

## Extended Surface Electrocatalyst Development

Co-PIs Shaun Alia (presenting), Bryan Pivovar National Renewable Energy Laboratory April 29, 2019

DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program 2018 Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation Meeting

Project ID #FC142

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information.

## Overview

### **Timeline and Budget**

- Project start: December 2015
- Project end: March 2019
- % complete: 95%
- Total project budget: \$ 3399k
  - Total recipient share: \$ 399k
  - Total DOE share: \$ 3000k
- DOE Budget plan
  - FY 2016 \$ 1000k
  - FY 2017 \$ 1000k
  - FY 2018 \$ 1000k

### Barriers

- Durability
- Cost
- Performance

### Partners

- Colorado School of Mines (CSM) Svitlana Pylypenko
- University of Delaware (Delaware) Yushan Yan\*
- University of Colorado Boulder (CU) Al Weimer
- ALD Nanosolutions (ALDN) Karen Buechler

\*through 3/1/17

## Relevance

#### Review Period Objectives:

 Pt catalysis remains a primary limitation for fuel cells.
We have pursued synthesis of novel <u>extended thin film</u> <u>electrocatalyst structures</u> (ETFECS) for improved cost, performance, and durability.

• Incorporation of ETFECS to meet DOE MEAs targets for fuel cell performance and durability.

Table 3.4.13 Technical Targets: Electrocatalysts for Transportation Applications							
Characteria tia		Unito	2011 Status	Targets			
	Characteristic	Units	ZUTT Status	2017	2020		
/	Platinum group metal total content (both electrodes) <sup>a</sup>	g / kW (rated)	0.19 <sup>b</sup>	0.125	0.125		
	Platinum group metal (pgm) total loading <sup>a</sup>	mg PGM / cm <sup>2</sup> electrode area	0.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.125	0.125		
	Loss in initial catalytic activity <sup>c</sup>	% mass activity loss	48 <sup>b</sup>	<40	<40		
	Electro catalyst support stability <sup>d</sup>	% mass activity loss	<10 <sup>b</sup>	<10	<10		
<	Mass activity <sup>e</sup>	A / mg Pt @ 900 mV <sub>iR-free</sub>	0.24 <sup>b</sup>	0.44	0.44		

\* PGM content and loading targets may have to be lower to achieve system cost targets.

- M. Debe, U.S. Department of Energy Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program 2011 Annual Merit Review Proceedings, May, 2011, (http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review11/fc001\_debe\_2011\_o.pdf)
- <sup>c</sup> Durability measured in a 25-50 cm<sup>2</sup> MEA during triangle sweep cycles at 50 mV/s between 0.6 V and 1.0 V at 80°C, atmospheric pressure, 100% relative humidity, H<sub>2</sub> at 200 sccm and N<sub>2</sub> at 75 sccm for a 50 cm<sup>2</sup> cell. Based on U.S. DRIVE Fuel Cell Tech Team Cell Component Accelerated Stress Test and Polarization Curve Protocols (<u>http://www.uscar.org/commands/files\_download.php?files\_id=267</u>), Electrocatalyst Cycle and Metrics (Table 1). Activity loss is based on loss of mass activity, using initial catalyst mass, at end of test.
- <sup>d</sup> Durability measured in a 25-50 cm<sup>2</sup> MEA during a hold at 1.2 V in H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> at 80°C, 150 kPa absolute, 100% relative humidity. Based on U.S. DRIVE Fuel Cell Tech Team Cell Component Accelerated Stress Test and Polarization Curve Protocols (<u>http://www.uscar.org/commands/files\_download.php?files\_id=267</u>), Catalyst Support Cycle and Metrics (Table 2). Activity loss is based on loss of mass activity, using initial catalyst mass, at end of test.
- <sup>e</sup> Test at 80°C H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> in MEA; fully humidified with total outlet pressure of 150 KPa; anode stoichiometry 2; cathode stoichiometry 9.5 (as per Gasteiger et al. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 56 (2005) 9-35).

