



High Temperature, High Pressure Electrolysis

Giner, Inc Cortney Mittelsteadt Jason Willey Robert Stone Virginia Tech Judy Riffle Jarrett Rowlett Amin Daryaei

Jim McGrath

Project PD117





Timeline

- Project Start Date: 2/18/2014 (PI)
- Project End Date: 12/17/2016

Budget

- Fast-track \$1.0M
 - Giner \$780k
 - \$421 k Spent
 - Virginia Tech \$370k
 - \$134k Spent
 - Contract delayed with passing of J.McGrath

Partners

• Virginia Tech

Barriers Addressed

- Hydrogen Cost \$4-5/kg
 Technical Targets
 Phase I
- 2x Conductivity: Permeability Ratio at end of Ph I
- Phase II
- 3x Ratio
- 95°C Operation for 1000 h
 5000 psi operation for 1000 h
- Stack Delivery to DOE (NREL?) at end of PhII





Relevance: Pressure



Not Possible to have high efficiency at high pressure with current membranes Increasing ratio is key to having large operating range: Essential for Renewables!





Relevance: Pressure

MEA (After Disassembly)



Pressure always on to increase it!

Reduce Compression And maintenance costs

60°C 3500 PSI electrolyzer Nafion 110



Relevance: Temperature



David Anthony, James Rand and Ronald Dell *Hydrogen Energy Challenges and Prospects* 2008.

Nearly 1 $mV/^{\circ}C$ decrease in Reversible Voltage Larger Triangle S means less heat to remove





Relevance: Temperature



Going from 60 to 100°C results in an almost order of magnitude increase in kinetics





Relevance: \$







Approach: Work Plan



Phase II is behind schedule in terms of timing, but not funding.
PFSA membrane selection has taken place
Catalyst formulation is complete
Hydrocarbon formulation is still undergoing
5000 psi testing has just begun





Accomplishments: Task 1. Build Test Station: 100% Complete



5000 PSI System Capable of Stack Testing is Available Fabricated on DOE Home Refueling Program

Modified for diagnostic testing, individual cell monitoring



Accomplishments: Task 2. Generate PFSA Membranes 100% Complete

- Four Types of Membranes:
- 1. Different EW
- 2. Additives to limit crossover
- 3. Additives to limit degradation
- 4. Hydrocarbons

COMPLETED

- Nafion 1100 EW
 - Used as the standard
- Nafion 1100 EW treated with cross-over additive
- Solvay Aquivion 790 EW
 - Short-chain PFSA
- 3M 825 EW
 - Short-chain PFSA

Accomplishments: Task 3. Generate HC Membranes 100% Complete - Biphenol Sulfone (BPSH) 20% and 33% - Hydroquinone Sulfone (HQS) 22% SO₃H - Hexafluorobipehnol Sulfone - Hydroquinone Sulfone

(6FBPS0-HQSH)



- Hexafluorobipehnol Sulfone Biphénol Sulfone (6FBPSO-BPSH)
- Hexafluoroketone Bipehnol Sulfone (6FKBPSH)

Accomplishments: Task 4. Measure Conductivity to Permeability Ratio

Normalized C/P ratio at 95°C



Exceeded go/no-go with 3 membranes, almost 4.





Test	Membrane	Туре	XM*	DM	Test length	Failure	Est. Life
#				(%)**	(hrs)		(hrs) †
1	N115	PFSA	yes	0	518	no	7,000
2	N115	PFSA	yes	0.25	456	no	>100,000
3	N115	PFSA	yes	0.5	411	no	>100,000
4	N115	PFSA	yes	1	552	no	>100,000
5	N115	PFSA	yes	2.5	1017	no	>100,000
6	Solvay E79	PFSA	yes	0	697	no	4,000
7	Solvay E79	PFSA/DSM	yes	0.5	488	no	15,000
8	Solvay E79	PFSA	yes	0.5	356	yes	-
9	HQS-22	HC/DSM	no	0	25	no	-
10	HQS-22	HC/DSM	yes	0	41	yes	-
12	HQS-22	НС	yes	0	74	yes	-
13	HQS-22	HC/DSM	yes	0.5	20	yes	-
14	HQS-22	HC/DSM	yes	0.5	2	yes	-

* XM = Crossover Mitigation Added. ** DM = Degradation Mitigation Level as multiple of baseline amount.

† Estimated Lifetimes are for MEAs operated at 95°C and 70 bar. Lifetimes are estimated by loss of 10% of membrane fluoride inventory as measured by F- release in cathodic water.

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential,

or otherwise restricted information

13

Accomplishments: Task 6. Degradation

Mitigation



Higher mitigant levels affect performance, especially at higher current density





Performance of Solvay E79 MEA (100 µm thickness) is higher than N115 at the stated conditions



Accomplishments: Task 7. Optimize Catalyst Layer

- At high temperature the ionomer in the catalyst layer swells, reducing electrical contact of the catalyst particles and decreasing the performance gain
- Giner has started work on a catalyst layer with higher equivalent weight ionomer to reduce swelling at high temperatures



Future Work is

stability of the

to increase

chain itself

chemical

Accomplishments: Task 8. Develop Improved Hydrocarbon Membrane Relative stability against loss of –SO₃H by electrophilic aromatic substitution in strong acid: H⁺

Stability of Intermediates may (inversely) influence Polymer Stability







Accomplishments: Task 9&10. 350 bar Testing

• A Solvay E79 DSM MEA has been fabricated for installation in the high pressure hardware to begin 350 bar operation.







Collaborations

- Virginia Tech
 - Subcontractor, generating alternative membranes
 - Employed Student at Giner for one Week to maximize interaction
- 3M
 - Supplying Ionomer



Future Work:

- Task 6. Two more test
 - Repeat of Solvay DSM
 - New hydrocarbon MEA
- Task 7. Optimal Catalyst Formulation
 - Effective lower loading
 - Higher EW ionomer
 - Post-mortem testing
- Task 9-10. 5000 psi, 95°C testing
- Task 11. Short Stack Testing
- Task 12. Long-term verification (5000h goal)





Summary

- Four membranes developed with a 2x Conductivity/Permeability Ratio improvement
- 1000 h at 95°C demonstrated
- 500 h at 95°C and 1000 psi demonstrated
- MEA testing of hydrocarbon materials
- 14 tests at 70 bar completed to determine highpressure candidate
- High pressure (5000 psi) test to begin soon





Response to Reviewers Comments

- Overall reviews were quite positive, we report only on the constructive criticisms or where we believe there may be a misunderstanding. Comments are in black, our responses in red.
- While the C/P ratio is good for screening, it is also desired to simultaneously have high conductivity. Thus, screening for conductivity might be an important adjunct to the C/P metric.
 - We are confused by the reviewers comment. In order to obtain the conductivity/permeability ratio we must measure each. In the absence of mechanical failure, we can choose our membrane thickness based on acceptable permeability, each are equally important.
- Additional testing of the alternative membranes is both necessary and planned. Actual validation at 5000 psi (with comparison to the baseline membrane) is critical.
 - We will test at 5000 psi, we do disagree however as how critical this is to overall success of the program as we believe there are only few cases where direct electrolysis to 5000 psi are economical.
- It is not clear whether the proposed process to directly generate high-pressure hydrogen is more economically valuable than the existing techniques, which generate hydrogen at low pressures and then use compression
 - We agree with the reviewers comments that direct high pressure electrolysis is not always the most economical. In cases where footprint and maintenance are key drivers however it can be the difference between H_2 being accepted. We will do a more thorough case analysis this period