# ElectroCat: PGM-free Engineered Framework Nano-Structure Catalysts

2018 DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program Review Presentation

# PI: Prabhu Ganesan Greenway Energy, LLC June 13, 2018





PERATED BY SAVANNAH RIVER NUCLEAR SOLUTIONS





### Project ID # FC173

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

## Overview

### **Timeline**

- Project start date 09/01/2017
- Project end date 08/31/2020
- Percent complete 19.6%

## **Budget**

- Total project funding
  - \$2,000,000 (DOE share)
  - \$500,000 (Cost share)

### **Barriers**

- A. Durability (Catalyst)
- B. Cost (Catalyst)
- C. Performance (Catalyst, MEA)

### **Partners**

- Greenway Energy, LLC (Project Lead)
- Savannah River National Laboratory (Subcontractor)
- Northwestern University (Subcontractor)
- Ballard Power Systems (No Cost Partner)
- Los Alamos National Laboratory (Core Lab)
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Core Lab)



### Relevance

**Overall Objective:** Develop durable, highly active electrocatalysts for the ORR through a unique, bottom-up, rational design that will enable a better understanding of the PGM-free active site and improve activity.

• This project addresses technical targets from DOE's FCTO Multi-Year R&D Plan to enable commercialization of fuel cell electric vehicles by improving the performance and durability, while reducing the cost of PGM-free catalysts.

**FY2018 Objectives:** High throughput catalyst development targeting porphyrinic and "phen" type active sites; explore heteroatom doping; begin in house MEA optimization and FC testing; align core lab ORR active site modeling with experimental approach.

Metric	Units	FY18 Target	FY19 Target	FY20 Target	2020 DOE Target
Fuel Cell test: Catalyst Activity	mA cm <sup>-2</sup> @ 900 mV <sub>IR-free</sub>	≥ 20 <sup>b</sup>	≥ 25 <sup>b</sup>	≥ 30 <sup>b</sup>	≥ 44ª
Fuel Cell test: Catalyst Activity	mA cm <sup>-2</sup> @ 800 mV	NA	NA	≥ 150°	NA
RRDE test: Catalyst Activity	mA cm <sup>-2</sup> @ 800 mV	≥ 1.5 <sup>d</sup>	≥ 2.0 <sup>d</sup>	NA	NA

- <sup>a</sup> 80°C H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> MEA; fully humidified, total outlet pressure 150 kPa; anode stoich 2; cathode stoich 9.5
- <sup>b</sup> 80°C  $H_2/O_2$  in an MEA; total outlet pressure of 100 kPa
- $^{\circ}$  80°C H<sub>2</sub>/Air in an MEA; total outlet pressure of 100 kPa
- <sup>d</sup> 0.1 M HClO<sub>4</sub> acid; catalyst loading of 0.6 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>



## Approach

Bottom-up, rational synthesis plan designed to incorporate known functionalities into high surface area microporous frameworks.

#### **Unique Design Features**

- Frameworks can be engineered to have high activity for the ORR by
  - maximizing the number of accessible active sites through integration of functional groups into the polymer skeleton
  - maximizing surface area (BETs up to  $3000 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ )
  - tailoring pore size to optimize catalyst/ionomer interface (improved ORR kinetics)
- Inherent chemical and thermal stability (catalysts can be designed to endure accelerated stress protocols)
- Low-cost manufacturing
  - low cost precursor chemicals
  - low number of syntheses and/or processing steps



### Approach





### Approach

Milestone	Year 1 Project Milestones	Туре	Scheduled Completion (Quarter)	Percent Complete	Progress Notes
1.1	Optimize synthesis protocol to prepare >400 mg of "phen" type chelating polymers per batch	Milestone	Q1	100%	Complete
1.2	Optimize synthesis protocol to prepare >400 mg of porphyrinic polymers per batch	Milestone	Q1	100%	Complete
1.3	Optimize synthesis protocol to prepare >400 mg of high N containing carbon based materials per batch	Milestone	Q1	100%	Complete
1.5	Down select polymeric materials for high throughput synthesis	Milestone	Q2	33%	In progress
1.8a	Demonstrate improved ORR activity through transition metal coordination.	Milestone	Q1	100%	Complete
1.8b	RRDE performance >1.5 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> at 0.8 V vs. RHE	Milestone	Q3	100%	Complete
2.1	Align core lab modeling with experimental approach	Milestone	Q2	100%	Complete
GNG	Demonstrate $H_2$ -O <sub>2</sub> fuel cell performance that meets or exceeds 20 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> at 0.90 V <sub>IR-free</sub>	Go/No-Go	Q4	10%	In progress



