## Durable High Power Membrane Electrode Assembly with Low Pt Loading

Swami Kumaraguru (PI)

## General Motors, Fuel Cell Activities

June 6<sup>th</sup> 2017









FC156

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

# **Overview**

## Timeline

- Project start date: 1<sup>st</sup> Jan 2017
- Project end date: 31<sup>st</sup> Dec 2019
- Percent complete: <1%

## Budget

- Total Project Budget: \$ 3,201,476
- Total Recipient Share: \$ 640,295 (20%)
- Total Federal Share: \$ 2,561,181
- Total DOE Funds Spent\*: \$ 0 invoiced

\* As of 3/31/17, project awaiting final GM approval.

## **Barriers**

- B. Cost
  - Decrease amount of precious metals.
- A. Durability
  - Reduce degradation via operating conditions
- C. Performance
  - Achieve and maintain high current densities at acceptably-high voltages

## Partners

- Subcontractors: Not signed
  - Giner
  - UT Austin
- FC-PAD
- Project lead: GM









## **Relevance: (Objectives)**

### Relevance: DOE 2020 targets for transportation application

- Enable cost targets and performance targets with reduced Pt loading
- □ Improve fuel cell durability

### Objective

Project goal is to improve durability of state of art (SOA) MEA by identifying and reducing the stress factors impacting electrode and membrane life.

#### **Expected Outcome:**

- Design and produce a state-of-art MEA with Pt loading of 0.125 mg<sub>Pt</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> or less and an MEA cost meeting the 2020 DOE Target of \$14/kW<sub>net</sub> or less, and
- Demonstrate a pathway to cathode (10% power loss) and membrane life of 5000 hr by defining implementable benign operating conditions for fuel cell operation.

MEA - Electrode, Membrane, Catalyst Targets						
Chracteristics	units	2020 Targets	2015 status			
Cost	Cost \$/kWnet		17			
Q/∆T	kW/ºC	1.45	1.45			
Performance @ 0.8 V	mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	300	240			
Performance @ rated power	mW/cm <sup>2</sup>	1000	810			
Durability w Cycling	Hours @ <10% V loss	5000	2500			
Mass activity	A/mg <sub>PGM</sub> @ 900 mV	>0.44	>0.5			
PGM Content (MEA)	g/ KW rated mg/cm <sup>2</sup> MEA	0.125	0.16 0.13			

#### Excerpts from key DOE targets

## **Relevance (Challenges):** Pt alloy degradation in H<sub>2</sub> Air



- PtNi or PtCo alloy catalyst with >0.66 A/mg<sub>pt</sub> has been demonstrated in previous de-alloved catalyst project (FC087).
- High kinetic mass activities were also maintained post 30,000 V cycles (a).
- Power density of 0.94 W/cm<sup>2</sup> vs. target 1.0 W/cm<sup>2</sup> was achieved at BOT in a large active area stack.
  - Durability test indicated rapid decrease in high power (b).
  - Potential cause arise from both un-optimized MEA as well as complex degradation mechanisms with Pt alloy catalysts impacting MEA performance (c).





https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review14/fc087 kongkanand 2014 o.pdf

C)

## **Relevance (Challenges): Membrane Degradation**



- Correlation between mechanical stress and chemical degradation not fully understood. In addition to RH cycling, mechanical failures augmented by:
  - a) Electrode defects cause high level of stress on membrane at local spots.
  - b) Fibers from GDL can puncture membrane creating a local short.
  - c) Electrode cracks tends to increase the oxidative stress.
- Chemical degradation mitigants like Ce redistribute within an MEA (d) leaving Ce depleted and Ce rich regions.













**Electrode Durability :** Conduct voltage cycling study on state-of-art MEA and define benign operating conditions to minimize power degradation rate.



Combined Chem. and Mech. stress segmented cell test

**Membrane Durability :** Develop fundamental models of mechanical stress, chemical degradation, Ce migration in the membrane and combine them to create a unified predictive degradation model.

