

Hydrogen Sorbent Measurement Qualification and Characterization

Philip Parilla National Renewable Energy Laboratory June 8, 2017



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information.

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.

## Overview



### Timeline\*

**Project Start:** 10/1/2015 **End:** Project continuation determined by DOE. Currently scheduled through 9/30/18 (\*previously a component of NREL's materials development program and supported annually since 2006)

### Budget

Total Team Budget: (HySCORE): \$8.2M Federal Share: NREL: \$2.6M LBNL: \$2.4M PNNL: \$2.4M NIST: \$0.8M NREL Funds Spent: ~\$1.2M (Estimated as of 3/31/17)

### **Barriers addressed**

General:

- A. Cost, B. Weight and Volume, C. Efficiency,
- E. Refueling Time

Reversible Solid-State Material:

- M. Hydrogen Capacity and Reversibility
- N. Understanding of Hydrogen Physi- and Chemisorption
- O. Test Protocols and Evaluation Facilities

### **Partners/Collaborators**

NIST – Craig Brown, Terry Udovic PNNL – Tom Autrey, Mark Bowden LBNL – Jeff Long, Martin Head-Gordon HyMARC – SNL, LLNL, LBNL LANL, USA – Troy Semelsberger H2Technology Consulting, USA – Karl Gross H<sub>2</sub>ST<sup>2</sup>, USA – Hydrogen Storage Tech Team IEA-HIA Task 32 Participants Thesis Corporation, Justin Lee Univ. Wyoming, Bruce Parkinson











An NREL-led National Laboratory collaboration and synergistic research effort between:

NREL, LBNL, PNNL, NIST

- To <u>*Develop*</u> and <u>*Enhance*</u> Hydrogen Storage Core Capabilities, i.e. Characterization Techniques
- To <u>Validate</u> claims, concepts, and theories of hydrogen storage materials
- To <u>Double</u> hydrogen storage energy density (increase from 25g/L to 50 g/L)









### **Relevance/Approach: Volumetric Capacity Measurements**

Relevance:	Approach: Lead: Katie Hurst
<ul> <li>Volumetric capacity metrics are critical         <ul> <li>Must be uniform, consistent,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Compare volumetric capacity (VC) measurements with "round robin"</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>and unambiguous</li> <li>Established protocol for determining and reporting</li> <li>Goal: double the capacity over 700-bar tanks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Organize and manage an inter- laboratory comparison (ILC) on the hydrogen capacity measurements of 2 standard samples.</li> </ul>
• Move towards ~50 g-H <sub>2</sub> /L.	<ul> <li>Analyze the data to discern sources leading to variations of the results, common errors, and misunderstandings.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Report on these findings to the scientific community.</li> </ul>
	• Based on previously established protocols.(Parilla, et al, Appl. Phys. A, 2016).

CORE

**Pacific Northwest** 

(Hy

RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY

NATIONAL

### **Accomplishment: ILC Data Gathering Finished**

#### Milestone Completed

 Submit full report to DOE on results of volumetric capacity of at least 5-laboratory inter-lab comparison.

#### • Goal: Study and Understand Variability in Volumetric Capacity Determinations

- Two sample types: pellets and powder
- Two targeted temperatures: "Ambient" and liquid nitrogen
- Includes determinations of 3 capacities
- Builds on smaller previous study focused on excess gravimetric capacity

(K.E. Hurst, P.A. Parilla, K.J. O'Neill, T. Gennett Appl. Phys. A 122; 42, 2016.)

