

# Room Temperature Hydrogen Storage in Nano-Confined Liquids

John J. Vajo

# HRL Laboratories, LLC 15 May 2013

Project ID # ST102

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information



# **Overview**

#### Timeline

- Project start date: 05 March 2012
- Project end date: 15 February 2015 
  C. Efficiency
- Percent complete: 30%

#### **Budget**

- Total project funding
  - DOE share: \$1.2M
  - Contractor share: \$0.3M
- Funding received in FY12:
  - \$450K (DOE)
  - \$78K (Contractor)
- Funding for FY13:
  - \$200K (DOE)
  - \$93K (Contractor)

#### **Technical Barriers**

- A. System weight and volume
- - E. Charging and discharge rates

#### **Partners**

- No formal partners
- Tom Autrey (PNNL)
- David Farrusseng (CNRS)

Relevance –



### **Project Goals and Objectives**

#### Goal

Develop hydrogen storage materials with (material basis) hydrogen densities of  $\geq$  6 wt% and 50 g/l at room temperature and <350 bar that are compatible with the vehicle engineering and delivery infrastructure for compressed gas storage

#### **Overall Objective**

Use measurements and simulations to characterize, understand, and optimize the (enhanced) hydrogen storage capacity of nano-confined liquids (liquids confined within nanoporous scaffolds)

#### **Objectives March 2012 – March 2013**

- Establish procedures for measuring hydrogen sorption (solubility) in liquids and liquid-based composites at pressures up to ~100 bar
- Validate procedures with bulk solvents
- Determine enhanced solubility of nano-confined liquid composites
- Develop simulation scheme to understand enhanced solubility effect



### **Enhanced Solubility in Nano-Confined Liquids**

LABORATORIES

Hydrogen storage in nano-confined solvent/porous scaffold composites



- Validate and understand (experimentally and computationally) the enhanced solubility effect
- Optimize and advance effect to achieve storage targets

# HRL

# **Gas/Liquid Solubility Measurement Procedure**



• Technique (including ultrasonic agitation) also works for nano-confined solvent / porous scaffold composites



## H<sub>2</sub> Solubility in Bulk Hexane

Samples prepared by helium purging and LN2 freeze/pump/thaw cycles



Average values agree with literature values within ~15%

• Errors reflect uncertainty in correction for hexane vapor pressure



# H<sub>2</sub> Solubility in Nanoconfined Solvent Composites

Begin with a reported system to validate effect:



MCM-41 scaffold (3.4 nm diameter, 1-D pores; commercially available)



Approximate actual Pore : Solvent size ratio (4.4)

	Bulk n-Hexane* (mmol/cm³)	Empty MCM-41* (mmol/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Composite Hexane/MCM-41* (mmol/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Capacity wrt bulk hexane	Capacity wrt empty MCM-41
CNRS Chem Phys Lett 2010 JACS 2012	0.17	0.87	<b>2.7 (</b> 60 vol%)	15.9 x large enhancement	<b>3.1</b> x large enhancement
HRL	0.185	0.4 ± 0.1	0.19 (52 vol%) 0.18 (76 vol%) 0.18 (84 vol% <b>)</b>	1.03 x 0.97 x 0.97 x no enhancement	0.48 x 0.45 x 0.45 x lower

- Bulk hexane and empty scaffold capacities agree approximately
- Composite capacities do not agree (large enhanced capacities not validated)
- Solubility in nanoconfined hexane is similar to bulk





- Solubility in composite is similar to bulk (no large enhancement)
- 52 vol% composite capacity may be influenced by open scaffold





### **Smaller Pore: Hexane/Activated Carbon**

PICA activated carbon: <2 nm pore size (2.5 vs. 4.4 pore:solvent size ratio)



• This appears to be an experimentally significant enhancement – of 2x

• Lower size ratio may further increase enhancement (will be tested)

#### Hexane/Activated Carbon: Filling Dependence



- Limit of high filling shows 2x enhancement
- However, volumetric capacity is still lowered compared to empty scaffold
- Higher pressures may favor composites (will also be tested)



# Simulation of Enhanced Solubility Effect

- Use molecular dynamics simulations of solvent/scaffold composites to quantify the effect of nano-confinement on the solvent properties (spatial density variations, orientational effects, thermodynamics)
- LAMMPS molecular dynamics simulator (classical, developed by Sandia) with CHARMM forcefields (Leonard-Jones with cutoffs)
- Steps to full simulations :
  - bulk scaffold material (silica) Completed
  - empty nanoporous scaffold (silica with 2 nm pore) Completed
  - bulk solvent (hexane) Nearly completed, March 2013
  - solvent/scaffold composite (hexane in 2 nm silica pore)
  - additional pore diameters, H<sub>2</sub> solubility in composite
- Metrics used for validation of simulation :

