	Νο	Current deposition temperature requires innovative integration scheme	Current deposition temperature requires innovative integration scheme
Z: Durability - Achieved: (so far tested)	310-hrs	600-hrs	420-hrs
Y: Efficiency - Achieved:	Less STH (3%) compared to the solid state version (>5%)	3.1% STH with pure WO ₃ (2.6 eV).	4.34% STH achieved with co- planar integration.
- Barriers:	Need to modify surface to lower overpotential	Need to discover metal oxides with appropriate band-gap	Need to modify band alignment to lower onset potentials

Approaches

Synergetic work on 3 different material classes

1) All 3 hybrid PEC devices will use the same a-Si tandem solar cell "engine" --> each improvement on the solar cell design benefits to the entire program

2) Both photo-anodes and photo-cathodes are evaluated under one program

--> Discovery on new surface catalysts can be implemented to new counter electrodes

3) All 3 material classes performances are evaluated in the same laboratory

--> all tests are performed under identical experimental conditions facilitating comparison

3 major tasks to achieve STH efficiency > 5%

a-SiC: improve interface energetics and kinetics with appropriate surface treatment - <u>decrease overpotential</u>

Metal oxides: identify stable compounds with appropriate band gap (2.0-2.2 eV) - *improve transport properties with elemental doping*

I-III-VI₂: lower valence band edge via Cu and Se (partial) substitution
- decrease overpotentials and increase bandgap from 1.6 to 1.9 eV

Part I

Amorphous Silicon Carbide (a-SiC)

Presenter: Jian Hu, MVSystems, Inc

Part II

Metal Oxide Compounds

Presenter: Nicolas Gaillard, Hawaii Natural Energy Institute

Part III

I-III-VI₂ (Copper Chalcopyrite-based)

Presenter: Nicolas Gaillard, Hawaii Natural Energy Institute

a-SiC: Cluster Tool PECVD/Sputtering System

All a-SiC films, photoelectrodes, solar cells and the PEC hybrid devices were fabricated in the cluster tool PECVD/Sputtering System, designed and manufactured by MVSystems, Inc.



Main deposition parameters:

RF power:	10-20 W
Excitation frequency:	13.56 MHz
Pressure:	300-550 mTorr
SiH ₄ flow rate:	20 sccm
CH₄ flow rate:	0-20 sccm
H ₂ flow rate:	0-100 sccm
Substrate temperature:	200°C

http://www.mvsystemsinc.com

chambers

Amorphous and/or nano-crystalline Si solar cells in conjunction with the photo-electrode as the driver for a-SiC, WO3 and I-III-VI₂ PEC.

Progress: Comparison with a Solid-State Configuration



> Charge carrier extraction problem at the a-SiC/electrolyte interface

Addressing efficiency with catalytic surface treatment



Progress: Surface Modification – Use of Ru Nanoparticles

Addressing efficiency with catalytic surface treatment



Ru nanoparticle coating (HNEI)



- Ru alloys (i.e. Ru-Ni) with high HER catalytic activity demonstrated
- Ru nanoparticles with activity comparable with Pt recently reported^(*)



(*) Yamada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133 (40), pp 16136–16145

[Data measured by HNEI]

Future Work (a-SiC PEC electrode)



I Improvement of photocurrent in the hybrid PEC cell.

- a. Refine surface treatment processes and further reduce over-potential: expected $J_{nh} > 3 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ and STH efficiency > 3%.
- b. Improve performance of a-Si tandem solar cell and PV/a-SiC photoelectrode triple junction device:

expected FF>0.7 and J_{ph} > 4 mA/cm² @1.5V.

Durability tests.

- Perform more durability test up to \geq 500 hours.

