


# Electricity Market Valuation for Hydrogen Technologies



**Josh Eichman**  
**National Renewable Energy Laboratory**  
**EERE Postdoctoral Fellow**  
**6/17/2014**

AN049

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information.

# Overview

## Timeline

**Project start date: January 2013**

**Project end date: January 2015**

**Percent complete: 70%**

## Barriers

**4.5 A. Future Market Behavior**

**4.5 B. Stove-piped/Siloed  
Analytical Capability**

**4.5 D. Insufficient Suite of  
Models and Tools**

## Budget

**FY13 DOE Funding: \$85k**

**Planned FY14 DOE Funding: \$115k**

**Total DOE Project Value: \$200k**

## Partners

- **Interactions / Reviewers**

- Xcel Energy
- FuelCell Energy
- Versa Power
- ITM Power
- Proton Onsite
- NREL

- **Project Team**

- Josh Eichman

### Electricity Market Valuation for grid integration of Hydrogen Technologies

#### Analysis Framework

- Electrolyzer Operation Data (NWTC)
- H2A design parameters
- Electric Market Data
- EIA, EPRI and NREL cost parameters

#### Models & Tools

- Price-Taker co-optimization Model
- Cost comparison model

#### Studies & Analysis

*Valuation of electricity markets to enhance revenue for hydrogen technologies*

- Electricity market valuation
- Technology cost comparison

#### Outputs & Deliverables

*Detailed understanding of flexibility of hydrogen technologies and value of grid integration*

- Electrolyzer flexibility paper
- Hydrogen grid integration paper



#### Interactions


Xcel Energy  
FuelCell Energy  
Versa Power  
ITM Power  
Proton Onsite



NREL, DOE Fuel Cell Technologies Office, Internal & External Reviews

### Evaluate the ability of electrolyzers to bid into electricity markets

- Integration into electricity markets enables additional revenue streams [4.5A,B]
  - Energy Market
  - Ancillary Service Markets
  - Capacity Market
- Understanding the flexibility of hydrogen technologies is critical to assessing their ability to integrate with the grid [4.5B]






Source: Kirby, B.J. 2006. Demand Response for Power Systems Reliability: FAQ. ORNL

# Objective #2

## Assess the value proposition for grid integration of hydrogen technologies


- Each market has different value and depth [4.5B]
- Need tool to perform co-optimization of all available services for H<sub>2</sub> technologies [4.5B,D]



## Include hydrogen technologies into large-scale grid operation models

- **Large-scale grid models are used for exploring the integration of renewables or alternative technologies**
  - 1,000's of generators and transmission lines
  - Performs mixed-integer optimization
  - Hourly or sub-hourly operation
- **Hydrogen has never been integrated into these models (e.g., PLEXOS, GridView, Concorda Maps) [4.5B,D]**

- Transmission Network
- Generator properties (coal, gas, renewable, etc.)
- Load requirements
- Reliability requirements
- Other System Constraints



- Generator operation (starts, fuel, costs)
- Fuel use and cost
- Emissions
- Transmission operation (flow, congestion)
- Imports & Exports
- Load served

# Electrolyzer Flexibility

Electrolyzer flexibility testing was performed to determine grid integration potential


- **Must consider the following characteristics for assessing grid integration potential [4.5A]**
  - Startup / Shutdown times
  - Ramp rate
  - Minimum turndown
  - Frequency response
  - Response time
- **Equipment tested at National Wind Technology Center (NWTC)**



	PEM	Alkaline
<b>Manufacturer</b>	Proton OnSite	Teledyne Technologies
<b>Electrical Power</b>	50kW (208VAC)	40kW (480VAC)
<b>Rated Current</b>	155A per stack	220A 75 cell stack
<b>Stack Count</b>	3	1
<b>Hydrogen Production</b>	12 kg/day	13 kg/day
<b>System Efficiency at Rated Current</b>	68.6 (kWh/kg)	95.7 (kWh/kg)

### Models perform time-resolved optimization of electricity markets

- The price-taker model (revenue model) can use historical data or large-scale grid model data for future scenarios (e.g., high renewable penetration)
- Price-taker calculates maximum revenue potential using market data and equipment operation parameters






# Hydrogen system architectures

Hydrogen technology architectures can be flexible and many are examined for competitiveness

