

Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure Research and Station Technology

# Consolidation

Danny Terlip\* Amgad Elgowainy Krishna Reddi Kareem Afzal National Renewable Energy Lab Argonne National Lab Argonne National Lab PDC Machines Inc

\*Presenter

9 June 2016

Project ID# PD133

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information.



#### **Overview**



| Timeline and Budget   |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Project start date  | Oct 2015  | Barriers   |  |  |
| FY15 DOE funding  | \$ 500k NREL<br>\$ 0 ANL  | <u>Technology Validation</u> (D) – Lack of<br>Hydrogen Refueling Infrastructure<br>Performance and Availability Data                       |  |  |
| FY16 planned DOE  | \$ 674k NREL  | <u>Delivery</u> (E) – Gaseous Hydrogen   |  |  |
| funding   | \$ 200k ANL   | Storage and Tube Trailer Delivery Costs  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Partners   |  |  |
| Total DOE funds   | \$ 1.174M NREL  | <ul><li>Partners</li><li>Argonne National Lab</li></ul>  |  |  |
| Total DOE funds<br>received to date   | \$ 1.174M NREL<br>\$ 200k ANL                                   | <ul><li>Partners</li><li>Argonne National Lab</li><li>PDC Machines</li></ul>   |  |  |
| Total DOE funds<br>received to date   | \$ 1.174M NREL<br>\$ 200k ANL                                   | <ul> <li>Partners</li> <li>Argonne National Lab</li> <li>PDC Machines</li> <li>National Renewable Energy Lab</li> </ul>                    |  |  |
| Total DOE funds<br>received to date<br>Total Project Budget                     | \$ 1.174M NREL<br>\$ 200k ANL<br>\$ 2.349M                      | <ul> <li>Partners</li> <li>Argonne National Lab</li> <li>PDC Machines</li> <li>National Renewable Energy Lab<br/>(Project lead)</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Total DOE funds<br>received to date<br>Total Project Budget<br>Project Timeline | \$ 1.174M NREL<br>\$ 200k ANL<br>\$ 2.349M<br>Oct '15 – Sep '17 | <ul> <li>Partners</li> <li>Argonne National Lab</li> <li>PDC Machines</li> <li>National Renewable Energy Lab<br/>(Project lead)</li> </ul> |  |  |

### Problem Statement – Hydrogen at the pump is too expensive







## Relevance – Reduce station contribution to hydrogen cost



- Objectives
  - Decrease the cost contribution of station capital to the cost per kg of hydrogen at fueling stations:

projected to reduce the compression contribution to hydrogen cost (in terms of \$/kgH2) by approximately 50%

(current compressors for large stations ~500 kg/day can cost ~\$1M)

- Maximize station performance in terms of back-to-back fills
- Investigate improvements on compressor reliability



#### • Where are we today?

## Approach – Design and build a station to validate Consolidation algorithm



- ANL Tube-Trailer Consolidation Concept
  - Increase compressor throughput
    - Operate the compressor as high as 10 times its rated throughput
    - Reduce the compressor size dramatically
  - Efficient utilization of the tube-trailer payload and compressor operation
    - Compress low pressure hydrogen into high pressure storage tubes during low- or no-demand
    - Reduce capital expenditure on stations

#### PDC Machines Novel Compressor Design

- Two-stage diaphragm compressor
- Inter-stage flow allowing single stage compression

#### • NREL Hydrogen Infrastructure Testing and Research Facility (HITRF)

- o Simultaneous bi-direction flow of storage tanks at three pressure levels
- Centralized visual system SCADA
- Data logging for station pressures, temperature, power, energy, etc.
- SAE J2601 T40 back to back filling capability (goal of 5, 4 kg fills)







# Approach – Three phase plan to accomplish testing

- Phase I Demonstration Setup [Oct. 15 Jan. 16]
  - Design of hardware and controls
  - Major equipment order
  - Operation simulations/optimization with actual performance specifications <u>**Project Goal</u>**: Long-lead items successfully sourced</u>

#### • Phase II – Demonstration Preparation [Feb. 16 – May. 17]

- Station, compressor and vehicle simulator build
- Algorithm development and testing

**Project Goal**: System design will adequately meet the intent of the project

#### Phase III – Demonstration Testing [Jun. 17 – Sep. 17]

- System operation and optimization
- Summary analysis and reporting

**Project Goal:** Issue report to DOE on testing results

6





# Accomplishments and Progress – Design complete and station build out underway



NREL HITRF Station build-out

|                          | ajoi bystem   | Compone                   | 51113                       |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Low Pressure<br>Storage  | 200 b, 189 kg | 5 banks                   | Type 1<br>ground<br>storage |
| Med Pressure<br>Storage  | 400b, 103 kg  | 3 banks                   | Type 1<br>ground<br>storage |
| High Pressure<br>Storage | 875b, 62 kg   | 4 banks                   | Type 2<br>ground<br>storage |
| Pre-cooling              | 16HP          | Triple<br>block           | R404a,<br>aluminum<br>block |
| Dispenser                | 350b, 700b    | 1 hose<br>per<br>pressure | SAE<br>J2601 T40            |

