



High-Performance Ultralow-Cost Non-Precious Metal Catalyst System for AEM Electrolyzer

P. I. Hoon T. Chung Los Alamos National Laboratory 6-14-2018

Project ID PD158

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information









Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



Project Overview

Project Partners

Hoon Chung, Los Alamos National Laboratory Barr Zulevi, Pajarito Powder, LLC

Project Vision

The key challenge in anion exchange membrane (AEM) electrolyzer is to achieve high performance without feeding alkaline solution to the electrodes. In this project, we are developing PGM-free OER and HER catalysts with high performance in the alkaline solution-free AEM water electrolyzer.

Project Impact

By eliminating most expensive PEM electrolyzer components AEM technology offers > 50% reduction in cost. This opens a pathway to meeting the DOE H₂ production cost target of $< \frac{2}{kg}$.

Award #	2.2.0.402
Start Date	10/1/2017
Year 1 End Date	09/30/2018
Project End Date	09/30/2020
Total DOE Share	\$1.0M
Total Cost Share	\$0.1M
Year 1 DOE Funding	\$0.25M



* this amount does not cover support for HydroGEN resources leveraged by the project (which is provided separately by/DOE) HydroGEN: Advanced Water Splitting Materials Los Alamos



Project Motivation

The need for low-cost, active and durable PGM-free OER and HER catalysts for AEM electrolyzer. Perovskite oxides were chosen as OER catalysts and porous Ni-La alloys as HER catalysts.

Barriers

- High PEM electrolysis cost:
 - ✓ Expensive PGM catalysts
 - ✓ Expensive titanium flow fields
 - ✓ Expensive Nafion[®] membrane

Key Impact

Metric	State of the Art	Proposed	
OER activity	5.0 mA/cm ² at 1.65 V with carbon addition	5.1 mA/cm ² at 1.65 V without carbon addition	
HER activity	20 mA/cm ² at a 0.20 V overpotential	34 mA/cm ² at a 0.20 V overpotential	
Durability	Performance durability of IrO ₂	The same degradation rate compared with IrO ₂	

Partnerships

Pajarito Powder, LLC (PPC) is a partner of this project. Their expertise is in (i) massproduction of catalysts, (ii) fabrication of MEAs, and (iii) AEM electrolyzer testing. Catalysts developed by LANL will be tested by PPC in AEM electrolyzer tests and their production scaled-up of 25 g/batch.





Barrier: The barrier, the need of alkaline solution feeding to achieve high performance in AEM technology, is demonstrated. With alkaline solution feeding, this technology can be considered a kind of alkaline water electrolysis, not the AEM water electrolysis technology by definition.



This test was performed with the help of HydroGEN consortium nodes:

- Cy Fujimoto from SNL provided AEM
- Guido Bender from NREL fabricated MEA and performed electrolyzer test



Approach-Innovation



Understanding phenomena at the interface of a catalyst and organic alkaline electrolyte interface is key to developing AEM water electrolysis technologies



2.0

2.2

2.2

2.0

Approach- Innovation

Previous studies: Significant interference of benzene and organic cations with catalysts that inhibits catalyst activity has been studied by this presenter:

- ✓ H. Chung, U. Martinez, I. Matanovic, Y. S. Kim, "Cation-hydroxide-water coadsorption inhibits the alkaline hydrogen oxidation reaction" *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 7, 4464 (2016)
- ✓ H. Chung, Y. Choe, U. Martinez, J. H. Dumont, A. Mohanty, C. Bae, I. Matanovic, Y. S. Kim, "Effect of organic cations on hydrogen oxidation reaction of carbon supported platinum" *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **163**, F1503 (2016)
- ✓ I. Matanovic, H. Chung, Y. S. Kim, "Benzene adsorption: A significant inhibitor for the hydrogen oxidation reaction in alkaline conditions" *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 8, 4918 (2017)

Innovative approach: Implementation of PGM-free catalysts to eliminate detrimental effect of adsorption of benzene and organic cations and thus enhance AEM water electrolyzer performance.



Los Alamos



In PEM water electrolyzer, titanium flow fields/separators, PGM IrO₂ and Pt catalysts, and Nafion® perfluorinated membrane account for more than 70% of the stack cost. If PGM-free catalyst development for AEM water electrolysis is successful, in addition to PGM catalyst replacement by PGM-free catalysts, titanium flow fields/separators and Nafion® perfluorinated membrane can be replaced by stainless steel and cheaper hydrocarbon membrane. This is expected to reduce the stack cost by more than 50% and facilitate reaching the DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program cost target of sustainably produced hydrogen of < \$2/kg.









This project is a good fit for the HydroGEN consortium R&D model. As shown in the project structure flow chart, four key nodes are utilized in project to facilitate R&D and achieve the project goals. **DFT and ab initio calculations node** guides catalyst design and helps with electrochemical test result interpretation. **Surface analysis cluster node** offers a variety of surface composition/valence state analysis tools for catalysts. **Separators for hydrogen production node** supplies state-of-the-art alkaline membrane and ionomer. **Hydrogen** *in situ* **test capabilities for H₂ production node** provides MEA fabrication and in situ electrolyzer test.

