2019 U.S. DOE HYDROGEN and FUEL CELLS PROGRAM and VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE ANNUAL MERIT REVIEW and PEER EVALUATION MEETING, April 29-May 1, 2019

**Project ID: FC146** 

1

# Advanced Materials for Fully-Integrated MEAs in AEMFCs

# PI: Yu Seung Kim

Los Alamos National Laboratory

May 1, 2019

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or other wise restricted information



# **Overview**

#### Timeline

- Project start date: 11/2/2015
- Project end date: 9/30/2019
- Percent complete: 98%

### Budget

- Total project funding: \$3,560K
  DOE share: 98%
  - Contractor share: 2%
- Funding received in \$500K
  FY19:
- Total DOE Funds Spent\*: \$3,540K
  \*As of 3/03/2018

#### Barriers

- B. Cost
- C. Electrode performance
- A. Durability

#### **Project lead**

 Los Alamos National Laboratory Yu Seung Kim (PI), Sandip Maurya, Eun Joo Park, Dongguo Li, Albert Sung Soo Lee

#### **Partners**

Sandia National Laboratories
 Cy Fujimoto, Michael Hibbs,
 Ehren Donel Baca



 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Chulsung Bae, Jongyeob Jeon

💿 Rensselaer

 University of New Mexico Ivana Matanovic





# Relevance

#### **Objective**

 Development of improved AEMs, ionomeric binders and integration of catalysts and AEMs into high-performance MEAs.

#### **Technical Target**

	Units	LANL Baseline (2015)	DOE Target <sup>1</sup> (4Q, 2017)	Current Status (2Q, 2019)
ASR	$\Omega/cm^2$	0.2	≤ 0.1	0.05
AEMFC Performance	mA/cm <sup>2</sup> at 0.6 V	420 (382 kPa) <sup>1</sup>	600 (≤ 152 kPa) atm)	950 (78 kPa)
AEM Durability	ASR after 500 h run at 600 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	0.8 after 300 h run at 0.3 V	< 0.1	0.05
AEMFC Durability	% V loss after 2000 h at 0.6 A/cm <sup>2</sup> at ≥ 60°C	60% after 300 h run at 0.3 V at 60°C <sup>3</sup>	< 10%	12.5% V loss at 0.6 A/cm <sup>2</sup> after 500 h at 80 °C



<sup>1</sup>From FCTO MYRDD Plan

# Approach

Research Focus	Major Founding	Results		
<i>AEM</i> <b>Stability</b> (2016)	Polymer backbone degradation <sup>1</sup>	Aryl ether-free polyaromatics: Demonstrated > 2500 h $stability in 4 M NaOH 80 ^{\circ}$		
<i>AEMFC</i> <b>Performance</b> (2017-2019)	Phenyl group adsorption <sup>2</sup> Cation-hydroxide-water co-adsorption <sup>3</sup>	Poly(fluorene) ionomers <sup>4</sup> : Diethyl ammonium ionomers: Demonstrated 1.5 W/cm <sup>2</sup> peak power density		
<i>AEMFC</i> <b>Durability</b> (2019)	Oxidation of phenyl group (in the cathode ionomer)	In progress		

<sup>1</sup>Fujimoto et al. *J. Memb. Sci.* 423-424, 438-449, 2012 <sup>2</sup>Matanovic et al. *J. Phy. Chem. Let.* 8, 4918-4924, 2017 <sup>3</sup>Li et al. *Cur. Opinion in Electrochem.*, 12, 189-195, 2018 <sup>4</sup>S. Murya, S. *Energy & Environ. Sci.* 11, 3283-3291, 2019



# Milestone & go-no-go decision

Date	Milestone & Go-No-Go	Status (%)	Result	Comments	
Mar. 2016	Down-select AEM	100 (Feb. 2016)	Polyphenylenes	Poly(terphenylene) (RPI) & Diels Alder poly(phenylene) (SNL)	
				Delayed due to HOR study	
Mar. 2017 <b>(Go-No Go)</b>	In-situ AEM ASR $\leq 0.1 \Omega$ cm <sup>2</sup> for 500 h at 600 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	100 (Mar 2018)	0.05 $\Omega$ cm <sup>2</sup>	Completed <i>ex-situ</i> test on Dec. 2016;	
SEP. 2017	Integrate MEAs; Peak power density > 0.6 W/cm <sup>2</sup>		1 W/cm <sup>2</sup>	Best AEMFC performance using polyaromatic ionomer by the time	
Dec. 2017	Down-select HOR catalyst		PtRu/C	Least phenyl group adsorbing catalyst	
Mar. 2018				Best AEMFC performance using polyaromatic ionomer	
		50			
Feb. 2019	Complete AEM degradation mechanism		0% for 1000 h, 4 M NaOH, 80 °C.	Completed 11,000 h test Completed AST at 120 & 160 °C	
Sep. 2019				On going	

Red: delayed; Blue: exceed milestone criteria



## Alkaline stability of the poly(phenylene) AEMs



AEM stability test in 0.5 or 4 M NaOH at 80 °C. Conductivity measured at 80 °C, 95% RH

- Identified the cause of conductivity loss at the early stage of the stability test: **crosslinking of unreacted bromoalkyl group;** No other chemical degradation observed during this stage (*see Technical Backup slide 1*).
- After removing the unreacted bromoalkyl group, we obtained poly(phenylene) AEMs without conductivity & structural changes after 4 M NaOH at 80°C to 1,000 h. (*Technical Backup slide 1*).
- Highlight: Known most-alkaline stable AEM (stable in 80 °C, 4 M NaOH to 2,500 h).