## Approach



## **Approach/ Milestones and Go/No Go**

#### Budget Period 1 Task : Optimization of Low Loading Electrode and SOA MEA

- Down-select MEA components such as catalyst, GDL, membrane etc.
- 2 -3 rounds of design of experiments to optimize electrode performance to generate SOA MEA
   Optimized perf. for both beginning and end of test (accelerated tests).
- □ Ink, catalyst layer characterization and correlation with performance and electrochemical diagnostics
- □ Combined mechanical and chemical accelerated stress tests for membrane

<u>Go/No Go:</u> 50 cm<sup>2</sup> SOA MEA that meets DOE target performance requirements – 1 W/cm<sup>2</sup> @ 0.125 g/Kw<sub>rated.</sub> (250 Kpa,<sub>abs</sub>). Provide 50 cm<sup>2</sup> MEAs to FC-PAD.

#### Budget Period 2 Task: Durability Studies of SOA MEA

- □ H<sub>2</sub>-air and H<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub> voltage cycling tests on SOA MEA at different Op conditions
- □ Analytical characterization (PSD, EELS mapping, TEM etc) of BOT and EOT MEAS
- □ Model development, studies to evaluate model parameters, such as dissolution rates etc.
- □ Membrane durability studies, chemical degradation mechanism shorting propagation studies.

<u>Go/No Go:</u> Demonstrate operating conditions can provide at least 35% reduction in ECSA and performance loss.

#### Budget Period 3 Task: Predictive Model for Degradation with different Operating Condition

- $\Box$  Continue H<sub>2</sub>-air and H<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub> voltage cycling tests on SOA MEA
- □ Analytical characterization (PSD, EELS mapping, TEM etc) of EOT MEAs
- □ Model Development (ECSA, SA Deg models) and validation
- □ Membrane Durability post mortem studies and membrane degradation model validation

<u>Final Milestone</u>: Predictive model for both electrode and membrane durability. Recommend benign operating conditions to prolong the MEA durability to >5000 h.



## **Schedule**

			FY 2	2017			FY 20	)18			FY 2	2019		FY 2	020
Tool: Number	Tack Title		BP 1				BF	2			BI	o 3			
		Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
BP 1 Task : Op	timization of Low Lowding Electrode and SOA MEA						<	>							
1.1	Downselection of Best in Class Materials for SOA MEAs				$\Rightarrow$										
5.1	Downselect Membranes for Durability Studies					⇒									
1.2	Electrode Opt w Spray and Alternate Coating Methods						$\rightarrow$								
1.3	Finalize design of SOA MEA														
1.6	Structural Characterization of BOT MEA														
1.4	Performance Evaluation in Single Cells														
1.5	Quantify transport and kinetic properties at BOT							$\Rightarrow$							
4.1	Construct and verify MEA performance model for SOA MEA														
BP 2 Task: Dur	ability studies of SOA MEA										<	>			
2.1	H <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> -air voltage cycling tests at diff op. conditions													$\rightarrow$	
3.1	Microscopy of SOA MEA at BOT, including PSD, STEM, EDS , X-ray CT.														
3.5	Particle size growth mechanism study with IL TEM									$ \rightarrow $					
5.4	Impact of Local shorting and membrane degradation														
2.4	<i>Ex-situ</i> accelerated tests in aqueous media					1						$\Rightarrow$			
5.3	Impact of Thickness on Membrane Degradation														
2.5	Quantify transport and kinetic losses in aged MEAs														
4.2	Construct Pt and Co dissolution Models														
5.2	Combined Highly Accelerated Tests (Chem and Mech)											$\Rightarrow$			
BP 3 Task: Pre	dictive Model for Degradation with different Operating Condition														¢
3.2	Multiscale microscopy of SOA MEA at EOT, including PSD, TEM, EELS														$\Rightarrow$
4.3	Construct ECA and activity loss models with data from task 3														$\rightarrow$
4.4	Model to quantify Op. Cond Impact on Electrode Deg Rate														
5.5	Post mortem analysis of Degraded MEA														
4.5	Electrode Decay Model Validation														$\Rightarrow$
⁵€M	Model dev. and valdiation for Membrane Degradation													9	

♦ Go/No Go / Milestones

## **Collaborations**

### General Motors (industry) : Prime

Overall project guidance, MEA integration, durability, model development

### FC-PAD (National Labs)

Argonne National Lab (Dr. Debbie Myers and Dr. Rajesh Ahluwalia)