### Accomplishments and Progress Task 1.1: "phen" type chelating Frameworks

#### Overview



 $MN_2C_y$ 

"phen" type chelating frameworks

- MN<sub>2</sub>C<sub>v</sub> type carbon catalyst structure
- bidentate, chelating ligands such as 1,10-phenanthronline, 2,2'-bipyridine and their derivations

#### Focus Area #1



Covalent Triazine Framework (CTF)

- micro/mesoporous semi-crystalline high surface area polymer
- organic linker influences properties (pore-size, chelating sites, conductivity)
- <u>active sites must be incorporated</u> into the linker to impart catalytic activity for the ORR



### Accomplishments and Progress Task 1.1: "phen" type chelating Frameworks

Focus Area #1 – Covalent Triazine Frameworks

- single-step self-assembly of 3D frameworks
- active sites must be incorporated into the linker to impart catalytic activity for the ORR







### Accomplishments and Progress Task 1.1: "phen" type chelating Frameworks Focus Area #1 – Covalent Triazine Frameworks

- CTF polymers based on DCBP and DCBPy with "phen" type chelating sites have been synthesized
- Metalation and post-synthesis treatments of CTFs under development, optimizing procedures
  - Metal loading
  - Loading time
  - Pyrolysis temperature, duration, and gas composition
- Electrochemical Characterization
  - Metal free CTF is electrically conductive without heat treatment and shows ORR at low potentials
  - Fe addition + heat treatment shows increase in ORR activity

#### **Highlights**

- BET analysis shows surface area of 1415 m<sup>2</sup>/g and pore volume of 1.32 mL/g (large mesopore volume)
- XPS analysis of CTF with 9:1 DCBP/DCBPy shows N content of 3.7 at% with a high ratio of pyridinic/pyrrolic N
- Metalation protocol requires optimization, does not currently meet electrochemical performance targets



#### **CTF ORR Performance**



POWERFUL

EXP

9

### Accomplishments and Progress Task 1.2: Porphyrin Containing Frameworks

#### Overview



- Porphyrin containing frameworks
  - FeN<sub>4</sub> type carbon catalyst
  - includes porphyrins, phthalocyanines and other macrocycles

#### Focus Area #1



# Porphyrinic MOF based materials

#### Focus Area #2



Polyporphyrin based materials

GreenWavEr 10 ERFUL EXPER

#### Accomplishments and Progress Task 1.2: Porphyrin Containing Frameworks Focus Area #1 – MOF 525



POWERFUL EXPERTS 11

### Accomplishments and Progress Task 1.2: Porphyrin Containing Frameworks Focus Area #2 – Polyporphyrin

Polyporphyrin synthesis scheme



- synthesis conditions have been developed and optimized to maximize catalyst performance
- 500 mg batches are routinely prepared, process is amenable to significant scale-up

#### Highlight

 Catalyst utilizes low cost precursors, simple scale-up, and requires little post treatment

(see preliminary cost analysis, right)

M

>95 % yield

#### **Preliminary Cost Analysis**

Chemical	Cost/Synthesis	Cost/g After Heat Treatment
Pyrrole	\$0.21*	
Terephthalaldehyde	\$0.15*	~\$1.05/g‡
Fe salt	\$.00825*	

\*price based on small quantity chemical lab suppliers

<sup>‡</sup>catalyst cost based only on precursor cost



>40 %

yield

### Accomplishments and Progress Task 1.2: Porphyrin Containing Frameworks Focus Area #2 – Polyporphyrin



## **Physical Characterization**

#### **Before Pyrolysis**

- XPS shows nitrogen content around 11 at%
- TEM shows particles between 100-200 nm
- BET surface area around 400 m<sup>2</sup>/g

#### 1000 After pyrolysis

- TEM shows no particle size change
- TEM shows increase in surface roughness
- BET analysis shows an increase in surface area (876 m<sup>2</sup>/g)

#### Highlight

 Single step reaction followed by one pyrolysis shows catalysts with promising properties





tailored through heat treatment conditions

- XPS analysis shows N content from 4-6 at%
- **Electrochemical Performance** 
  - Increasing pyridinic N correlates with increasing ORR performance

#### Highlight

 Catalysts based on polyporphyrins approach state of the art performance

RDE ORR performance @ 800 mV: ~2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>