- Hydrogen analysis should include fuel cells (FC), electrolyzers (EY) and steam methane reformers (SMR)



### Assumptions were selected to represent a range from the current (high cost) to future (low cost) values

- Assumptions: Price-taker model**

- Capacity market value is \$150/kW-year
- Sufficient capacity is available to participate in all markets
- Devices don't impact market outcome (i.e., small compared to market size)

Source: Pfeifenberger, J.P.; Spees, K.; Newell, S.A. 2012. Resource Adequacy in California. The Brattle Group

Properties	Pumped Hydro	Lead Acid Battery	Stationary Fuel Cell	Electrolyzer	Steam Methane Reformer
Rated Power Capacity (MW)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	500 kg/day
Energy Capacity (hours)	8	4	8	8	8
Capital Cost (\$/kW)	1500 <sup>1</sup> - 2347 <sup>2</sup>	2000 <sup>1</sup> - 4600 <sup>1</sup>	1500 <sup>3</sup> - 5918 <sup>2</sup>	430 <sup>3</sup> - 2121 <sup>6</sup>	427 – 569 \$/kg/day <sup>4</sup>
Fixed O&M (\$/kW-year)	8 <sup>1</sup> - 14.27 <sup>2</sup>	25 <sup>1</sup> - 50 <sup>1</sup>	350 <sup>2</sup>	42 <sup>4</sup>	4.07 – 4.50 % of Capital <sup>4</sup>
H <sub>2</sub> Storage Cost (\$/kg)	-	-	623 <sup>5</sup>	623 <sup>5</sup>	623 <sup>5</sup>
Installation cost multiplier	1.2 <sup>4</sup>	1.2 <sup>4</sup>	1.2 <sup>4</sup>	1.2 <sup>4</sup>	1.92 <sup>4</sup>
Lifetime (years)	30	12 <sup>1</sup> (4400hrs)	20	20 <sup>4</sup>	20 <sup>4</sup>
Interest rate on debt	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%
Efficiency	80% AC/AC <sup>1</sup>	90% AC/AC <sup>1</sup>	40% LHV	70% LHV	0.156 MMBTU/kg <sup>4</sup> 0.6 kWh/kg <sup>4</sup>
Minimum Part-load	30% <sup>7</sup>	1%	10%	10%	100%

Source: <sup>1</sup>EPRI 2010, Electricity Energy Storage Technology Options, 1020676

<sup>2</sup>EIA 2012, Annual Energy Outlook

<sup>3</sup>DOE 2011, DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program Plan

<sup>4</sup>H2A Model version 3.0

<sup>5</sup>NREL 2009, NREL/TP-560-46719 (only purchase once if using FC&EY)


<sup>6</sup>NREL 2008, NREL/TP-550-44103

<sup>7</sup>Levine, Jonah 2003, Michigan Technological University (MS Thesis)

Electrolyzers can respond fast enough and for sufficient duration to participate in ancillary service markets

- **Electrolyzers can behave like demand response devices**

- Startup and shutdown in minutes
- Respond to a setpoint change in seconds
- Can retain setpoint reduction for unlimited amount of time
  - Regulation up
  - Load-following up
  - Spinning Reserve
  - Non-Spinning Reserve
  - Replacement Reserve



- **Publishing NREL report with findings**


Source: Kirby, B.J. 2006. Demand Response for Power Systems Reliability: FAQ. ORNL  
Source: Eichman, J.D.; Harrison, K.; Peters, M. (Forthcoming). Novel Electrolyzer Applications: Providing more than just Hydrogen. NREL/TP-5400-61758

# Revenue Versus Cost Results

H<sub>2</sub> Storage devices that don't sell H<sub>2</sub> are not competitive

Providing ancillary services > Energy only > Baseload

Adding fuel cells can significantly increase costs




Name	Technology
HYPS	Pumped Hydro
Batt	Battery
FC	Fuel Cell
EY	Electrolyzer
SMR	Steam Methane Reformer

Name	Services
All	All Ancillary Services
Eonly	Energy Arbitrage only
Baseload	"Flat" operation

### Integration with the grid can lower feedstock costs

- Future Central Hydrogen Production Scenarios with feedstock cost reductions from grid integration




Name	Technology
HYPS	Pumped Hydro
Batt	Battery
FC	Fuel Cell
EY	Electrolyzer
SMR	Steam Methane Reformer