## Approach

#### Catalyst and Membrane Electrode Assembly Development



### Approach Project Schedule/Milestones

Qtr	Due Date	Туре	Milestones, Deliverables, or Go/No Go Decision	Туре	Status
Q3	6/30/2018	Regular	Quantify the non-Fickian O <sub>2</sub> transport resistance of at least 3 unique electrodes containing PtNiNW electrocatalysts, a key metric for achieving high performance at low loading.	Quarterly Progress Measure	Met
Q1	12/31/2018	Regular	Demonstrate synthesis of at least 5 batches of PtNiNW samples by ALD synthesis at quantities of 10g or greater.	Quarterly Progress Measure	Met
Q2	3/31/2019	Regular	Demonstrate MEA performance that exceed the DOE 2020 mass activity target (440 mA/mgPt) for ALD batch sizes greater than 10g.	Annual Milestone	Met

### Approach

#### ALD Synthesis of High Activity Platinum Nickel Nanowires (2018)



- H<sub>2</sub> annealing key to increasing alloying/specific activity.
- Reasonably high surface areas obtained (40+ m<sup>2</sup>/g)
- Acid leaching removes excess Ni (poisoning concern)

Acid leaching studies: ALD compared to SGD



Effect of Alloying on Acid Leached Nanowires



S.M. Alia, C. Ngo, S. Shulda, M.-A. Ha, A.A. Dameron, J. Nelson Weker, K.C. Neyerlin, S.S. Kocha, S. Pylypenko, B.S. Pivovar, *ACS Omega* **2017** 2 (4), 1408-1418.

- Key differences noted between SGD, ALD synthesis and performance
- Lost ALD activity from acid leaching can be regained by reannealing



### Accomplishments and Progress ALD Synthesis (CU) with co deposition of Pt and Ni (2018)

 Established Pt ALD route has produced catalysts of reasonable activity, but has been limited because H<sub>2</sub> annealing step required for Ni incorporation into Pt lattice. Lack of control over Ni in Pt shell (ECA and specific activity limitations).



- Co-deposition of Pt and Ni by ALD potentially allows independent control over Ni content and more homogeneous composition.
- Co NWs employed to allow independent compositional analysis of Ni and Pt.

Pt

Ni

Co

ALD Synthesis with co deposition of Pt and Ni (CU)

Schematic of bimetallic ALD process:



Characterization of nanowires made by ALD co deposition of Pt and Ni



ALD (ALDN) Scale up of catalyst synthesis

Vacuum Rotating Drum Reactors

Fluidized Bed Reactors





10-200 g Research FBR

1-10 kg Pilot FBR

5-50 g Research Rotary Drum

1-50 kg Pilot Rotary Blender

250 200 150 100 50 0 PA199a A PA200a A PA201a A PA241a A PA242a A PA243a A



- Research FBR used to synthesize 15g catalyst batches.
- Show reasonable RDE activity and pursing acid leaching, MEA testing.
- Additional nanowire order has been ordered to continue large scale synthesis and testing.

Project mid point go/no go milestone (2018)

Go/No-go: Demonstrate a mass activity of >440 mA/mg<sub>Pt</sub> at 0.9V (DOE 2020 Target) in fuel cell tests while also meeting at least one of FCTO's MEA durability targets



- Mass activity improvement from 2017 AMR, now meets DOE mass activity target.
- Activity trend with ALD O<sub>2</sub> concentration consistent between RDE and MEA.



#### **Durability Testing**

Protocol: Triangle sweep cycle, 5000 cycles, 500 mV/s, 1.0-1.5 V Target: <40% loss in initial catalytic activity



 $i_m^{0.9V}$  > 440 mA/mg



DOE Durability Target



\*following voltage recovery

Accomplished FY19 Annual Milestone

Demonstrate MEA performance that exceed the DOE 2020 mass activity target (440 mA/mg<sub>Pt</sub>) for ALD batch sizes greater than 10 g.



Incorporation of Carbon Blacks

- Ketjenblack improved high-current-density performance (2018)
- Carbon types incorporated into large-batch ALD MEAs to study effect: Ketjenblack (KB), Vulcan XC72 (Vu), Graphitized Carbon Nanofibers (GCNF)
- In-situ performance suggests carbon shape may be important for electrode structure
- Evaluating alternative fabrication process, coating Pt-Ni, C/ionomer separately





Pt-Ni ALD MEA (small scale batch). Wires appear most distributed in 2:1 ratio (best performer). Working toward 3D reconstructions.