Green

POWERFUL EXPERTS 14



- porphyrinic catalyst was evaluated at LANL
  - Initial air performance shows ~53 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at 800 mV
- MEA optimization and FC performance of optimized porphyrinic catalyst is underway

#### RRDE evaluation of electrocatalysts shows

- < 3.4% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or >3.94 # e<sup>-</sup>
- $E_{1/2}$ = 0.79 V vs. RHE after cycling

#### Highlight

- Initial air performance shows ~53 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> @ 800 mV
- High selectivity towards the 4 e<sup>-</sup> reaction



### Accomplishments and Progress Task 1.2: Porphyrin Containing Frameworks Focus Area #2 – Polyporphyrin



#### Metallated Polyporphyrins

- Use of Mn as the metal coordinated in the porphyrin ring was explored
  - Mn shows an increase in the electrochemical surface area
  - Mn shows lower activity at 800 mV
- Combination of Mn and Fe shows promising initial performance



**Electrochemical Performance** 

- Fe-Mn coordinated porphyrin ring, shows an increase in the on-set potential
- Different strategies are being implemented to stabilize the initial performance

#### Highlight

- Mn only catalyst shows low activity
- As an additive, Mn improves Fe-based catalyst performance

16

### Accomplishments and Progress Task 1.3: High N Carbon Based Catalysts

#### **Overview**

Highly scalable process has been developed to

- Maximize N content
- Control pyridinic/pyrrolic N content
- Maximize surface area of carbon materials using inexpensive chemical precursors





- XPS analysis of catalyst materials show N content up to ~11 at% N
  - >30 at% pyridinic N and >40 at% pyrrolic N
- High BET surface areas (900 to 3000 m<sup>2</sup>/g) and varied pore size distribution
- High surface area and nitrogen content was achieved using easy to tailor highly scalable processes
- Optimization is underway to maximize
   ORR performance



#### Accomplishments and Progress Task 2.1: Active site modeling Overview

- Graphene Bulk and Edge Sites with substitutional sites are evaluated towards oxygen reduction to water
  - $FeN_4$  for  $Fe^{II}$
  - HOFeN<sub>4</sub> for Fe<sup>III</sup>
  - OFeN<sub>4</sub> for Fe<sup>IV</sup>

LGER process replaces  $\Delta_{ads}G$  with  $\Delta_{ads}E$ 

$$Ox(aq) + e^{-}(U^{0}) \rightleftharpoons Red(aq) \quad (U^{0}expt]$$

$$\downarrow \Delta_{ads}G(Ox) \qquad \qquad \downarrow \Delta_{ads}G(Red)$$

$$Ox(ads) + e^{-}(U_{ads}) \rightleftharpoons Red(ads)$$

$$U_{ads} = U^{0} - \Delta_{ads}G(Red) + \Delta_{ads}G(Ox)$$

- Predictions from DFT Models
  - linear Gibbs energy relationship (LGER) and chemical hydrogen electrode (CHE) models are being used

CHE method uses reaction energies

$$O(ads) + H^+(aq) + e^-(U_{ads})$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 OH(ads),  $\triangle_{\text{react}} = 0 => U_{\text{ads}}$ 

#### Highlight

• similar predictions are obtained from these two approximate theories



### Accomplishments and Progress Task 2.1: Active site modeling

The following mechanism is assumed with the given  $\Delta G^{0}(eV)$  values to calculate the  $\Delta_{ads}E$  in the LGER.

Reaction	$U^{o}(\mathbf{V})$	$\Delta G^{\theta}(eV)$
1. $O_2(g) + H^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow OOH(aq)$	-0.125	0.125
2. $OOH(aq) + H^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow O(aq) + H_2O(l)$	0.21	-0.21
3. $O(aq) + H^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow OH(aq)$	2.12	-2.12
4. $OH(aq) + H^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow H_2O(l)$	2.72	-2.72
5. $O_2(g) + 4H^+(aq) + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O(l)$	1.23	-4.92