- $_{\odot}$   $\,$  5 grams of each material sent to participants in February 2016
- Detailed instructions were provided to each participant including:
  - degas conditions for each sample
  - measurement methods for the volume of the sample
  - recommended calculations for the capacities
- 15 confirmed participants (including NREL)
- o USA, International (Europe, Asia), IEA-HIA
- o academia, national laboratory, industry











## Accomplishment: ILC Data Analysis

#### • Data Received:

- 14 data sets at ambient conditions Sample 1
- $_{\circ}$  13 data sets at ambient conditions Sample 2
- $\circ$  10 data sets at liquid N<sub>2</sub> data Sample 1
- 9 data sets at liquid N<sub>2</sub> data Sample 2
- Data from 13 participation laboratories including:
  - 1 industry, 8 government, 4 academic labs.
  - 9 US, 4 international institutions
  - 12 manometric instruments, 1 gravimetric instrument
  - Participants will be notified of their results

#### • Data Analysis:

- $_{\odot}$   $\,$  Data received was inspected and investigated for gross errors
- Gross errors from misunderstandings, experimental failures, or miscalculations were revisited and corrected by participants
- Isotherms were interpolated to a common set of pressures to allow statistical analysis
- Detailed analyses are in the initial stages; correlations will be investigated, conclusions drawn and results will be published











## **Accomplishment: Finding Gross Errors**

### Example: Sample 1 (Pellets) Total Volumetric Capacity



- One participant corrected free-gas density to fix data.
- One participant fixed incorrect normalization of packing volume.
- One participant had outlier packing density and was removed.









## Accomplishment: Data! (Lots of data)

#### Approach for study with regard to capacities

- Excess Gravimetric Simplest data acquisition and analysis
- Excess Volumetric Adds complexity of packing volume
- Total Volumetric Has complexities of both packing volume and free-gas contribution



## **Accomplishment: More Data**

#### Approach for study with regard to temperatures

- Ambient Manometric: simplest mole-balance; Gravimetric: simplest buoyancy
- Liquid Nitrogen Manometric: more complicated mole balance
- Liquid Nitrogen Gravimetric: more complicated temperature control & buoyancy



## Example: Sample 2, Liquid N<sub>2</sub>, Variations Do Exist

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

- All data is currently included in analysis of the both volumetric capacities.
- No obvious errors were identified for data discrepancies.
- Some expected variation in data due to different packing volumes.

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

## **Accomplishment: Skeletal and Packing Densities**

#### Including All Data (Except Self-Reported Errors)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

- There was significant variation in determining the skeletal and packing volumes.
  - These variations will influence the isotherm data
- Variations in the packing density strongly depends on the sample preparation and therefore does not necessarily represent a measurement error.

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **Accomplishment: Analysis of Interpolated Data**

# Average and standard deviation of interpolated data to common pressures: gravimetric excess capacity at liquid-nitrogen temperature.

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Relevance/Approach: Thermal Conductivity Characterization**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pacific Northwest

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

Accomplishment/Status: Thermal Conductivity Apparatus

- Gas manifold completed with H<sub>2</sub> and He gases
   Operational & safety checks passed
- Verification of apparatus continues

 $_{\odot}$  More extensive testing of MOF5 pucks

- Data acquisition software development on-going
   Basics are done; more automation is needed
- Replacement for Michele Olsen near completion
   Four post doc candidates being interviewed
- Milestone: Instrumentation report proceeding

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Accomplishment: Thermal Conductivity Validation Measurements**

Thermal conductivity of MOF-5 in helium as a function of pressure at different temperatures.

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Relevance/Approach: Continuously Variable Temperature PCT**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Accomplishment: Continuously Variable Temperature PCT

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

Modified PCTPro 2000 instrument

 $_{\odot}\,$  Pressures up to ~200 bar

- Added cryocooler/cryostat
  - $_{\odot}$  Temperature: ~50K to 350K
- Custom-made sample holder
  - Copper temperature stabilizer
  - Stainless sample holder
  - Thermally designed to minimize temperature gradients at sample

![](_page_17_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_14.jpeg)

### Next Steps: Continuously Variable Temperature PCT

## Testing

- Verify operation of cryostat with existing PCT instrument to produce accurate and reliable measurements
  - Null measurements must show acceptable results at all temperatures and pressures
  - Initial null measurements do show good results consistent with those obtained in liquid baths
- Integrate new LabVIEW
   software into instrument
- Validate isosteric heat of adsorption measurement

Cryostat will integrate with existing PCTPro 2000 that has been modified to improve thermal stability and uniformity.