**Evaluation of Nickel Leaching** 



- Low magnification STEM images and EDS maps show small amounts of Ni in membrane
- Higher magnification of PtNi nanowires show Pt and Ni in catalyst layer

Element	[norm. wt.%]	[norm. at.%]
Fluorine	96.20	97.81
Sulfur	3.03	1.82
Potassium	0.71	0.35
Nickel	0.06	0.02

0.02 at% Ni in membrane Trace K contaminant

Large batch catalyst MEAs similar to small batch MEA

 Large-batch ALD synthesis/scalable coating MEAs result in similar performance small-batch ALD synthesis/spray-coating MEAs



Batch Size	Carbon Type	<i>i<sub>m</sub><sup>0.9V</sup></i> [mA/mg <sub>Pt</sub> ]	ECSA [m²/g <sub>Pt</sub> ]
0.5 g	Ketjen	507	22.6
10 g	Ketjen	469	30.8
10 g	Vulcan	463	35.5

PtNi:C – 2:1 (w/w)

Refinements in ALD synthesis and coating procedure likely to improve performance of scalable MEAs



### Accomplishments and Progress Responses to Previous Year (2018 AMR) Reviewer's Comments

- Reviewer Comment: One primary challenge is that it is unclear whether the ETFECS structure with the hollow core (after dealloying) will be stable against electrochemical cycling, which does not appear to have been assessed to any significant extent. Another aspect of concern is that there appears to be relatively little MEA testing with exposure to hydrogen/air with state-of-the-art components (e.g., thin polymer electrolyte membrane [PEMs]); this testing process is critical for assessing impacts of residual transition metals.
- Response: Multiple reviewers noted that durability was an issue that hadn't yet been probed in detail. We have previously demonstrated that we were able to meet the stop-start durability target and meet the project mid-point go/no-go decision. We have focused remaining project resources in obtaining higher performing MEAs prior to probing durability.
- Reviewer Comment: The team needs to come up with a verified process, such as the acid-leaching step, to make the MEA fabrication process viable. It is well known in the PEM technical community that any leftover Ni in the electrode will quickly destroy the membrane, significantly decrease cell performance, and affect durability. This project does not define a method for verifying that Ni does not and will not leach out of this catalyst.
- Response: We have focused on MEA testing of materials approximately 70 wt. % Pt or higher to improve the viability of MEA fabrication and durability. We have verified low Ni leaching rates with ICP-MS in half-cell testing and found significantly less Ni dissolution compared to unleaded materials.
- Reviewer Comment: Performance variations are very high for both types of testing, suggesting that significant additional efforts on optimization of both the catalyst and the catalyst layer will be required.
- Response: We have shifted focus to larger scale batches (10 g) for testing to mitigate variability performance variability.

## Collaborations

Institutions	Role
National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL): Bryan Pivovar (co-PI), Shaun Alia (co-PI), KC Neyerlin, Katie Hurst, Jason Zack, Scott Mauger, Ahmad Mayyas	Prime, Oversees the project, lead catalyst synthesis and characterization; lead electrode fabrication and fuel cell testing; techno- economic analysis
University of Delaware (Delaware): Yushan Yan, Jarrid Wittkopf	Sub; Support work in providing Ni nanostructures
Colorado School of Mines (CSM): Svitlana Pylypenko, Sarah Zaccarine, Chilan Ngo, Samantha Medina	Sub; Materials characterization using spectroscopy and microscopy
University of Colorado-Boulder (CUB): Al Weimer, Will Medlin, Wilson McNeary	Sub; ALD synthesis including both Pt and Ni using both oxidative and reductive chemistry
ALD Nanosolutions (ALDN): Karen Buechler, Joe Spencer	Sub; ALD consultation, scale up and business- case analysis

Beam time at SLAC (Johanna Nelson Weker) Mai-Anh Ha (UCLA) Office of Science SCSGR awardee Shawn Litster (Carnegie Mellon)

## Remaining Challenges/ Proposed Future Work

### Electrocatalysts:

ALD – Optimization of scale-up batches at 10 g batch size and beyond.

Pt/Ni ALD co-deposition

Post-processing optimization (annealing and acid leaching) Characterization and optimization (electrochemical and structural studies)

### MEA Fabrication and Optimization:

Optimization of electrode structure/performance using ALD materials. Isolation and minimization of overpotential losses in MEA electrodes. Evaluation and minimization of local oxygen transport and proton transport resistances to improve high current density performance. Durability studies to quantify and minimize performance losses.