□ Ink characterization and Pt, Co dissolution studies

- □ Electrode degradation model.
- □ Lawrence Berkeley National Lab (Dr. Adam Weber)
  - Membrane mechanical stress model, X-ray CT
- Los Alamos National Lab (Dr. Mukund Rangachary and Dr. Rod Borup)
  - □ Voltage cycling tests (TBD), Accelerated stress tests
- □ National Renewable Energy Lab (Dr. Shyam Kocha)
  - □ Electrochemical diagnostics, H<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub> Voltage cycling tests
- Oakridge National Lab (Dr. Karren More)
  - □ Catalyst layer characterization, lonomer catalyst interaction

### **Sub Contractors**

- □ University of Texas Austin (Prof. Paulo Ferreira) (University)
  - □ Identical location TEM, PSD measurements
- Giner (Dr. Cortney Mittelsteadt) (Industry)
  - □ Membrane degradation studies









## **Responses to Last Year AMR Reviewers' Comments**

• New Project: This project was not reviewed last year.

### **Technical** (budget period 1) Integration and Optimization of State of the Art MEAs

#### **Objectives for Year 1**

- 1) Deliver a state of the art MEA via systematic study of catalyst layer with best-in-class catalysts, ionomers, membranes and GDLs
- 2) Gain fundamental mechanistic understanding of the catalyst layer microstructureperformance relationship across the entire polarization region





Soboleva. T ACS Applied Materials 2010 2 (2) 375-384 Ito.T Electrochemistry 2011 79(5) 374 More. K ECS Transactions 2006 3 717-733

## **Technical** (budget period 1)

### Integration and Optimization of State of the Art MEAs

**Prospective Composition of the MEA to be Delivered** 

Component	Description of Potential Candidates	<ul><li><u>General Motors</u></li><li>Design of Experiments</li></ul>
Anode GDL	GDL with optimized water transport properties and minimized membrane shorting probability	<ul> <li>Effect of catalyst ink solvent system</li> <li>Catalyst ink rheological properties</li> <li>Ionomer colloidal properties</li> </ul>
Anode Catalyst	Monometallic Pt nanoparticles dispersed on graphitized moderate surface area carbon supports at 0.025 mg <sub>Pt</sub> /cm <sup>2</sup>	<ul> <li><u>Oakridge National Lab</u></li> <li>Ionomer /catalyst interaction</li> </ul>
Anode Ionomer	Moderate EW (~950) ionomer for optimal dry/wet performance	<u>UT Austin</u>
Membrane	<ul> <li>i. GM's thin (&lt; 15 μm) low EW reinforced PFSA</li> <li>ii. 3M's perfluroro Imide or Ionene based ionomers</li> <li>iii. Giner's hydrocarbon ionomeric membrane</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Catalyst layer characterizations</li> <li><u>National Renewable Lab</u></li> <li>Differential cell performance</li> <li>Kinetic measurements</li> <li>Transport (H<sup>+</sup>/O<sub>2</sub>) properties</li> </ul>
Cathode Ionomer	Ionomer screening for optimal Dry - Wet performance	Argonne National Lab
Cathode Catalyst	Highly active Pt-alloy (Ni or Co) nanoparticle catalyst supported on high surface area carbon at 0.1 mg <sub>Pt</sub> /cm <sup>2</sup> or lower.	<ul> <li>Ionomer and catalyst ink Characterization</li> <li>X-ray scattering measurements</li> </ul>
Cathode GDL	GDL with optimized water transport properties and minimized membrane shorting probability	<ul><li><u>Giner</u></li><li>Membrane durability studies</li></ul>



## **Technical/ Future Work**

#### Electrode Durability

- Few studies available in literature studying the effect of peak potential and few waveforms such as square wave, triangle wave etc.
- Peak potential is known to have a significant effect as shown in numerous studies as well as GM's earlier project FC 087.

The current work envisions systematic and detailed voltage cycling tests in H<sub>2</sub>-Air and<sub>oltage-cycling number</sub> H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> to be conducted at different Upper-Limit Voltage operating conditions on SOA MEA.

□ Studies to be performed as a design of experiment to limit test articles.





https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review14/fc087\_ kongkanand\_2014\_o.pdf

	Factors								
Levels	Cell Temp (°C)	RH (%)	Upper potentia I (mV)	upper potentia I hold time (s)	Test Stand	Lower Potentia I (mV)			
-3						100			
-1	55	50	870	1	А	300			
0	75	75	900	4	В				
1	95	100	930	7	С	600			
3						800			
3						800			

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels.