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_13.jpeg)

### **Relevance/Approach: Measurement Validation & Error Analysis**

#### **Relevance:**

**DOE Objective:** Capacity measurements for hydrogen-storage materials must be based on valid and accurate results to ensure proper identification of promising materials for DOE support.

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Approach:

Leads: Katie Hurst & Phil Parilla

- Assist materials-research groups
  - Validate external samples at NREL
  - Discover sources of discrepancies
  - Advise on corrective actions
- Investigate sources of measurement error
  - Analyze realistic models
  - Identify major error sources
  - Recommend improvements
    - Instrumentation
    - Experimental procedures
    - Data analysis
- Disseminate Findings

![](_page_19_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_22.jpeg)

## Accomplishments: Measurement Validation 2017

- Milestone: Worked with groups funded by DOE to validate measurements and analyze results.
  - 1 Validated sample capacity. Results reported to DOE.
     (Data is considered proprietary and cannot be shared.)
     (Measurements include TPD, PCT, BET etc.)
     9 measurements
  - Multi-laboratory measurement study 18 measurements
  - Trained DOE personnel to perform PCT measurements 12 measurements
- Collaborated with groups for discussion of error analysis and advisement on protocols to enhance accurate measurements.
  - 3 groups (H2M, Berkeley, Sandia (HyMARC))
  - Collaborated with HYMARC measured 2 samples 27 measurements
  - Investigated commercial instrument for systematic error

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_13.jpeg)

## **FY17** Characterization Milestones

Description	Due	Status
Submit full report to DOE on results of volumetric capacity of at least 5-laboratory inter-lab comparison.	12/31/16	100% complete
Submit DOE report and/or a manuscript to peer- reviewed journal on Variable-Temperature Thermal Conductivity apparatus, methodology and results.	03/31/17	In progress, delayed due to staff departure.
Completed construction of variable-temperature cyro-cooling add-on to the PCT Apparatus. Perform validated gravimetric capacity, volumetric capacity and isosteric heats of adsorption determination on an agreed upon sorbent standard to within 15% of the accepted value.	06/30/17	In progress and on schedule.
Measure and validate the gravimetric capacity, volumetric capacity and/or thermal conductivity of 2 samples as assigned by DOE. Submit full report to DOE within 30 days of completion of analysis.	09/30/17	In progress and on schedule. 1 <sup>st</sup> sample already measured and reported on. 2 <sup>nd</sup> sample awaiting DOE request.

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **Future Work & Challenges**

#### Volumetric Capacity: Inter-Laboratory Comparison

- Analyze data and publish results from the inter-laboratory hydrogen capacity study. Inform participants of their results in the study.
- Massive amount of data, many variables and complex interplay will make this a challenge.

### Thermal Conductivity Measurement and Validation

- Finish validation work; hire post doc; improve software
- Develop powder-handling & validate

### • Variable-Temperature PCT

- Verify operation of cryostat with existing PCT instrument to produce accurate and reliable measurements
- Integrate new LabVIEW software into instrument
- Validate isosteric heat of adsorption measurement

#### Measurement Validation & Error Analysis

- Need to validate 1 more sample (FY17)
- Contacted company to fix issue with PCT instrument

![](_page_22_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_18.jpeg)

## Summary

### Volumetric Capacity: Inter-Laboratory Comparison

- Inter-laboratory comparison is complete.
- $\circ$  Data analysis is in progress and results will be published.

### Thermal Conductivity Measurement and Validation

- Validation studies are almost complete.
- Thermal conductivity measurement for others are starting.
- Instrumentation publication planned for near future.

### • Variable-Temperature PCT

- Hardware integration is near completion.
- Validation testing will be next, followed by sample measurements.
- Measurement Validation & Error Analysis
  - More samples are expected for validation.
  - $_{\odot}~$  Error analysis and assisting others is continuing.