Los Alamos

Accomplishments

Date	LANL Quarterly Progress Measures and Go/No-Go	Status
December 31 2017 (FY18 Q1)	QPM 1 : Establishment of catalyst synthesis equipment, including spray pyrolysis, with La-doped Ni HER and LSC OER catalysts successfully fabricated as verified by XRD.	Completed
March 31 2018 (FY18 Q2)	QPM 2 : Alkaline electrolyzer system set-up at LANL with first experiments with PGM-free catalysts complete.	Completed
June 30 2018 (FY18 Q3)	QPM 3 : Base line LANL OER and HER materials shipped to PPC for MEA fabrication.	On track
September 30 2018 (FY18 Q4)	Go/No-Go Decision: OER catalysis: Demonstrate 70% improvement from our current SOA (with no carbon), for a target of <u>5.1</u> <u>mA/cm² at 1.65 V</u> which is close to the current SOA with carbon, (but little durability) of 5.0 mA/cm ² . RDE cyclic durability: triangle sweep cycle: 500 mV/s between 0.6 and 2.1 V; cycle number: 5,000 cycles <u>; the</u> <u>same degradation rate compared with IrO₂ catalyst</u> . HER catalysis: 70% improvement from our current SOA current density of (20 mA/cm ²) for a target of <u>34 mA/cm²</u> <u>at a 200 mV overpotential</u> . RDE cyclic durability: triangle sweep cycle: 200 mV/s between 0.2 and – 0.25 V; cycle number: 5,000 cycles: <u>less than 20% current density loss at a 200 mV</u> <u>overpotential</u> .	On track

- The 1st budget year milestones and go/no-go decision are expected to be **met and possibly exceeded**
- Project is predicted to result in significant AEM water electrolysis technology progress already in its first year



Accomplishments

PGM-and carbon-free perovskite catalyst OER activity in 0.1 M KOH

RDE: catalyst loading: 800 μ g cm⁻²; 0.1 M KOH; 1600 rpm; room temperature; Hg/HgO (1.0 M KOH) reference electrode; LSV 10 mV/s; N₂-saturated solution.







Impedance analysis



Impedance of each catalyst layer was measured before and after durability testing to gauge electrolyte and charge-transfer resistance changes



Accomplishments

IrO₂ durability test at 1.8 V in 0.1 M KOH

RDE: catalyst loading: 800 µg cm-2; 0.1 M KOH; 1600 rpm; room temperature; Hg/HgO (1.0 M KOH) reference electrode; LSV 10 mV/s; N2-saturated solution. 14 0.4 initial 12 after 1 hour at 1.8 V 0.2 10 *i* (mA cm⁻²_{geo}) i (mAcm⁻²_{geo}) 8 0.0 6 4 -0.2 2 -0.4 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 2000 0.8 0 1000 3000 4000 0.0 1.0 Time (sec) E (V vs. RHE) 50 80 initial initial after 1 hour at 1.8 V 40 after 1-hour at 1:8-V 60 Z"/ohm *i* (mA cm⁻²_{geo}) 30 40 20 20 10 0 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 0 140 160 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 Z'/ohm E(V vs. RHE)





Perovskite oxide-E durability test at 1.8 V in 0.1 M KOH

RDE: catalyst loading: 800 μ g cm⁻²; 0.1 M KOH; 1600 rpm; room temperature; Hg/HgO (1.0 M KOH) reference electrode; LSV 10 mV/s; N₂-saturated solution.







Perovskite oxide-C in 0.1 M BTMAOH

RDE: catalyst loading: 800 µg cm⁻²; 0.1 M BTMAOH; 1600 rpm; room temperature; Hg/HgO (1.0 M KOH) reference electrode; LSV 10 mV/s; N₂-saturated solution.







Current density at 1.65 V vs RHE

OER Catalyst Go/No-Go:

(1) 5.1 mA/cm² at 1.65 V vs RHE

(2) the same degradation rate compared with IrO₂ catalyst

	Current density (mA/cm²) in 0.1 M KOH		Current density (mA/cm ²) In 0.1 M BTMAOH	
Catalyst	initial	after 1000 cycles	initial	after 1000 cycles
IrO ₂	5.5	1.9	1.0	0.2
Perovskite oxide-S	3.1	2.0	4.1	1.8
Perovskite oxide-A	2.5	0.9	-	-
Perovskite oxide-B	4.4	2.5	5.5	3.9
Perovskite oxide-C	3.8	2.7	6.8	5.3
Perovskite oxide-D	3.9	2.7	6.2	4.8
Perovskite oxide-E	4.1	3.4	5.2	2.7





First AEM Water Electrolysis Test with IrO₂ and Standard Perovskite Oxide-S



Promising first AEM water electrolysis result obtained with the baseline OER catalyst (perovskite oxide-S) even without any optimization of electrode: Project's innovative approach has been validated.



