2016 DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program Annual Merit Review

Life Cycle Analysis of Emerging Hydrogen Production Technologies

Amgad Elgowainy (PI), Qiang Dai, Jeongwoo Han and Michael Wang Argonne National Laboratory



June 8, 2016

SA057

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Overview

Timeline

- Start: October 2015
- End: Determined by DOE
- % complete (FY16): 70%

Barriers to Address

- Indicators and methodology for evaluating environmental sustainability
- Inconsistent data, assumptions and guidelines
- Insufficient suite of models and tools

Budget

Funding for FY15: N/A (new project)Funding for FY16: \$100K

Partners/Collaborators

- Strategic Analysis Inc.
- NREL
- PNNL

Relevance/Impact

- Life-cycle analysis (LCA) estimates energy use and GHG emissions along supply chain of fuel production pathways
 - Provides a consistent platform for comparing environmental impacts of alternative hydrogen production technologies and pathways
 - Addresses the need for low-carbon hydrogen in various regions (e.g., California)
- Assist FCT Office with planning
 - Inform FCTO about environmental impacts and potential GHG reduction of the different hydrogen production technologies and pathway options
 - Facilitate understanding of the tradeoff between cost and GHG emissions of various hydrogen production pathways
- Support existing DOE-sponsored tools (e.g., H2A models, MSM)
 - Collaborate with other model developers and lab partners
 - Support other DOE sponsored activities (e.g., Program Records, C2G analysis)

LCA GHG emissions of emerging hydrogen production pathways – Relevance



Expanded GREET[™] model to include LCA of emerging hydrogen production pathways – Approach

- Acquire material and energy balance information for emerging hydrogen production technologies from modeling efforts developed by partner labs
 - ✓ Dark fermentation (DF) of cellulosic biomass: central production
 - ✓ High-temperature solid oxide electrolysis cell (SOEC): central production
 - Reforming of biomass-derived liquid hydrocarbon (BDL): distributed production
- ➤ Conduct Well-To-Wheels (WTW) of new pathways using GREETTM
- Compare WTW GHG emissions of new hydrogen production pathways with baseline pathways
 - ✓ Steam methane reforming (SMR) and electrolysis for hydrogen production
 - ✓ Petroleum gasoline



Acquire data for H_2 production from Dark Fermentation (DF) of biomass – Approach



Green arrows represent feedstock and auxiliary materials flows; Blue arrows represent H₂ flows; Black arrows represent key intermediate materials flows; Peach arrows represent energy flows.

H₂ production via DF of biomass: Model Summary – Approach

Estimate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from material and energy flows associated with integrated DF and MEC system

- Key assumptions

- 80 wt% of the glucose and xylose input to the fermenter goes through conversion to produce H₂ (NREL)
- \rightarrow 90 wt% of AcOH input to the MEC goes through conversion to produce H₂ (PSU)
- MEC electricity requirement: 15 kWh/kg H₂ (PSU)
- 80 wt% H₂ recovery for PSA (NREL)
- 300 mi H₂ transportation and distribution (T&D) distance

- Major variations

- Energy recovery (ER) from the combustion of lignin, biogas and purged H₂ may not be generally practiced
- Fermentation products (mixture of AcOH, EtOH and lactic acid) may vary
- The electricity consumption of the MEC depends on the applied voltage and can range from 6-22 kWh/kg H₂ (applied voltage impacts productivity)

H₂ production via DF: LCA GHG results – Accomplishment





Acquire data for H₂ production via Solid Oxide Electrolysis Cell (SOEC) – Approach



Green arrows represent feedstock and auxiliary materials flows; Blue arrows represent H₂ flows; Black arrows represent key intermediate materials flows; Peach arrows represent energy flows.

H₂ production via SOEC: Model Summary

- Approach

Estimate GHG emissions from H₂ production via high temperature electrolysis
 (HTE) in a SOEC fueled by HTGR and compare to natural gas for source of heat

- Key assumptions

- ➢ 50% thermal-to-H₂ conversion efficiency for the HTGR-integrated pathway (INL)
- > 80% natural gas boiler efficiency (LHV based) for the NG-fueled pathway (GREET)
- 300 mi H2 transportation and distribution (T&D) distance
- \blacktriangleright Economic value allocation between main product H₂ and coproduct O₂
 - ✓ H_2 market price: \$4.20/kg H_2 (GREET)
 - \checkmark O₂ market price: \$0.20/kg O₂ (Chemicool)

- Major variations

- \blacktriangleright Coproduced O₂ may not be collected and sold as a commodity
- \blacktriangleright The market prices of H₂ and O₂ are subject to variation over time

*H*₂ production via SOEC: LCA GHG Results – Accomplishment



Acquire data for H₂ production via reforming of Biomass-Derived Liquid (BDL) – Approach



Green arrows represent feedstock and auxiliary materials flows; Blue arrows represent H₂ flows; Black arrows represent key intermediate materials flows; Peach arrows represent energy flows.