![](_page_23_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Technical Back-Up Slides**

### Inter-laboratory Measurement Study - Samples

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Two Carbon Samples:**

- Sample 1:
  - $\circ$  Norit ROW
  - Pellets
  - $\circ$  BET SSA 740 m<sup>2</sup>/g
- Sample 2:
  - $\circ$  MSC20
  - $\circ$  Powder
  - $\circ$  BET SSA 2400 m<sup>2</sup>/g

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_15.jpeg)

## Inter-laboratory Measurement Study - Run Sheet

Participants were asked to fill out a *Run Sheet* that included experimental information.

#### This included:

- sample mass (before/after degas)
- skeletal density
- packing density
- methods for determining skeletal density
- hydrogen purity
- equation of state
- whether degas protocol was followed
- base pressure for degas instrument
- base pressure for volumetric instrument
- equilibrium time for adsorption
- temperature stability
- pressure sensor accuracy

![](_page_26_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_17.jpeg)

#### National Renewable Energy Laboratory INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON RUN SHEET

Please fill out a run sheet							
and then save this file so y Please nowide isotherm d	for each measurement. Yo you do not have to fill in thos lata calculated as excess or	u may w se fields avimetri	vant to fi s every ti ir (w1%)	l out the fi ine. . ercess w	ields that will be c olumetric (o H-41)	common for and total v	all measurements
in either tab-delimited form	nat or an Excel spreadsheet	. See ti	he instru	iction shee	et for additional d	etails. If yo	u correct for
helium adsorption that occ	urs during the calibration, p	lease si	upply bo	th the con	rected and uncom	ected data	if possible.
If you have any questions	call of email Kathenne Huis	ST (303-3	364-7673	3; Kathenn	ie.nurst@nrei.gov	9	
LABORATORY INFORM	ATION		_				
Laboratory Institution	tory Institution Person filling out run si		out run sheet				
Phone number for person		E-ma	ail for per	son			
Filename(s) for this data	c						
NOTE: The above informa using a randomly assigned	ition will be removed when t d number.	he final	results a	are reporte	ed. Laboratories	will be iden	tified anonymously
SAMPLE TYPE:	🗌 Sample 1 or 🗌 Samp	le 2					
SAMPLE PREPARATION	، ۱ (skip if null measuremer	nt data)					
SAMPLE MASS							
Initial Mass:			±	mg	Date	c.	
After degas (optional):			±	mg	Date	c .	
Final Mass:			±	mg	Date	e.	
SAMPLE DEGA S	Begin Date:				End Date:		
Base Vacuum Pressure:		Units:					
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocol: 🗌 Deg	as protocol followed 📃 I	Units: Degas p	protocol	l exceptio	n (explain)		
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocol: Deg Degas Explanation:	as protocol followed 🗌 I	Units: Degas p	protocol	l exceptio	on (explain)		
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to	as protocol followed 🔲 I air after degas and prior t	Units: Degas p o meas	protoco Auremien	l exceptio it? 🗌 No	n (explain)	in)	
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocol: Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to Air Exposure Explanatio	as protocol followed 🗌 I air after degas and prior t m:	Units: Degas p to meas	protoco surem en	l exceptio nt? 🗌 No	n (explain) 🗌 Yes (expla	in)	
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocol: Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to Air Exposure Explanation MEASUREMENT INFOR	as protocol followed 📄 i air after degas and prior t nc WATION	Units: Degas p to meas	protocol Jurem en	l exceptio nt? 🗌 No	n (explain) 🗌 Yes (expla	in)	
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to Air Exposure Explanatio MEASUREMENT INFORM Measurement Method:	as protocol followed 📄 i air after degas and prior t nc MATION Gravimetric 🗌 Volu	Units: Degas p to meas metric	protocol surem en Oth	l exceptio nt? 🗌 No er:	n (explain) 🗌 Yes (expla	iin)	: 🗌 Dynamic
