Advancement of Systems Designs and Key Engineering Technologies for Materials Based Hydrogen Storage

Bart A. van Hassel, J.M. Pasini, and J.R. Karra

United Technologies Research Center 411 Silver Lane, East Hartford, CT



Overview

Timeline

- Project Start Date: 2/1/09
- Project End Date: 6/30/15

Budget

- Total Project Value: \$5.46
- Cost Share: \$1.15 M
- DOE Share: \$4.24M
- Total Funding Spent*: \$4.31M

Barriers*

- A J
- A. System Weight & Volume
- D. Durability/Operability
- J. Thermal Management

Targets**

All

Partners



* As of 3/31/15

** DOE EERE FCTO Program Multiyear Plan for Storage



Objectives

 Design of materials based vehicular hydrogen storage systems that will allow for fast refueling and a driving range of greater than 300 miles

Performance Measure	Units	2020	Ultimate		
System Gravimetric Capacity	g H ₂ /kg system	55	75		
System Volumetric Capacity	g H ₂ /L system	40	70		
System fill time (for 5 kg H_2)	minutes	3.3	2.5		
Fuel Purity	% H ₂	SAE J2719 guideline (99.97% dry basis)			

- Major project impact:
 - Integrated Power Plant Storage System Modeling (IPPSSM):
 - Compared H₂ storage systems on a common basis
 - Supported storage system model integration
 - Developed GUI for models on the web (at: <u>www.hsecoe.org</u>)
 - H₂ Quality: Particulate filter right-sizing
 - Published results in high-impact journals

(Fi) HSECOE United Technologies Research Center

Phase 3 S*M*A*R*T Milestones and Status

HSECoE

S*M*A*R*T Milestone	Status
Update the cryo-adsorbent system model with Phase 3 performance data, integrate into the framework; document and release models to the public.	 Initial adsorption based H₂ storage system (5.6 kg H₂ delivered) implemented in Framework. Developed user guides.
Report on the ability to filter particulates in gas from a cryo-adsorbent bed to less than 1000 μ g/m ³ and 10 μ m diameter (SAE J2719 guideline).	• 0.01 μ g/m ³ (i.e. <<1000 μ g/m ³) (meets target) Some >10 μ m particles (0.01 μ g/m ³): Stringent system cleanliness procedures.



Approach

Approach

- Simulink® Framework:
 - Develop graphical user interface (GUI) architecture and perform beta-tests
 - Implement usability features targeted towards hydrogen storage material development community and decision makers
 - Make Simulink® Framework publically available
 - Web-version available on website hosted by NREL: : <u>www.hsecoe.org</u>
 - Support integration of other H₂ storage models in framework
 - ✓ Physical storage (compressed gas)
 - Metal hydride
 - Chemical hydrogen storage
 - Adsorbent (in progress)
- H₂ quality:
 - Right sizing of particulate filters for MOF-5

Use results to estimate material property requirement for DOE's 2020 system level targets.



Approach

Approach



Collaborations

Collaborations



HSECOE

United Technologies

Research Center

H₂ storage system models:

- Comparison of H₂ storage systems on a common basis
- Integration of storage system models in framework
- Graphical user interface development for Simulink® framework and beta-test with ORNL, SNL and ANL

Cryo-adsorption:

- Particulate mitigation
- Sharing best practices

Task 32: Hydrogen-based energy storage H₂ Storage for Mobile Applications: Niche Application Opportunities



MODELS ON THE WEB

PLEASE VISIT: WWW.HSECOE.ORG



Technical Accomplishments and Progress Framework with Graphical User Interface (GUI)

Framework/GUI published on the HSECoE website

- Six systems published on the HSECoE website: http://www.hsecoe.org/
 - Test system: for isolated running of fuel cell and vehicle.
 - Compressed: 350 bar, 700 bar
 - Ideal metal hydride system
 - Ammonia Borane slurry (exothermic)
 - Alane slurry (endothermic)
- Speed trace miss computation aligned with EPA methodology

Updated GUI based on feedback from Tech. Team and beta testers.