H₂ production via reforming of BDL: Model Summary

- Approach

Estimates GHG emissions from H₂ production via reforming of pyrolysis oil derived from forest residue

– Key assumptions

- Pyrolysis oil-to-H₂ (excluding aux. electricity from grid) energy conversion efficiency can be up to 80% (PNNL)
- Pyrolysis oil LHV: 17.4 MJ/kg (PNNL)
- Pyrolysis oil composition: 45% C, 48% O, and 7% H (mass basis, PNNL)
- 64% (wt%) of pyrolysis oil goes through conversion to produce H₂ (80% single pass conversion rate [i.e., 20% unreacted] x 80% conversion by reforming) (PNNL)
- \geq 80% H₂ recovery for PSA (NREL). Conservative as part of CO₂ is captured in MO

Major variations

- Chemical compositions and LHVs of the pyrolysis oil vary depending on forest residue compositions and pyrolysis technologies
- Unreacted pyrolysis oil can be recycled and consequently drive up the pyrolysis oilto-H₂ conversion rate to 80%
 ¹³

H₂ production via reforming of BDL: LCA GHG Results -Accomplishment



 Compression: 3.4 kWh grid electricity per kg of H₂ (GREET)

Feedstock Electricity PSA/compression

Well-to-Wheels GHG Comparison of 1 GGE (or 1 kg H₂) –Accomplishment



*Assuming fuel economy of 26 MPG for gasoline ICEV and 55 MPGGE for FCEV (GREET)

H₂ produced from energy sources other than fossil fuels outperforms gasoline and SMR H₂ in terms of WTW GHG emissions

Summary – Accomplishment

- □ Dark fermentation of cellulosic biomass for hydrogen production
 - Can reduce life cycle GHG emissions by 26% compared to SMR-H₂, and by 58% when used in FCEV compared to gasoline ICEV
- □ High-temperature solid oxide electrolysis cell for hydrogen production
 - Can reduce life cycle GHG emissions by 82% compared to SMR-H₂ if produced from nuclear source
 - Results in much higher GHG emissions compared to SMR-H₂ if produced from fossil sources
- Reforming of biomass-derived liquid hydrocarbon for hydrogen production
 - Can reduce life cycle GHG emissions by 43% compared to SMR-H₂, and by 68% when used in FCEV compared to gasoline ICEV
- □ In general, H₂ produced from energy sources other than fossil fuels outperforms SMR-H₂ in terms of WTW GHG emissions

Collaborations and Acknowledgments

- <u>NREL</u>: **Pin-Ching Maness** provided energy and mass balance information on dark fermentation (**DF**) process
- <u>Strategic Analysis Inc.</u>: Daniel Desantis provided energy and mass balance information on Solid Oxide Electrolysis Cell (SOEC) process
- <u>PNNL</u>: Kenneth Rappe provided energy and mass balance information on Biomass-Derived Liquid (BDL) process

Future Work

- Continue development and implementation of pathways for emerging hydrogen production technologies in GREET
 - > e.g., photobiogical, photoelectrochemical, solar thermochemical (STCH), etc
- Evaluate environmental metrics other than GHG such as water consumption and air pollutant emissions
- Develop probability distribution functions for key inputs of various processes and conduct stochastic analysis on variability/uncertainty of various parameters
- Update GREET model with new production pathways
- Document the data sources, LCA methodology, and results of emerging hydrogen production pathways in peer reviewed publications

Project Summary

- Relevance: Inform FCTO about environmental impacts and potential GHG reduction of the different hydrogen production technologies and pathway options. Facilitate understanding of the tradeoff between cost and GHG emissions of various hydrogen production pathways.
- **Approach:** Expanded GREETTM model to include LCA of emerging hydrogen production pathways.
- **Collaborations**: Acquire material and energy balance information for emerging hydrogen production technologies from modeling efforts developed by partner labs and organizations (NREL, PNNL, SA).
- Technical accomplishments and progress:
 - Expanded the GREET model and evaluated LCA GHG emissions of the following hydrogen production pathways:
 - Dark fermentation (DF) of cellulosic biomass: central production
 - High-temperature solid oxide electrolysis cell (SOEC): central production
 - Reforming of biomass-derived liquid hydrocarbon (BDL): distributed production
- Future work:
 - Continue development and implementation of pathways for emerging hydrogen production technologies in GREET, such as photobiogical, photoelectrochemical, and solar thermochemical
 - Evaluate environmental metrics other than GHG such as water consumption and air pollutant emissions
 - Update GREET model with new production pathways
 - Document the data sources, LCA methodology, and results of emerging hydrogen production pathways in peer reviewed publications