Part I

Amorphous Silicon Carbide (a-SiC)

Presenter: Jian Hu, MVSystems, Inc

Part II

Metal Oxide Compounds

Presenter: Nicolas Gaillard, Hawaii Natural Energy Institute

Part III

I-III-VI₂ (Copper Chalcopyrite-based)

Presenter: Nicolas Gaillard, Hawaii Natural Energy Institute



Y. Chang, J. Phys. Chem. C 115, 25490 (2011).

Progress: New metal oxides with E_G = 2.0-2.2eV

Addressing efficiency



Porous CuWO₄ thin film \Rightarrow high surface area



Stability demonstrated for 24 hrs (so far tested)



Progress: New metal oxides with $E_G = 2.0 - 2.2 eV$

Addressing efficiency

Improving CuWO₄ transport properties with CNT



TEM micrographs of $CuWO_4 n.p.$ on CNT



→ 1 mA/cm² achieved with CuWO₄ [/] CNT nanocomposites → Compatible with hybrid concept (CNT absorb only 2.5%)

Main barrier: a-Si solar cells performances degraded after long exposure to heat

Issue





- **WO₃ samples clearly underperformed in this**
- \rightarrow New hybrid device being tested

Future Work (Metal Oxides PEC electrode)

 \Rightarrow Theoretical STH limit with WO₃ is approx. 6%. All attempts to reduce E_G have been unsuccessful

 \Rightarrow With a band gap of 2.2 eV, CuWO₄ is a serious candidate for low cost PEC hydrogen production, with potentially 13% STH efficiency.

CuWO₄ transport properties have been identified as main limitation

Plans to achieve higher efficiency:

 Other *solar absorber/charge collector* architectures will be studied (Stanford).
 Improvements could be also achieved with elemental doping (NREL).



Part I

Amorphous Silicon Carbide (a-SiC)

Presenter: Jian Hu, MVSystems, Inc

Part II

Metal Oxide Compounds

Presenter: Nicolas Gaillard, Hawaii Natural Energy Institute

Part III

I-III-VI₂ (Copper Chalcopyrite-based)

Presenter: Nicolas Gaillard, Hawaii Natural Energy Institute



Barriers:

- 1. Bandgap (1.65 eV) currently too small
- 2. Overpotential too high

Addressing both barriers by lowering valence band-edge with substitution of Ag and/or Sulfur

Bandgap:

 $CuGaSe_2 = 1.65eV$ (baseline)

AgGaSe₂ up to 1.85eV

CuGaS₂ up to 2.43eV



Highlighted in yellow is the voltage region where completed devices typically operate.

<u>Barrier:</u> Low band gap (1.65eV) materials currently produced require innovative device design <u>Solution:</u> Large photocurrent produced by materials in this class allow co-planar PV-PEC integration





4.34% STH achieved! (AM1.5 1-sun)

- 3 a-Si PV cells
- CGSe₂ PEC
- Outdoor Standalone (no external voltage):
 - 3.53mA/cm² = 4.34%STH
- Surpasses old record while using much cheaper materials

Future Work (I-III-VI₂ hybrid photoelectrode)

- Coplanar Device utilizing 3 PV cells of suitable performance can surpass 5% STH.
- Lowering the valence band to increase E_g and decrease overpotential is THE key to high performance chalcopyrite-based PEC hybrid devices.

Lower overpotential \Rightarrow fewer PV cells required \Rightarrow diminishes photocurrent division

Higher band gap: buried PV cell approach possible (synergy with ongoing research in PV industry towards tandem CIGSe-based PV cells)



Future Work (Novel Inverted Monolithic Stack)



- Progress at MVSystems utilizing higherbandgap a-SiC (~2.0eV) in PV applications
- Lowering I-III-VI₂ bandgap much easier than raising it
- Device development underway, possibility of 8-10%STH efficiency
- Highly dependent on voltage characteristics when fabrication proceeds



Durability test

-0.001

-0.002

-0.003

-0.004

Current density (mA.cm⁻²)

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

100

200

300

Time (hrs)

400

I (Amps/cm²)

Addressing "Durability"

a-SiC photoelectrode:

- Under AM1.5_G @1mA/cm², in pH2 buffer solution.
- No dark current increase for 310 hours

WO3 photoelectrode:

Under AM1.5G @1.6V vs. SCE in pH2.
High corrosion resistance of tungsten oxide in acidic solution for up to 600 hrs.