Name	Services
All	All Ancillary Services
Eonly	Energy Arbitrage only
Baseload	"Flat" operation


More storage duration is not necessarily more competitive in current energy and ancillary service markets

- Revenue versus cost comparison for FC-EY device with varying storage duration



# Effect of increasing renewables



More renewables increases the value for devices participating in ancillary services and those selling H<sub>2</sub>



### Successfully able to integrate H<sub>2</sub> technologies into a large-scale grid model

- Integrating H<sub>2</sub> devices into the grid model shows how the grid will be affected [4.5B,D]
  - Change in emissions?
  - Change in production cost?
  - Change generation mix?
  - Change prices?

Once the value of H<sub>2</sub> is high enough, sale of H<sub>2</sub> is more valuable than electricity (including arbitrage and ancillary services)



California Power Plants and Transmission Lines (energyalmanac.ca.gov/)



**No presentation for this project last year**

**Informed a variety of stakeholders about H<sub>2</sub> grid integration potential and received reviews to improve the analysis**

- **This work was presented to a variety of industry and government stakeholders to inform them of H<sub>2</sub> grid integration potential and to receive reviews to improve the assumptions and results.**
- **Interactions / Reviewers**
  - Xcel Energy
  - FuelCell Energy
  - Versa Power
  - ITM Power
  - Proton Onsite
  - DOE Fuel Cell Technology Office
  - NREL

- **Need to disseminate findings to hydrogen community as well as utilities, grid operators, etc.**
- **Policy or regulatory hurdles hindering integration of hydrogen technologies into the grid**
  - Capacity requirements (>1MW)
  - Interaction with multiple markets (i.e., electricity, gas, transportation)

- **Complete publication of electrolyzer flexibility paper**
- **Submit paper with price-taker analysis results to peer-reviewed journal**
- **Run large-scale grid model with different H<sub>2</sub> technology configurations**

**This work explores future market opportunities for H<sub>2</sub> technologies and expands modeling capabilities for integration with the grid**

- **Electrolyzers are flexible enough to participate in energy and ancillary service markets [4.5A]**
- **Enabling H<sub>2</sub> technologies to integrate with electricity markets can enhance the value proposition [4.5B,D]**
  - Sell H<sub>2</sub>: FC-EY systems providing strictly storage are less competitive than systems that sell H<sub>2</sub> (use of curtailed energy can affect outcome)
  - Revenue w/ ancillary service > energy only > baseload
  - Electrolyzers operating as a “demand response” devices have very favorable prospects
  - More storage is not necessarily more competitive in current energy and ancillary service markets (but may add value in capacity market)

# Technical Back-Up Slides

# Acronyms


- **Acronyms**


- AC            Alternating current
- AS            Ancillary Services
- CAISO        California Independent System Operator
- NYISO        New York Independent System Operator
- ISO-NE      Independent System Operator, New England
- EY            Electrolyzer
- FC            Fuel Cell
- HYPS        Hydroelectric pumped storage
- kg            Kilogram
- kWh          Kilowatt-hour
- LHV          Lower heating value
- MMBTU      Million British thermal units
- O&M        Operation and maintenance
- SMR         Steam Methane Reformer

# Price-Taker Model


Performs time-resolved co-optimization of electricity markets (energy and ancillary service products)

- **Price-Taker model calculates maximum revenue potential using market data and equipment operation parameters**

- 
- Energy Prices (e.g., hourly CAISO 2012)
  - Reserve Prices (e.g., hourly CAISO 2012)
  - Capacity Price (for new market entry)
  - Hydrogen Prices (Sensitivity performed)
  - Operation Parameters (e.g., power, efficiency, capacity, availability)



Price-Taker  
Co-optimization  
Model



Profit based on operation  
(arbitrage, ancillary services, etc.)

## Assumptions

- 1.) Sufficient capacity is available in all markets
- 2.) Objects don't impact market outcome (i.e., small compared to market size)
- 3.) Capacity market value is \$150/kW-year

Source: Pfeifenberger, J.P.; Spees, K.; Newell, S.A. 2012.

Resource Adequacy in California. The Brattle Group



# Electrolyzer testing

Electrolyzers can respond sufficiently quick enough to participate in frequency regulation

- Response time and ramp-rate tests were performed for the electrolyzers