- Improved Excel-compatible output compressed output for long runs
- Added diagrams for overall framework and selected systems
- Defined an interface for sizing systems need to implement



Models on The Web: Location & Overview

- Center website: <u>http://hsecoe.org/</u>
- Model support: <u>HSECoE@nrel.gov</u>

Pavorites	http://hsecoe.org/	r 🖻 🕯	1 X Soogle	Hydrogen Storage Engineering CENTER OF EXCELLENCE	K			
	Hydrogen Storage En CENTER OF EXCELL Home Mission Pa Home	gineering ENCE rtners Approach Technology Are	Home Mission Partners Approach Technolog Models HI Vehicle Simuton Framework updated (1/15). HI Vehicle Simuton Framework updated (1/15). HJ Vehicle Simuton Framework released Updated: Tark mass and cost model release with revised costs. Tark mass and cost estimation tool released for Type 1, Type 2, and Type 4 pressure vessels. Acceptability Envelope Tool released for metal hydride materials. 3 D Metal Hydride Finite Element model released.	y Areas F				
-	Model	Platform	Des	scription				
-	MHAE	lope: Tank internal HX sizing based uring refueling						
	MHFE-SAH	Comsol® 4.2a	Finite Element Sodium Aluminum Hydride Model: 3D model of SAH bed with shell-and-tube, finned HX based on UTRC prototype.					
The Hydr	Vehicle Framework	Matlab/Simulink® 2011b or newer	Hydrogen Vehicle Simulation Fra light-duty vehicle. 4 hydrogen dis	mework: Dynamic model of Fuel Cell charge scenarios				
	Tankinator	Excel	Tank Mass & Cost Estimation Mc	odel				



GUI Update: Framework and System Diagrams

Making Simulink® framework more user-friendly for material developers

 Based on feedback from beta testers, we have added framework and system diagrams



Overall Performance Checks

Speed trace miss computation aligned with EPA methodology

- Power failure due to storage system
 - Fuel cell can deliver power, but H₂ flow rate is not enough: empty tank or slow kinetics
- Speed trace miss due to undersized vehicle components (fuel cell, power train)
 - Aligned with standard speed trace miss criteria: ±2mph in a ±1s window.
 - Check performed as post-process:

A speed trace miss does not stop the simulation





Sample error message if speed trace miss detected



Connection to Other Tools

Output in user-friendly format



Save results into Excel-compatible text files





Design Space

There is an opportunity for systems with a range of performance metrics



 The framework includes a more conservative Fuel Cell System design (80kW, 214kg → 373 W/kg)

HSECOE

H₂ QUALITY



H₂ Quality Guidelines (SAE J2719)

Porous metal media filters enable efficient adsorbent particulate filtration.

Contaminant	ppm		
Water	5	Particulate size	<10µm Pha
Total hydrocarbons (C1 basis)	2	Particulates	<1µg/L
Oxygen	5		
Helium	300	Missing	
Inert gases (N2, Ar)	100	Diborane	
Carbon dioxide	2	Borazine	
Carbon monoxide	0.2	Siloxanes	
Sulfur compounds	0.004		
Formaldehyde	0.01		
Formic acid	0.2		
Ammonia	0.1	MOF-5 Particle	e Size Distribution:
Total halogenates	0.05	15	
Total gases *	300		\longrightarrow
Hydrogen fuel index (minimum, %)	>99.97	0101	
		° 5	
			/ ← \

0

0.1

10

size/µm

100

1000





Particulate Filter Area Right-Sizing

Pressure drop through particulate filter affects H_2 adsorption.



Right-Sizing

Moderate filter size (~49 cm²) of particulate filter with high permeability and particulate retention is a good compromise between weight and cost



FY14 and FY15 Plan

Project end date: 6/30/2015

- March June 2015:
 - Complete Adsorbent System Integration in Simulink® Framework
- July September 2015:
 - Final Reports Preparation
 - Submit Results of HSECoE Project to Peer Reviewed Journals



Summary

Relevance: Design of materials based vehicular hydrogen storage systems that will allow for fast refueling and a driving range of greater than 300 miles.
 Approach: Leverage in-house expertise in various engineering disciplines and prior experience with metal hydride system prototyping to advance materials based H₂ storage for automotive applications.

Technical Accomplishments and Progress:

- Developed graphical user interface (GUI) and performed beta tests.
- Supported integration of H₂ storage models into framework for public release.
- Demonstrated H₂ quality requirements with MOF-5 particulate filters.
- Developed a method for right-sizing of MOF-5 particulate filter in adsorbent system, including drop in filter permeability due to filter cake formation.