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels

## **Technology Transfer Activities**

### **Intellectual Property**

Nanowires have been IP protected. Continual development of additional IP.

### Industrial Interactions:

ALD NanoSolutions as an appropriate industrial partner for synthesis due to the importance of ALD reactions and reactors. Small business interactions involving NWs for related applications have included. Small Business Voucher program: Oorja; SBIR program: Giner, pH Matter – pH Matter is getting trained to synthesize NWs and has been approached about licensing options. Large business interactions: Includes OEMs and component suppliers.

## Summary

- **<u>Relevance</u>**: Focused on overcoming the cost, performance and durability barriers for fuel cell commercialization by increasing Pt mass activity and durability.
- **Approach**: Developing durable, high mass activity extended surface Pt catalysts, and optimize MEA performance/durability for these materials.
- Accomplishments and Progress: The project has demonstrated the ability to achieve high performance of ALD synthesized PtNi NWs in reasonable scale (up to 10g batches) and reproducibility. Pt/Ni co-deposition by ALD has been demonstrated. Post-treatment has allowed significant gains in performance and removed Ni leaching concerns. MEAs now demonstrate mass activity above DOE targets 440 mA/mg<sub>Pt</sub>. MEA optimization has shown potential to improve high current density performance.
- **Collaborations:** We have a diverse team of researchers including 3 universities, and an industrial participant.
- **Proposed Future Research:** See previous slide.

## **Technical Back-Up Slides**

### Accomplishments and Progress ALD compared to SGD (Pt on Ni NWs)

SGD	Bond	N	R(Å)	σ <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup>	R-Factor
As synth	Pt-Pt	12	2.74	7.97	0.016
∖ H <sub>2</sub> 250°C	Pt-Pt	5.7	2.69	7.2	0.001
	Pt-Ni	5.7	2.56	5.4	0.001
- H <sub>2</sub> 400°C	Pt-Ni	10.4	2.55	4.7	0.005

ALD	Bond	N	R(Å)	$\sigma^2 x 10^3$	R-Factor
As synth	Pt-Pt	11.2	2.74	6.5	0.011
H <sub>2</sub> 250°C	Pt-Ni	10.5	2.57	6.8	0.008

- SGD samples require higher annealing temp (400°C) to form full PtNi alloy.
  - ALD wires are easier to alloy (lower T).
    - Visible in homogeneous coating on wire.



100 nm

Platinum nickel Alloying with Cobalt Template



Incorporated Carbon to Improve Mass Transport Constant I:C



*This work is progressing towards 3D reconstructions.* 

**Platinum Nickel and Nafion interactions** 



- Consistent observations of correlation between metal (Pt and Ni) maps with S map.
- Correlations between S and Ni are stronger than for Pt.
- Need alternative electrode fabrication process: PtNi NWs, C+ionomer deposited separately.

Acid leaching studies: electrodes



1M  $H_2SO_4$  at 80  $^{\circ}C$ 



- Acid leaching preferentially removes bulk Ni
- But alloyed Ni is also removed
- Motivates further studies of acid leaching

	Ν	R(Å)	
Pt-Pt	7.5	2.70	
Pt-Ni	2.2	2.60	

Note: these are SGD samples.

Preliminary Techno economic Analysis MeCpPtMe3 Precursor



Although MeCpPtMe<sub>3</sub> Precursor is currently high cost (~4x Pt), at even modest production volume (100's of kg/yr), we project cost to only be a few % higher than Pt cost.



Preliminary Techno economic Analysis ALD Reactors/Processing

### **Estimated Production Costs: Rotary Blender Reactor (ALDN)**

Production Rate	1	10	100	Tons/yr
Reactor Size	2	9	20	ft <sup>3</sup>
Required MeCpMe <sub>3</sub> Pt	1750	17500	175000	Kg/yr
Required H <sub>2</sub>	44	441	4410	Kg/yr
Production Cost (excluding MeCpMe <sub>3</sub> Pt)	665	623	618	\$/kg Product

ALD reactor costs and post-processing costs (annealing and acid leaching) are projected to be low as well. Pt would be used very efficiently and recycled in this operation at scale.

Resulting catalyst processing cost would be >10% than the cost of Pt.