- density, pair correlation functions, thermodynamic quantities



## **Simulation of Silica Scaffold**



**Details:** 

- ~16 000 atoms
- ~6.5 x 6.5 x 6.5 nm<sup>3</sup>
- 300 K
- ~2 nm dia x 6.5 nm long pore
- Si-O-Si and Si-OH pore surface bonds
- Si-O bond length = 0.1626 ± 0.0027 nm (exp. = 0.1600 - 0.1615 nm)
- More disorder near pore

LABORATORIES

#### Simulation of Bulk Hexane



- 250 hexane molecules
- Volume =
  - 4.5 x 3.25 x 3.7 nm<sup>3</sup>
- Temperature = 300 K
- Final bulk density = 0.662 g/cm<sup>3</sup>  $(exp. = 0.659 \text{ g/cm}^3)$
- Spatial density profiles, pair correlation functions, and thermodynamic parameters are being calculated



- No formal partners
- Informal collaborators
  - Tom Autrey (PNNL) email correspondence and discussions (possible effort on NMR and/or PDF of confined liquids)
  - David Farrusseng (CNRS) email correspondence



- Continue to optimize enhanced solubility effect
  - vary pore : solvent size ratio (test larger solvents, eg  $C_{16}H_{34}$ )
  - test branched solvents (eg iso-octane)
  - test additional scaffolds (eg MOFs, micoporous polymers)
- Investigate effect of pressure (to exceed scaffold adsorption)
- Perform full solvent / scaffold simulations
  - hexane in 2 nm silica pore
  - vary pore and/or solvent size
  - consider including H<sub>2</sub> as solute
- Go/No-go decision (Sept. 2013, month 18)
  - demonstrate mechanism to achieve 1 wt% storage



- Solubility enhancements of ~2x up to 50x have been reported for gasses, including H<sub>2</sub>, in liquid solvents that are nano-confined in scaffolds having pore sizes <~10 nm</li>
- An enhancement of ~20x at 350 bar with a scaffold pore volume of 4.0 cm<sup>3</sup>/g would enable room temperature material-based hydrogen storage densities of 6 wt% and 50 g/L
- This phenomena has not been thoroughly explored or understood (only a limited number solvents and scaffolds have been characterized)
- This approach has the potential to significantly improve the capacity of compressed hydrogen systems with minimal changes to vehicle engineering and delivery infrastructure, thus facilitating technology transition
- Initial measurements have not validated reports of 15x enhancements for hexane/MCM-41 (bulk values, ie 1x enhancements, were observed)
- However, hexane/activated carbon appears to show a 2x enhancement



**Technical Backup Slides** 



#### **Enhanced Solubility: Mechanism**

Free Energy



**Reaction Coordinate** 

Simulations to test mechanism



#### What gravimetric and volumetric storage densities could be obtained?

- 1) Assume a solubility =  $C_L/C_g$  of 200% for  $H_2$  in, eg, nano-confined hexane (high, but within demonstrated range)
- 2) Assume 200% can be achieved at 350 bar (big assumption, but 156% at 60 bar reported)
  - C<sub>g</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>@350 bar) = ~14.5 mol/L
  - Therefore, C<sub>L</sub> = 29 mol/L
- 3) Assume 4.0 cm<sup>3</sup>/g pore volume scaffold (very high but possible, demonstrated)
  - 4.0 cm<sup>3</sup> gives 2.64 g-hexane ( $\rho = 0.66 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ) MCM-41 Y alumina MCM-41 SBA-15
  - 1 g-scaffold + 2.64 g-hexane = 3.64 g-total
  - 29 mol/L in 0.004 L gives 0.23 g-H<sub>2</sub>
  - 0.23 g-H<sub>2</sub>/3.64 g gives ~6 wt% H<sub>2</sub> (~3.5 H<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub>)
  - 0.23 g-H<sub>2</sub> in 0.0045 L gives 50 g-H<sub>2</sub>/L







- **1)** Achieving solvent/scaffold with sufficient H<sub>2</sub> solubility
  - only a small number of scaffolds tested (mainly porous silica's and alumina's)
  - there is a large range of possible scaffold materials to try, eg:
    - carbon's (activated, aerogel, mesoporous, templated)
    - MOF's
    - porous polymers
  - other than the relationship with pore size, what determines the solubility is unknown (*ie, why does hexane in 8.7 nm silica aerogel give 400%*), eg:
    - solvent size/pore size systematics
    - solvent functional groups
    - pore surface chemistry

#### 2) Recovered hydrogen will be contaminated by solvent vapor

- engineering solutions (eg, selective membrane/filter, condense and recycle)
- material solutions:
  - use higher molecular weight solvents
  - use ionic liquids with alkyl side chains
  - polymerize or oligomerize solvent
  - tether solvent to scaffold