Active sites considered		<b>O</b> <sub>2</sub>	ООН	0	ОН	H <sub>2</sub> O	
Fe <sup>n</sup> N <sub>4</sub>	Site		Ad	lsorption Er	nergy		
Fe"N	Fe <sup>II</sup> bulk	-1.300	-2.160	-4.825	-3.074	-0.536	
Fe <sup>III</sup> OHN <sub>4</sub>	Fe <sup>II</sup> edge	-1.053	-1.784	-4.273	-3.033	-0.490	Highlight
Fe <sup>III</sup> OHN <sub>4</sub>	Fe <sup>III</sup> bulk	0.480	-2.429	-3.367	-2.095	-0.807	• $\Delta_{ads}E$ for III, IV states are closer
Fe <sup>IV</sup> ON <sub>4</sub>	Fe <sup>III</sup> edge	-0.207	-1.288	-2.864	-2.314	-0.365	to the ideal values
Fe <sup>IV</sup> ON <sub>4</sub>	Fe <sup>IV</sup> bulk	-0.092	-1.058	-2.543	-1.616	-0.475	
	Fe <sup>IV</sup> edge	-0.123	-0.805	-2.139	-1.625	-0.371	
	Ideal	0.0	-1.35	-2.49	-1.38	-0.0	

Note:  $Fe^{III}OHN_4$  and  $Fe^{IV}ON_4$  sites, adsorption is underneath the sheets

GreenWayEnergy®

### Accomplishments and Progress Task 2.1: Active site modeling

	Site											
	Fe <sup>II</sup>	bulk	Fe <sup>II</sup>	edge	Fe <sup>III</sup>	bulk	Fe <sup>III</sup>	edge	Fe <sup>IV</sup>	bulk	Fe <sup>IV</sup>	edge
Reaction					Reve	rsible	Poten	tial				
	LGER	CHE	LGER	CHE	LGER	CHE	LGER	CHE	LGER	CHE	LGER	CHE
1. $O_2(g) + H^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow OOH(ads)$	2.87	2.89	1.66	1.68	2.30	2.33	1.16	1.19	<b>0.93</b> <sup>a</sup>	0.96	-	-
2. $OOH(ads) + H^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow O(ads) + H_2O(l)$	-2.04	1.29	1.15	1.95-	<b>1</b> .15	<b>0.40</b> <sup>a</sup>	1.79	1.04	1.70	<b>0.94</b> <sup>a</sup>	1.54	-
4	Poten Fe <sup>i∨</sup> is	itial rar s stable	nges w e	here	┢							
3. $O(ads) + H^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow OH(ads)$	0.37	0.70	0.88	1.21	<b>0</b> .85	0.69	1.57	1.90	1.19	1.52	1.61	1.94
4	Poter Fe <sup>III</sup> is	ntial rar s stable	nges w e	here	┝							
4. $OH(ads) + H^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow H_2O(l)$	-0.35	0.04	-0.31	0.08-	ן <sub>-</sub>	1.50	<b>0.41</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.80</b> <sup>a</sup>	1.10	1.49	1.10	1.49

 The CHE method assigns the H<sup>+</sup>(aq) energy to ½ the calculated H<sub>2</sub> energy and adjusts the O<sub>2</sub> energy so that the calculated 4-electron reduction reaction energy is -4.92 eV. CHE predicts high activity for Fe<sup>III</sup> edge and Fe<sup>IV</sup> bulk sites

#### Highlight

- Both LGER and CHE theories predict activity for Fe<sup>III</sup> and Fe<sup>IV</sup> bulk sites
- Synthesis of engineered frameworks should shift focus to incorporation of Fe<sup>III</sup> and Fe<sup>IV</sup> sites.



### Accomplishments and Progress Responses to Previous Year Reviewers' Comments

• This project was not reviewed last year



## Collaborations

### **GreenWay Energy (Project Lead, Small Business)**

- Prabhu Ganesan material characterization, MEA fabrication, fuel cell testing, and project management
- Mark Elvington rational design, synthesis, and development of engineered framework nano-scale electrocatalysts
- Alfred Anderson direct the active site modeling

## **SRNL (Subcontractor, FFRDC)**

• Héctor Colón-Mercado – electrochemical characterization, catalyst activation, and fuel cell testing

### **Northwestern University (Subcontractor, University)**

- Joseph Hupp manage NU polymer electrocatalyst design and synthesis
- Omar Farha direct NU polymer electrocatalyst design and synthesis

## **Ballard Power Systems (OEM, Industry)**

• Shanna Knights – evaluation of promising electrocatalysts



### ElectroCat Consortium Collaborations

### Los Alamos National Laboratory (Capable Laboratory)

- Piotr Zelenay, Hoon Taek Chung
  - Membrane electrode assembly fabrication
  - PGM-free catalyst synthesis, analytical characterization, electrochemical and fuel cell testing
- Edward Holby
  - Multi-scale modeling for rational design of PGM-free catalysts

## Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Capable Laboratory)

- Karren More
  - Analytical electron microscopy and/or electron tomography (Collaboration begins during year 2)



## **Remaining Challenges and Barriers**

## <u>Task 1 – Catalyst development based on high surface area polymers</u>

- All Subtasks Catalysts require heat treatment for optimal ORR performance
- All Subtasks Catalysts require improvement to long term stability
- Subtask 1.1 CTF metal loading and heat treatment requires optimization
- Subtask 1.2 Surface area of polyporphyrins slightly low (~900 m<sup>2</sup>/g)
- Subtask 1.3 N-doped carbon requires increased activity

## Task 2 – Active site modeling

• Subtask 2.2 – The kinetic treatment on the combined electron transfer and bond rearrangement presents a challenge. This form of OOH(ads) may be important to understanding active site degradation and poisoning.