## Technical/ Future Work Electrode Durability Model

Fundamenta	I Models	Integrating the Mod	Valida	ation and Optimization
Approach	Fundamental Models	Correlations	Validation	Prediction/Optimization
Task	Match models to ex-situ diagnostic data	Correlations quantifying catalyst degradation	Possible fine tuning and successful validation of the models	Determine rate determining steps at different operating conditions
Experiments	Ex-situ dissolution of Pt & Co, Oxide charge, EPMA, TEM, ASAXS, XRF, EELS, XRD	ECA, SA, HAD, CO stripping, R <sub>O2</sub> local, Limiting Current, EPMA, TEM	Pol curves, ECA, SA, HAD, CO stripping measured on MEAs used in Design of Experiments	Confirmation Runs
Models	Models for PtO growth, Pt & Co dissolution, Pt & Co transport, Pt shell thickness	Correlations quantifying Pt particle coalescence, changes in specific activity, Pt utilization, RO <sub>2, local</sub>	Integrating the fundamental models with correlations to estimate voltage degradation rates	Optimization routines that minimize degradation rates

Develop predictive model based on the experimental data with the fundamental understanding of degradation mechanisms



Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels.

## **Technical/ Future Work**

#### **Shorting effect on Membrane Durability**



- ced postmortem analysis (X-ray micro-CT
- Use advanced postmortem analysis (X-ray micro-CT, micro-IR) to look for root cause of failure

- Run accelerated single and combined stressor durability tests (OCV, RH cycling) with MEAs with intentional defects and different GDL structures
- Use segmented cell to track high resolution, *in-situ* shorting and convective & diffusive crossover current over time





## **Technical/ Future Work**

### Membrane Chemical Durability

GM

- GM & Giner developed peroxide vapor cell test to probe the degradation mechanism and rate of PFSA membranes.
- Temp, RH, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in vapor stream can be adjusted to provide a range of reaction conditions.
- Fluoride emission rates combined with FTIR measurements will be used to determine degradation mechanism (unzipping vs. scission) and reaction orders and rate constants.
- Increasing fluoride emission rate (FER) of with time suggests that new carboxylic acid end group are being created by scission processes. Steady FER indicates an unzipping mechanism
- Impact of membrane thickness, end group fluorination and GDL structure on PEM degradation rate and mechanism will be studied.

F. D. Coms, ECS Transactions, **16 (2)**, 235-255 (2008). H. Xu, C. Mittelsteadt, T. McCallum, F. D. Coms, 220<sup>th</sup> ECS Meeting, Boston, MA, Oct 13, 2011







FTIR measurement on degraded MEA Increase in R<sub>f</sub>COOK peal at 1693cm-1 after H2O2 test indicate PFSA chain scissions occurs at 90°C, 25% RH (Dry Condition) 17

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels.

### **Technical/ Future Work** Membrane Degradation Model

GM

Fundamenta	I Models	Integrating the Mod	Valida	ation and Optimization
Approach	Fundamental Models	Correlations	Validation	Prediction/Optimization
Task	Match models to ex-situ diagnostic data	Quantifying membrane state of health	Possible fine tuning and successful validation of the models	Determine rate determining steps at different operating conditions
Experiments	OCV tests, RH cycling, HAST, Combined OCV & RH cycling test, Segmented cell.	FTIR, FRR, molecular weight, cross- over current, XRF (Ce)	Cross over, shorting resistance, FRR, pinhole, Residual thickness	Confirmation Runs (segmented HAST)
Models	Mechanical stress model, chemical stress model, cerium distribution model	Quantify stress life coefficients (T, RH to FRR)	Combined chemical and mechanical stress model to predict membrane degradation.	Optimization routines that minimize degradation rates

Develop combined membrane degradation model based on experimental data with the fundamental understanding of degradation mechanisms

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels.

## **Summary**

- The project addresses the key DOE 2020 targets in performance, durability and cost.
- A state of art MEA will be delivered to FC-PAD and partners to carry out durability studies before the end of budget period 1.
- Project approach is to use operating conditions as a key differentiator in improving the durability of the membrane electrode assembly.
- Project goal is to map the impact of operating conditions on state-of-art MEA and provide recommendations for MEA design and operation that will extend durability in implementable automotive conditions.
- SODW with FC-PAD partners agreed up on and support for key tasks identified.