CGSe photoelectrode:

- Under AM1.5_G @1.7V (4mA/cm²), in 0.5M H_2SO_4 for 420 hours total
- Sealant and illumination issues may have led to degradation



E (Volts v. Ag/AgCI)

J @ 1.6 V vs. SCE

pH2 / AM1.5

500

600

Hybrid PV/a-SiC device after 310-hrs



[Data measured by NREL]

Five WO₃ samples after 600-hrs







Collaborations

– US Department of Energy PEC working group: Leading task force on WO_3 ,I-III-VI₂ and a-SiC photoelectrodes

– *National Renewable Energy Laboratory*: collaboration to perform theoretical research and advanced morphological analysis of new materials.

- *University of Nevada at Las Vegas*: collaboration to analyze the surface energy band structure of new photoelectrode materials.

- University of California in Santa Barbara: collaboration on surface treatment for catalytic purposes.

- Stanford University: collaboration on surface treatment for catalytic purposes.

- *Helmholtz Centre Berlin*: New alloy composition (sulfurization) fabrication, material/device theory

– *International Energy Agency/HIA/Annex 26*: collaboration with international institutes and universities including EMPA (Swiss) and University of Warsaw (Poland).

Project summary

a-SiC photoelectrode:

- Durability of hybrid PV/a-SiC cell: 310 hours
- □ Photocurrent density (in solid state version): >4 mA/cm² (possible STH efficiency >5%)
- **D** Photocurrent density in pH2 electrolyte: 2.0 mA/cm² (or STH efficiency of ~2.5%)

Future work: enhance surface catalysis and improve a-Si tandem solar cell (FF, Jsc)

Metal oxides photoelectrode:

- \Box Durability of WO₃ sputtered material: 600 hrs.
- **□** CuWO₄ (2.2 eV) is a promising PEC material, $J_{photo} \times 10$ over the past year.
- Bifacial monolithic integration demonstrated. Compatible with CGSe systems.

Future work: improve CuWO₄ transport properties (new architectures and/or doping)

I-III-VI₂ photoelectrode:

- Durability of CGSe PEC cell: 420 hrs
- □ Photocurrent density of PEC film: 20 mA/cm² (offers novel device integration)
- □ Photocurrent density of coplanar hybrid device: 3.53 mA/cm² (4.34% STH efficiency)

Future work: develop robust sulfurization process ($7 E_G$) and create CIGSe with lower E_G

Technical Back-Up Slides

a-SiC: Barrier at a-SiC/ITO interface



Which could translate to STH >5%

Removal of barrier will improve the solid state device substantially

a-SiC: Surface methylation and nanoparticles



* Takabayashi, Nakamura, & Nakato, Journal of Photochemistry & Photobiology A, 166 (2004).

500-hr durability test on hybrid PV/a-SiC device

 Under AM1.5G @1mA/cm², in pH2 buffer solution.





a-SiC: Hybrid PV/a-SiC PEC Device - Simulation Results

Addressing "Y"

Energy band diagram for hybrid PV/a-SiC PEC device





Calculated photocurrent and STH efficiency for 3 different configurations:

Photo- electrode	Eg (eV)	JSC (mA/cm ²) Available	Voc (V)	PV cell configuration	Filtered Available	Voc (V)	STH (%) Possible
a-SiC:H (1)	2	8.85 (100 nm)	0.6 (p-i)	a-Si/a-Si (620nm/132nm)	7.1	1.9	8.73
a-SiC:H (2)	2	8.85 (100 nm)	0.6 (p-i)	nc-Si/a-Si (1.5µm/244nm)	8.85	1.5	10.89
a-SiC:H (3)	2	12 (250 nm)	>1 (p-i-n)	nc-Si (1.5μm)	12.0	0.6	14.7

2000

1600

1200

800

400

 $(\Omega.cm^2)$

 $|\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{imaginary}}$

Addressing "Y"

700.0

Improving CuWO₄ transport properties with CNT



Light absorber / charge collector

- 1. Various CNT:CuWO₄ w.t. %
- 2. Spray on SnO₂:F substrate
- 3. Annealing @ 500°C in air





Absorption from CNT in CuWO₄

 \rightarrow 1 mA/cm² achieved with CuWO₄CNT nanocomposites

→ Compatible with hybrid concept (only 2.5% transmission loss)