## Task 3 – MEA optimization and fuel cell testing

• Subtask 3.1 – Optimize MEA fabrication to decrease HFR and increase catalyst utilization



### **Proposed Future Work**

### Task 1 – Catalyst development based on high surface area polymers

- Catalysts based on "phen" type functionalities
  - Materials will continue to be optimized and tested in the next quarter
- Catalysts based on porphyrinic type functionalities
  - Continue optimizing the catalyst by adding peripheral groups and different heteroatoms
  - Explore use of different transition metal centers
- Catalysts based on high N content
  - Continue optimization studies
- Down select promising catalysts for MEA optimization

## Task 2 – Active site modeling

• Align and validate models against experimental materials

## Task 3 – MEA optimization and fuel cell testing

- Initiate in-house FC screening of prepared catalyst
- Continue FC optimization at LANL

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels.



## Summary

- A bottom-up approach was used to prepare PGM-free Engineered Framework Nano-Structure Catalysts with "phen" type active sites (e.g. CTFs, YSNs, and MOFs) and porphyrinic type active sites (MOFs and POPs).
- Electrochemical characterization shows high performance for the ORR (see table)
- A highly scalable process has been developed to produce inexpensive, high N, carbon based PGM-free catalysts designed to maximize N content, control pyridinic/pyrrolic N content, and maximize surface area
- Modeling studies strongly suggest bulk  $Fe^{IV}$  has promise for catalyzing the four-electron reduction of  $O_2$  to water since its predicted overpotential of 0.29 V is the lowest.
  - Edge Fe<sup>III</sup> has similar reactivity with a 0.43 V predicted overpotential. No other sites are active.
  - The dissociated structure of OOH(ads) with OH binding to C and O to Fe seen for bulk
     Fe<sup>III</sup> and Fe<sup>IV</sup> sites has not been discussed previously for carbon-based catalysts.

Metric	Units	Current Status	FY18 Target	FY19 Target	FY20 Target	2020 DOE Target
Fuel Cell test: Catalyst Activity	mA cm <sup>-2</sup> @ 900 mV <sub>IR-free</sub>		≥ 20 <sup>b</sup>	≥ 25 <sup>b</sup>	≥ 30 <sup>b</sup>	≥ 44ª
Fuel Cell test: Catalyst Activity	mA cm <sup>-2</sup> @ 800 mV	52.8	NA	NA	≥ 150°	NA
RRDE test: Catalyst Activity	mA cm <sup>-2</sup> @ 800 mV	2.03	≥ 1.5 <sup>d</sup>	≥ 2.0 <sup>d</sup>	NA	NA

<sup>a</sup> 80°C  $H_2/O_2$  MEA; fully humidified, total outlet pressure 150 kPa; anode stoich 2; cathode stoich 9.5 <sup>b</sup> 80°C  $H_2/O_2$  in an MEA; total outlet pressure of 100 kPa

 $^{\circ}$  80  $^{\circ}$  C H<sub>2</sub>/Air in an MEA; total outlet pressure of 100 kPa

<sup>d</sup> 0.1 M  $HCIO_4$  acid; catalyst loading of 0.6 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>

Acknowledgements

Project Management and Review Donna Ho, DOE Adria Wilson, DOE Dimitrios Papageorgopoulos, DOE John Kopasz, ANL

**ElectroCat Steering Commitee and Experts** 

**ElectroCat Project PIs** 



# **Technical Backup Slides**



### Key theories for designing non-PGM catalysts



Proposed catalyst composition
 FeN<sub>2</sub>C<sub>v</sub> carbon catalyst

 Comparison of catalytic activity (V<sub>pr</sub>) and relative abundance of FeN<sub>x</sub>C<sub>y</sub> species

Analysis of  $FeN_xC_y$  functional groups through RDE and ToF SIMS identifies  $FeN_2C_y$  and  $FeN_4C_y$  sites as catalytically active for the ORR