# Acknowledgements

### DOE

- Greg Kleen (Technology Manager)
- Donna Ho (Technology Manager)

#### **General Motors**

- Srikanth Arisetty
- Nagappan Ramaswamy
- Craig Gittleman
- Mark F. Mathias
- Yeh-Hung Lai
- Frank Coms
- Anusorn Kongkanand
- Balsu Lakshmanan

### <u>Giner</u>

- Dr. Cortney Mittelsteadt (sub-PI)

#### Univ of Texas, Austin

- Prof. Paulo Ferreira (sub-PI)

### FC-PAD

- Rod Borup
- Mukund Rangachary
- Adam Weber
- Debbie Myers
- Rajesh Ahluwalia
- Karren More
- Shyam Kocha
- KC Neyerlin



# **Technical Back-Up Slides**

### **Combined Chemical and Mechanical stress – Post Durability Test**

90°C Highly Accelerated Stress Test coflow – constant flow - 0.05 – 1.2 A/cm<sup>2</sup>

<u></u>	010			mαp
	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3
	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5
	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3
	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1
	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.1
	0.2	-0.1	-0.2	0.2
	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.1
	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.3
	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.2
	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4
	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.4
	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.4
	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.4
	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3
	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3
	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.5
	0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.2
	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.8
	0.8	0.5	0.5	1.2
	0.5	1.4	2.3	2.6
	1.4	3.6	6.7	6.2
	2.4	6.5	12.4	11.9
	2.8	8.4	18.7	12.5
	3.3	7.4	16.7	9.2

X-over current map	$\Lambda\lambda$ map (from HFR distr)
A-over current map	$\Delta \Lambda$ map (nom mix usu)

lydration	Swing $\Delta \lambda =$	$\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{m}$	in
3.5	2.9	2.8	3.3
3.1	2.5	2.5	3.0
3.0	2.5	2.6	3.1
3.2	2.6	2.8	3.2
3.4	3.3	3.2	3.6
3.5	3.2	3.4	3.8
3.5	3.5	3.8	4.0
3.8	3.6	4.1	4.1
4.2	4.0	4.4	4.4
4.4	4.5	5.0	4.9
4.6	5.2	5.4	5.4
5.1	5.7	5.8	5.7
5.4	5.9	6.4	6.3
5.6	6.2	6.6	6.4
5.7	6.8	7.0	6.8
6.0	7.0	7.3	7.2
6.3	7.1	7.6	7.2
6.3	7.3	8.1	7.8
6.7	7.7	8.3	8.1
7.0	8.0	9.0	8.7
7.9	8.9	10.0	9.4
8.0	10.0	10.2	10.5
8.8	10.6	10.9	10.4
8.8	10.5	11.6	10.4
8.7	9.9	11.2	10.1

GM Chemical Damage Model predicts more damage in inlet region (lower average RH)

0.262	0.272	0.286	0.274
0.275	0.288	0.297	0.279
0.284	0.292	0.294	0.273
0.270	0.291	0.291	0.284
0.265	0.261	0.265	0.266
0.266	0.277	0.273	0.265
0.275	0.269	0.260	0.261
0.263	0.270	0.254	0.267
0.254	0.263	0.252	0.265
0.251	0.254	0.239	0.252
0.246	0.231	0.230	0.236
0.234	0.220	0.223	0.232
0.229	0.219	0.213	0.220
0.229	0.219	0.214	0.223
0.229	0.207	0.212	0.220
0.223	0.206	0.208	0.216
0.219	0.207	0.205	0.215
0.221	0.205	0.200	0.205
0.217	0.205	0.201	0.203
0.213	0.207	0.196	0.201
0.198	0.196	0.187	0.196
0.204	0.189	0.195	0.185
0.194	0.185	0.193	0.193
0.195	0.189	0.187	0.196
0.193	0.198	0.184	0.187





Ce missing in region where there is crossover







Failure Mode is membrane thinning (no mechanical damage) - Chemical Degrdation

## Failure occurs in area with largest RH swing (near outlet)

- Does mechanical stress accelerate chemical degradation?
- Does RH cycling promote Ce migration?