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to A ir Exposure Explanatio MEASUREMENT INFORM Measurement Method: Measurement Temperatu	as protocol followed I   air after degas and prior t nc MATION Gravimetric Volu ure: Liquid Nifrogen	Units: Degas p o meas metric	protocol surem en Oth sbient:	l exceptio nt? 🗌 No er: K	n (explain) Yes (explain) If dynamic, flo	iin) ] 🗌 Statie w rate:	: Dynamic
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot 🗍 Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to A ir Exposure Explanatio MEASUREMENT INFORI Measurement Method: Measurement Temperate Hydrogen Purify:	as protocol followed I air after degas and prior t in: WATION Gravimetric Volu ure: Liquid Nifrogen % Add	Units: Degas p o meas metric Am itional p	protocol surem en Oth ubient: purifier (	l exceptio nt? No er: K used?	n (explain) Yes (explain) Hf dynamic, flo	iin) ] 🗌 Static w rate:	: Dynamic
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to Air Exposure Explanatio MEASUREMENT INFORI Measurement Method: Measurement Temperatu Hydrogen Purify: Base Vacuum Pressure:	as protocol followed I air after degas and prior t n: MATION Gravimetric Volu ure: Liquid Nfrogen % Add	Units: Degas p o meas metric Am itional p Units:	protocol surem en Oth bient: purifier (	l exception nt? 🗌 No er: K used? 🗌	n (explain)           Yes (explain)           Yes (explain)           If dynamic, flo           Yes	iin) ] 🗌 Statix w rate:	: Dynamic
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to Air Exposure Explanatio MEASUREMENT INFORI Measurement Method: Measurement Temperatu Hydrogen Purity: Base Vacuum Pressure: Sequence order:	as protocol followed I air after degas and prior t nc MATION Gravimetric Volu ure: Liquid Nifrogen % Add	Units: Degas p o meas metric Am itional p Units: me first	protocol surem en Oth abient: purifier (	l exception nt? No er: K used?	n (explain) Yes (explain) If dynamic, flo Yes No H, measurer	in) Statio wrate: nent done	: Dynamic first
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to Air Exposure Explanation MEASUREMENT INFORM Measurement Method: Measurement Temperatu Hydrogen Purity: Base Vacuum Pressure: Sequence order: Equilibrium time/step:	as protocol followed I air after degas and prior t mc MATION Gravimetric Volu ure: Liquid Nifrogen % Add He calibration do (mir	Units: Degas p o meas metric Am itional p Units: me first	protocol suremen bient: purifier t fotal tim	I exception nt? No er: K used?	n (explain) Yes (explain) If dynamic, flo Yes No H, measurer nsurement	in) Station w rate: nent done min.	c Dynamic first
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to Air Exposure Explanatio MEASUREMENT INFORT Measurement Temperatu Hydrogen Purity: Base Vacuum Pressure: Sequence order: Equilibrium time(step: Temperature stability:	as protocol followed I air after degas and prior t nc MATION Gravimetric Volu ure: Liquid Nifrogen % Add He calibration do (mir Standard Deviation:	Units: Degas p o meas metric Am itional p Units: ne first h T	protocol aurem en bient: purifier ( t fotal tim	I exception It? No It? No It? No It? No It? No It? No It? No It?	n (explain) Yes (explain) If dynamic, flo Yes No H, measurer asurement: r Accuracy:	iin) w rate: nent done min % F	: Dynamic first.
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to Air Exposure Explanation MEASUREMENT INFOR Measurement Temperatu Hydrogen Purity: Base Vacuum Pressure: Sequence order: Equilibrium time/step: Temperature stability: Equation of State:	as protocol followed air after degas and prior t m: MATION Gravimetric Volu ure: Liquid Nirrogen % Add He calibration do (mir Standard Deviation: Ideal Reat Mod	Units: Degas p o meas metric Am itional p Units: me first n N K	protocol urem en bient: purifier u t fotal tim Pressu	I exception It? No It? No It? No It? No It? No It? No It? No It? No It?	n (explain) Yes (explain) If dynamic, flo Yes No H, measurer surrement r A couracy:	in) Static wrate: nent done min. % _ F	c Dynamic first S Reading