TABLE 1: Relative Abundance in % of  $FeN_xC_y^+$  Ions as a Function of the Pyrolysis Temperature for Type II Fe(0.2)Ac Catalysts

ions	400 °C	500 °C	600 °C	700 °C	800 °C	900 °C	1000 °C
FeNC <sup>+</sup>	28.27	23.82	17.08	0.76	5.76	1.77	2.50
FeNC <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	0.78	0.00	1.61	2.63	0.00	0.40	2.50
FeNC <sub>3</sub> +	0.78	1.41	2.06	1.82	0.63	1.33	7.50
FeN <sub>2</sub> C <sup>+</sup>	14.94	7.78	4.80	2.38	1.56	2.56	3.75
$FeN_2C_2^+$	1.78	4.70	1.44	0.53	0.63	0.83	0.00
FeN <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub> +	0.40	1.26	0.53	1.85	0.00	0.93	12.50
FeN <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub> +	23.92	26.93	46.95	64.89	78.38	76.38	49.86
$FeN_2C_5^+$	1.10	0.00	0.35	2.08	0.00	0.93	0.00
$\mathrm{FeN_2C_6}^+$	1.47	0.00	0.64	0.53	1.32	0.40	0.00
FeN <sub>3</sub> C <sup>+</sup>	1.75	4.97	5.68	1.32	0.00	0.00	2.78
FeN <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub> +	0.00	1.36	0.00	0.00	1.32	0.42	0.00
FeN <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub> +	1.78	2.98	1.91	1.82	0.00	0.81	2.78
FeN <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> +	0.00	1.10	0.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
FeN <sub>3</sub> C <sub>5</sub> <sup>+</sup>	2.33	0.37	0.29	0.53	1.56	0.85	0.00
FeN <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> <sup>+</sup>	2.64	0.47	0.35	0.76	1.56	0.00	2.78
FeN <sub>3</sub> C <sub>7</sub> +	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00
FeN <sub>3</sub> C <sub>8</sub> <sup>+</sup>	0.81	3.98	3.36	2.89	0.00	1.67	1.25
FeN <sub>3</sub> C <sub>9</sub> <sup>+</sup>	7.33	3.29	1.16	0.76	0.63	3.06	4.03
$FeN_4C^+$	3.01	0.68	1.12	1.32	0.00	0.42	0.00
FeN <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	0.34	1.31	1.67	2.38	0.00	0.93	0.00
FeN <sub>4</sub> C <sub>3</sub> +	0.34	1.89	0.00	0.76	1.56	0.42	0.00
FeN <sub>4</sub> C <sub>4</sub> +	0.72	0.52	1.08	0.00	0.00	0.42	2.50
FeN <sub>4</sub> C <sub>5</sub> <sup>+</sup>	0.40	0.37	2.18	1.32	1.56	0.00	0.00
FeN <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> <sup>+</sup>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.40	1.25
FeN <sub>4</sub> C <sub>7</sub> +	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FeN <sub>4</sub> C <sub>8</sub> <sup>+</sup>	5.08	8.05	5.45	4.17	1.88	4.64	4.03
FeN4C9 <sup>+</sup>	0.00	1.72	0.29	1.60	0.00	0.00	0.00
$FeN_4C_{10}^+$	0.00	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FeN <sub>4</sub> C <sub>11</sub> <sup>+</sup>	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.32	0.00	0.00	0.00
FeN <sub>4</sub> C <sub>12</sub> <sup>+</sup>	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	1.67	0.00	0.00

J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106, 8705-8713

### **Project's Electrochemical Screening Protocol**

Current density (mA cm<sup>-2</sup>)



- RRDE Electrochemical Screening Procedure
  - 1.) Cyclic Voltammetry 50 full cycles under  $O_2$  (break in)
  - 2.) Cyclic Voltammetry 2 full cycles at 900 rpm and 2 full cycles at 1600 rpm
  - 3.) Chronoamperometry 2 minutes at 800 mV vs RHE.

Current measured at 2 minutes is the reported value.





### FC and RRDE State of the art performance

Work published in Science by Zelenay and team [Chung et al., Science 357, 479-484 (2017)].

- FC current at 800 mV is <u>~100 mA/cm<sup>2</sup></u>
- RDE current at 800 mV is <u>~1.5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup></u>

Project targets (assigned by FCTO in FC, as well as our internal targets for RDE) agree well with demonstrated values of the state-of-the-art catalysts.



GreenWayEnergy®