Future Work (July-September 2015):

- Final reports about UTRC's contributions to the materials-based H₂ storage systems of the HSECoE project:
 - Metal Hydride
 - Chemical Hydrogen Storage
 - Adsorbent



Answers to questions by reviewers

- The GLS development work was stopped in an orderly fashion as soon as the DOE decided to discontinue the work on the chemical hydrogen storage system development (Phase 2 to Phase 3 Go/No-Go decision). The results were presented at the MH2014 conference and published in a peer-reviewed journal. The Ammonia sorbent development was also published in a peer-reviewed journal.
- Hydrogen quality work was refocused on particulate mitigation for the cryo-adsorbent system, which was the only H₂ storage system of which the development continued in Phase 3 of the HSECoE project. The work would have benefited from having a clean room, which was not available at UTRC. A method was developed for right-sizing of the particulate filter area. The current AMR presentation clearly shows the effect of particulate filtration on the system weight and cost and how that impact can be minimized. The results were documented in a paper that will be published in a special issue of Applied Physics A, which is a peer-reviewed journal edited by Michael Hirscher.
- Funding for UTRC in FY2015 was limited to \$158k. This was just sufficient for supporting the Simulink® framework development and the models on the web. Beta-testing continued and the Simulink® framework with a graphical user interface (GUI) is now available on the HSECoE website at: <u>www.hsecoe.org</u>
- UTRC collaborated with HSECoE partners. UTRC also participated in Task 32: Hydrogenbased energy storage of the Hydrogen Implementing Agreement (HIA) of the International Energy Agency (IEA). UTRC is leading an activity on hydrogen storage for mobile applications within Task 32.



Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FC36-09GO19006.

The authors would like to thank all members of the HSECoE for stimulating discussions and Jesse Adams, Bob Bowman and Ned Stetson for their outstanding support. Special thanks to Donald Anton and Ted Motyka for leading the Hydrogen Storage Engineering Center of Excellence.

Disclaimer: This presentation was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government of any agency thereof.



Questions and suggestions?





Technical Back-Up Slides

Technical Accomplishments and Progress Drive cycles & test conditions in the framework: Hydrogen discharge scenarios designed to evaluate selected DOE System-level targets

Case	Test Schedule	Cycles	Description	Test Temp (°F)	Distance per cycle (miles)	Duration per cycle (minutes)	Top Speed (mph)	Average Speed (mph)	Max. Acc. (mph /sec)	Stops	Idle	Avg. H2 Flow (g/s)*	Peak H2 Flow (g/s)*	Expected Usage
1	Ambient Drive Cycle - Repeat the EPA FE cycles from full to empty and adjust for 5 cycle post-2008	UDDS	Low speeds in stop-and-go urban traffic	75 (24 C)	7.5	22.8	56.7	19.6	3.3	17	19%	0.09	0.69	 Establish fuel economy (adjusted for the 5 cycle based on the average from the cycles) Establish vehicle attributes Use to size storage
		HWFET	Free-flow traffic at highway speeds	75 (24 C)	10.26	12.75	60	48.3	3.2	0	0%	0.15	0.56	
2	Aggressive Drive Cycle - Repeat from full to empty	US06	Higher speeds; harder acceleration & braking	75 (24 C)	8	9.9	80	48.4	8.46	4	7%	0.20	1.60	Confirm fast transient response capability – adjust if system does not perform function
3	Cold Drive Cycle - Repeat from full to empty	FTP-75 (cold)	FTP-75 at colder ambient temperature	-4 (-20 C)	11.04	31.2	56	21.1	3.3	23	18%	0.07	0.66	1. Cold start criteria 2. Confirm cold ambient capability – adjust if system does not perform function
4	Hot Drive Cycle - Repeat from full to empty	SC03	AC use under hot ambient conditions	95 (35 C)	3.6	9.9	54.8	21.2	5.1	5	19%	0.09	0.97	Confirm hot ambient capability - adjust if system does not perform function
5	Dormancy Test	n/a	Static test to evaluate the stability of the storage system	95 (35 C)	0	31 days	0	0	0	100%	100%			Confirm loss of useable H2 target

*Based on NREL simulation with compact vehicle, 5.6 kg usable H2, 80 kW fuel cell with a 20 kW battery

Test case 5 not implemented in the framework yet

Vited Technologies Research Center

HSECOE

Hydrogen vehicle simulation framework: overall structure



Drive cycles: H₂ discharge simulation Benefits

- Fair comparisons: "all other things equal..." made explicit
- Well-defined interfaces allow independent development of storage modules.
- The framework also acts as a library of models

United Technologies Research Center

HSECOE

H₂ storage system interface





Technical Accomplishments and Progress Relation between Acceptability Envelope & the Vehicle Simulation Framework

- For many systems, tank heat exchanger design is dominated by the requirement for a short refueling time.
- The framework is a discharge model: it does not currently size all BOP components, as main component sizes are dominated by refueling