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to A in Exposure Explanation MEASUREMENT INFORT Measurement Method: Measurement Method: Measurement Temperature Hydrogen Purity: Base Vacuum Pressure: Sequence order: Equilibrium time/step: Temperature stability: Equation of State: CALIBRATION	as protocol followed I air after degas and prior t nc: MATION Gravimetric Volu ure: Liquid Nifrogen % Add He calibration do (mir Standard Deviation: Ideal Reat Mod	Units: Degas p o meas metric Am itional p Units: ne first 1) T K	Directoria contractoria contrac	I exception nt? No er: K used? Used? Used? I ne for mea are Senso	n (explain)           Yes (explain)           If dynamic, flo           Yes         No           He measurer           surrement:           r A couracy:	in) Static wrate: nent done min. % F	: Dynamic first 5 Reading
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to A ir Exposure Explanatio MEASUREMENT INFORI Measurement Temperatu Hydrogen Purity: Base Vacuum Pressure: Sequence order: Equilibrium time/step: Temperature stability: Equation of State CALIBRATION Helium adsorption correction:	as protocol followed air after degas and prior t in: WATION Gravimetric Volu ure: Liquid Nitrogen % Add He calibration do (mir Standard Deviation: Ideal Real: Mod Supplied data has Method: no conrection if other, explain:	Units: Degas p o meas metric ametric Units: me first Units: ketist ketist	protocol suren en Doth bient: purifier ( t t Total tim Pressu	I exception nt? No er: K used? used? me for mea me Senso	n (explain) Yes (explain) If dynamic, flo Yes No H2 measurer isurement r Accuracy:	iin) w rate: ment done min. % _ F	: Dynamic first S Reading
Base Vacuum Pressure: Degas Protocot Deg Degas Explanation: Was sample exposed to A ir Exposure Explanatio MEASUREMENT INFORI Measurement Method: Measurement Temperati Hydrogen Purity: Base Vacuum Pressure: Sequence order: Equilibrium time/step: Temperature stability: Equation of State: CALIBRA TION Helium adsorption correction: Skeletal Density: Packang Density:	as protocol followed      air after degas and prior t in: MATION Gravimetric    Volu ure:    Liquid Nifrogen % Add    He calibration do (mir Standard Deviation:    Ideal    Real: Mod Supplied data has Method: no correction if other, explain:    Not Measured    M Measured: gfcm	Units: Degas p o meas o meas netric Am itional p Units: No first N T K lel:	protocol suremen of Oth abient: purifier fotal tim Pressu	er: K used? g/cm <sup>3</sup>	n (explain)  Yes (explain)  If dynamic, flo Yes No H, measurer surement r A couracy:  Method used:	in) Wrate: nent done min. % F	c Dynamic first S Reading

Page 1 of 1

![](_page_26_Picture_21.jpeg)

## **Definitions for Inter-Laboratory Metrics**

• Gravimetric Excess Capacity

$$wt.\% = \frac{100 \ m_{ex \ H}}{m_{s} + m_{ex \ H}}; \quad m_{ex \ H} = M_{H_{2}AMU} \ n_{ex \ H_{2}}$$

• Volumetric Excess Capacity (normalized by packing volume)

$$\Lambda_{ep} = \frac{m_{ex\,H}}{V_{pk}}$$

• Volumetric Total Capacity (normalized by packing volume)

$$\Lambda_{tp} = \frac{m_{tot H}}{V_{pk}} \qquad n_{tot H_2} = n_{exH_2} + \rho_{fg} \left( V_{pk} - V_{sk} \right)$$

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **Thermal Conductivity Apparatus**

- Designed and built an apparatus capable of measuring the thermal conductivity of hydrogen storage materials under *expected operating conditions*:
  - Transient Plane Source Technique
  - 40 K to 400 K
  - up to 100 bar
  - capable of measuring pucks and powders (down to ~ 1 cm<sup>3</sup>)

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **Thermal Conductivity: Cryostat and Pressure-Control System**

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)