Amgad Elgowainy aelgowainy@anl.gov

Acronyms

 \square

AcOH: Acetic acid

ANL: Argonne National Laboratory

BDL: Biomass-Derived Liquid

 CO_{2e} : CO_2 equivalent for combined GHG

DF: Dark Fermentation

DOE: Department of Energy

ER: Energy Recovery

EtOH: Ethanol

FCTO: Fuel Cell Technologies Office

FY: Fiscal Year

GHG: Greenhouse Gases

GGE: Gallon of gasoline equivalent

GREET: Greenhouse gases, Emissions, and Energy use in Transportation

H2A: Hydrogen Analysis

HTE: High Temperature Electrolysis

HTGR: High Temperature Gas-cooled Reactor

ICEV: Internal Combustion Engine Vehicle

INL: Idaho National Laboratory

LCA: Life-Cycle Analysis

LHV: Lower Heating Value

MEC: Microbial Electrolysis Cell

MO: Metal Oxide

MPGGE: Miles Per Gallon of Gasoline Equivalent

NG: Natural Gas

NREL: National Renewable Energy Laboratory

PNNL: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

PSA: Pressure Swing Adsorption

PSU: Pennsylvania State University

RD&D: Research, Development, and Demonstration

SA: Strategic Analysis Inc.

SMR: Steam Methane Reforming

SOEC: Solid Oxide Electrolysis Cell

T&D: Transportation and Distribution

U235: Uranium 235

US Mix: US electricity grid mix

w/: With

w/o: Without

WTW: Well-To-Wheels

Backup Slides

References

Call, D. and Logan, B. Hydrogen production in a single chamber microbial electrolysis cell lacking a membrane. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42, 3401-3406.

Cheng, S.A. and Logan, B. Sustainable and efficient biohydrogen production via electrohydrogenesis. *PNAS*. 2007, 104(47), 18871-18873.

Chemicool Periodic Table "Oxygen." www.chemicool.com/elements/oxygen Accessed 04/26/2016.

Davis, R. *et al*. Process design and economics for the conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to hydrocarbons. 2015. NREL/TP-5100-62498

Davis, R. *et al*. Process design and economics for the conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to hydrocarbons. 2013. NREL/TP-5100-60223

DOE H2A Analysis model version 3.1.

GREET model 2015 version. ANL.

Harvego, E.A. et al. System evaluations and life-cycle cost analyses for high temperature electrolysis hydrogen production facilities. 2012. INL/EXT-12-25968

Humbird, D. *et al*. Process design and economics for biochemical conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to ethanol. 2011. NREL/TP-5100-47764

James, B.D. et al. Analysis of advanced H2 production pathways. 2015. Presentation at AMR.

James, B.D. *et al*. Technoeconomic boundary analysis of biological pathways to hydrogen production. 2009. NREL/SR-560-46674

References

Jones, S. *et al*. Process design and economics for the conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to hydrocarbon fuels. 2013. PNNL-23053

Lalaurette, E. *et al*. Hydrogen production from cellulose in a two-stage process combining fermentation and electrohydrogenesis. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 2009, 34, 6201-6210.

Liu, W. Monolithic piston-type reactor for hydrogen production through rapid swing of reforming/combustion reactions. 2015. Presentation at AMR.

Logan, B. *et al*. Microbial electrolysis cells for high yield hydrogen gas production from organic matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42, 8630-8640.

Maness, P.C. and Logan, B. Fermentation and electrohydrogenic approaches to hydrogen production. 2015. Presentation at AMR.

O'Brien, J. High temperature electrolysis for efficient hydrogen production from nuclear energy --- INL research program summary. 2014. Presentation at Electrolytic Hydrogen Production Workshop. Golden, CO, February 27-28, 2014.

O'Brien, J.E. *et al*. Summary report on solid oxide electrolysis cell testing and development. 2012. INL/EXT-11-24261.

Wood, R.A. Sensitivity of hydrogen production via steam methane reforming to high temperature gas-cooled reactor outlet temperature process analysis. 2010. INL/TEV-961