Collaboration: Effectiveness

 Collaboration with separator for hydrogen production node (SNL) and hydrogen in situ test capabilities for H₂ production node (NREL) has enabled AEM water electrolysis measurements, resulting in significant impact on project progress



 Surface analysis cluster node (NREL) has performed XPS analysis for the baseline Perovskite oxide-S (La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}CoO₃)



Relative elemental quantification using tabulated sensitivity factors for the La 4p, Sr 3d and Co 3p core levels produced an elemental ratio between these three elements of 50% - 18% - 32%. This indicates composition near surface of the catalyst is different from nominal bulk composition.



Collaboration: Effectiveness

• **DFT and Ab initio calculations node** (LBNL): Preliminary calculations indicates that the BTMA⁺ binding on the IrO₂ surface is much stronger than the La_{1- δ}Sr_{δ}CoO₃ surface. Thus, it can imply that the BTMA⁺ binds on the IrO₂ surface, but not on the La_{1- δ}Sr_{δ}CoO₃ surface.



The binding configurations of the IrO-terminated surface with BTMA (left panels) and the K atom (right panels)



The binding configuration of the BTMA molecule on the $La_{1-\delta}Sr_{\delta}CoO_{3}$ (100) surface (left), and the K on the $La_{1-\delta}Sr_{\delta}CoO_{3}$ (100) surface (right).

 Interactions with the broader HydroGEN research community: (1) We will present this result at the "Oxygen or Hydrogen Evolution Catalysis for Water Electrolysis Session" at the 233rd ECS meeting; (2) We are interacting with benchmarking/protocols project team and submitted some inputs to the team; (3) We are incorporating project data in the HydroGEN data hub (<u>https://datahub.h2awam.org</u>) to exchange results and discuss research direction.





In the subsequent phases of this seeding project :

- ✓ Other organic alkaline electrolytes, *e. g.*, TMAOH, will be chosen for RDE OER database construction with PGM-free catalysts. The results will be used in fundamental alkaline OER/HER study, as well as for AEM water electrolyzer catalyst/ionomer design
- ✓ Understanding phenomena at the interface between PGM-free catalyst and anion exchange ionomer using diverse analysis tools, including *in situ* x-ray absorption spectroscopy in conjunction with Surface Analysis Cluster Node and DFT and Ab Initio Calculations Node
- ✓ AEM water electrolyzer tests will be performed in NREL, LANL, PPC with selected catalysts based on the electrochemical RDE tests
- ✓ Pajarito Power will scale up the synthesis of PGM-free catalyst to 25 g/batch
- ✓ The estimated budget for the subsequent phases: 0.75 M





- A big progress in activity and durability of carbon-free perovskite OER catalysts compared to baseline perovskite oxide-S has been achieved in both KOH and BTMAOH
- **Carbon-free** perovskite oxide OER catalysts exhibit significantly higher activity and durability in BTMAOH than in KOH
- Very promising initial AEM water electrolysis results have been demonstarted with the baseline OER catalyst (perovskite oxide-S) in collaboration with SNL (membrane) and NREL (MEA fabrication and electrolyzer test)
- Patent on **carbon-free** perovskite oxides is in preparation

Presentation

 This work will be present at the 233rd ECS Meeting: "Carbon-Free Perovskite Oxide Oxygen Evolution Reaction Catalysts for AEM Electrolyzer" on May 16th, 2018.





Technical Back-Up Slides





Baseline Perovskite Oxide-S: (La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15})CoO_{3-δ}



- · Perovskite oxide-S showing OER activity by itself
- Addition of carbon (acetylene black, AB) results in current density enhancement, pointing to the effect higher electronic conductivity has on performance





OER Catalyst Test Conditions in 0.1 M KOH



- Electrolytes saturated with N₂, not O₂, to correctly measure catalyst activity
- Current density values averaged over the forward and backward scans



OER activity and durability of carbon-free perovskite catalysts in 0.1 M KOH

RDE: catalyst loading: 800 µg cm⁻²; 0.1 M KOH; 1600 rpm; room temperature; Hg/HgO (1.0 M KOH) reference electrode; LSV 10 mV/s; N₂-saturated solution;



Perovskite oxide-C and -E demonstrate promising activity and durability



OER activity and durability of carbon-free perovskite catalysts in 0.1 M BTMAOH

RDE: catalyst loading: 800 µg cm⁻²; 0.1 M BTMAOH; 1600 rpm; room temperature; Hg/HgO (1.0 M KOH) reference electrode; LSV 10 mV/s; N₂-saturated solution;



- Perovskite oxide catalysts showing higher OER activity and better durability in BTMAOH than in KOH
- IrO₂ exhibiting much lower OER activity in BTMAOH than in KOH