HITRE Major System Components

### Accomplishments and Progress – Design complete and build out underway



NREL HITRF Vehicle Simulator build-out

| Vehicle Simulator Major System<br>Components |  |                     | n                           |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Storage Tanks                                | 875b<br>1.45 kg each<br>(1.25 kg Usable) | 15<br>tanks         | Type 4<br>storage           |
| IRDA<br>Communication                        | Receptacle<br>Mounted                    | CSA H<br>SAE<br>com | HGV 4.3<br>J2799<br>Ipliant |
| Back-to-back<br>capability                   | 3 tanks per fill<br>line                 | Fill an simulta     | nd vent<br>aneously         |
| User Interface                               | PLC touch screen                         | Auto<br>safety      | mated<br>features           |
| Utility                                      | 80 psi air or<br>nitrogen                | 120V                | NEC<br>Class 1<br>Div 2     |



## Accomplishments and Progress – Flow curves satisfactory for algorithm validation



PDC Machines demonstrated flow curves



9



### Accomplishments and Progress – Build underway at PDC Machines Inc.



PDC Machines base compressor manufactured and built









## Accomplishments and Progress: Responses to Previous Year Reviewers' Comments



This project was not reviewed last year



## Collaborations

**H**<sub>2</sub>FIRST

- Argonne National Laboratory
  - Partner DOE lab
  - Design and simulation of the consolidation concept and algorithm
  - Station build support
  - Results and optimization analysis
- PDC Machines
  - Subcontractor to NREL
  - Leading industry member in hydrogen compressors
  - Compressor design and build
  - System optimization

NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY





An ISO 9001:2008 certified company. Certification No. 1112232.01

We perform under pressure.





## **Remaining Challenges and Barriers**



- Compressor Installation
  - Build underway at PDC Machines
  - NREL site location determined
  - Electrical upgrades being planned
- Pre-cooling Upgrade
  - Chiller is drop-in replacement
  - Electrical changes required
  - NREL working on siting for large heat exchanger
- System Integration
  - NREL SCADA upgrades
  - NREL hazard review board



#### **Proposed Future Work**



- Major Component Installation (complete by Dec 2016)
  - PDC to finish compressor build November 2016 and ship to NREL
  - NREL to install new pre-cooling system with APCI
- System Integration
  - NREL to update HITRF SCADA system
- Modeling and Optimization (ongoing)
- Testing (to begin Jan 2017)



#### **Technology Transfer Activities**



- Vehicle Simulator
  - Ability to test station performance without vehicle OEM involvement
  - Significant interest from hydrogen station and vehicle industry
  - Self contained system than can be transported



#### Summary



- Modeling and Simulation of Consolidation Concept
  - Various refueling configurations simulated for baseline and consolidation operation
  - Identified size of buffer storage and fill amount as key factors impacting station refueling capacity/performance
  - Consolidation operation can extend capacity of station for full vehicle fills, with back-to-back capability, by a factor of 400-500%
- Station Upgrades
  - Station design is complete and satisfactory for project goals
  - Pre-cooling, storage and compression upgrades are underway
  - Testing is scheduled to begin January 2017
- Compressor Build
  - Major components on hand
  - Assembly underway. Delivery slated for November 2016.





## **Technical Back-Up Slides**

#### **Key Simulation Parameters- Relevance**



#### • Pressure limits/ constraints

| Process Limits                                     | Max | Min | MAWP |
|--|-----|-----|------|
| High Pressure Cascade Pressure [MPa]               | 91  | 42  | 93   |
| 400 bar Storage Pressure [MPa]                     | 44  | 5   | 45.9 |
| 200 bar Storage Pressure [MPa]                     | 26  | 2   | 27.5 |
| 1st Stage Independent Suction (2 to 40 MPa)        | 20  | 2   | N/A  |
| 2nd Stage Independent Suction (13 to 95 MPa)       | 40  | 13  | N/A  |
| 2 Stages Combined Suction (5 to 95MPa)             | 20  | 5   | N/A  |
| Tube-Trailer Direct Vehicle Fill Pressure<br>[MPa] | 44  | 13  | N/A  |
| Luglung naramatara                                 |     |     |      |

• Fueling parameters

1 i

|    | Fueling Protocol  | Value    |
|----|---|----------|
|    | SAE Fill Pressure Ramp Rate @25°C Ambient, for 4-7 kg Tank Capacity [MPa/min] | 18.5     |
|    | Final Vehicle Tank Pressure [MPa] (Typical State Of Charge at 25°C ambient)   | 81 (96%) |
|    | Leak Checks Duration for Every 20MPa Rise [sec]                               | 10       |
|    | Lingering Time Between Fills [sec]  | 120      |
| Sa | Number of Back-To-Back Fills During Peak Hour                                 | 5        |

## Simulated Station Performance -Accomplishong rikst

Fill operation with <u>5.0 kg</u> fills and <u>3-bank</u> buffer storage (1-hose)



Total # of Refueled Vehicles

## Simulated Station Performance -Accomplishonents

Fill operation with <u>3.7 kg</u> fills and <u>3-bank</u> buffer storage (2-hose)



## Simulated Station Performance - Accomplishonents

Fill operation with 5.0 kg fills and 4-bank buffer storage (2-hose)



of Vehicles Filled Each Hour (Per Hose