#### AST evaluation on alkaline stability of the poly(phenylene) AEM



- Chemical degradation routes of HTMA DAPP are different, depending on testing conditions.
  - 4 M NaOH at 80 °C (2,500 h): S<sub>N</sub>2 followed by Williamson ether synthesis (crosslinking)
  - 4 M NaOH at 80 °C (2,500 -11,000 h): Hofmann elimination (E<sub>2</sub> elimination)
  - 8 M NaOH at 120 °C (2 h): Crosslinking + E<sub>2</sub> elimination
  - 8 M NaOH at 160 °C (72 h): S<sub>N</sub>2 on alkyl phenyl group (See details on Technical Backup Slide 2).
- Note: AEM degradation during the ASTs may not fully reflect the degradation at a mild testing conditions.





#### Developing preparation route for complete quaternization

Crosslinking reaction occurs because trimethyl amine in water is in equilibrium with amine and ammonium hydroxide:  $NMe_3 + H_2O \longrightarrow HNMe_3^+ + OH^ K_b = 6.3 \times 10^{-5}$ 







#### Impact of phenyl adsorption on AEMFC performance -Complete the study



- Density functional theory calculated the phenyl group adsorption on Pt(111) surface using optPBE-vdW.
- Fluorene has the lowest phenyl group adsorption and *p*-terphenyl has the highest phenyl group adsorption.
- The adsorption energy of ionomer backbone is well correlated with the  $H_2/O_2$  AEMFC performance. (*Technical Backup slide 3*)







## Identify performance limiting factor: Cation co-adsorption



- The low limiting current in the HOR voltammogram cannot be explained by low HOR activity of Pt in alkaline solution.
- We identified that the reason of low H<sub>2</sub> kinetics and low H<sub>2</sub> diffusion access is due to cation-hydroxide-water co-adsorption by surface FTIR.
- Surface FTIR shows that the co-adsorption is cumulative; also the spectrum at the high wave number indicates that the concentration of TMAOH may be high to split water and hydroxide peaks (3,500 and 2,800 cm<sup>1</sup>)



### Characterization of the co-adsorbed layer (neutron reflectometry)

# Test set up for neutron reflectometry electrochemical cell\*



performed at NIST Neutron Center.

Los Alamos

Schematic illustration of co-adsorbed layer structure on Pt after 12 h exposure at 0.1 V vs. RHE



- NR experiments confirmed the cation-hydroxide-water coadsorption on Pt at the HOR potential, ca. 0.1 V vs. RHE.
- The co-adsorbed layer thickness increases with time. The thickness after 12 h exposure the electrode at 0.1 V is 22.7 Å (see further information on Technical Backup slide #4).
- The concentration of the TMAOH in the co-adsorbed layer calculated from scattering length density is extremely high; the ratio of TMAOH to water is 5:1 (previously reported the highest TMAOH to water ratio in the aqueous solution is 1:1).

#### Development of an HOR ionomers that minimize the cation adsorption



- RDE data suggests that using bulky cations is beneficial to minimize the cation-hydroxide-water co-adsorption. Tetraethylammonium (TEAOH) also showed less adsorbed character (see *in Technical Backup slide #4*).
- LANL synthesized an ionomer with alkyltriethyl ammonium cationic group (TEA-BPN) via acid catalyzed reaction (see details in Technical Backup slide #5).
- Highlight: Reached to 1.55 W/cm<sup>2</sup> peak power density using TEA functionalized ionomer.



#### Identify the durability limiting-factor from AEMFC life test



- LANL has performance multiple life tests using down-selected AEMs and ionomers at 80 C. (Total life test duration > 3,000 h)
- The lifetime of AEMFC was determined by various factors such as MEA processing conditions, HOR and ORR catalyst types, gas diffusion layers etc.
- The AEMFC lifetime tested under constant current mode decreases as the test current density decreases.

Note: The lower life time with low constant current density condition suggests the AEMFC durability may be predominantly limited by cathode ionomer degradation.



#### Phenyl oxidation on Pt at 1.0 V vs. RHE (RDE study)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of 0.5 M benzyltrimethyl ammonium hydroxide (BTMAOH) solution after the chronoamperometry of Pt at 1.0 V vs. RHE.



Los Alamos

## Aryl proton oxidation conversion as a function of cell potential



- <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis shows that the phenyl group adsorption occurs on the ORR catalyst surface at ORR potentials.
- Note: The formation of phenol is detrimental because it reduces the hydroxide conductivity of ionomer and catalytic activity by lowering local pH.

14

#### Responses to previous year reviewers' comments

 The high performance loss after 500 hours steady-state is very concerning, especially with no specific ideas provided to address the degradation... The top priority should be to identify the durability-limiting factor(s).

For the first three years, we have focused on improving AEM stability, developing ionomer and increasing fuel cell performance. Durability study has started from the third year of the project. In the last year, we found that the phenyl oxidation at ORR current is the primary performance loss mechanism. We first demonstrated this performance-limiting factor in this presentation.

The project did not have enough data and discussion on low PGM, non-PGM catalysts and CO<sub>2</sub> issue. This project is primarily limited to polymer-development progress that is necessary but not sufficient.

This is because we have negotiated the scope of work focusing on AEM & ionomer development and testing at  $H_2/O_2$  conditions. As we have reasonably high fuel cell performance with relatively high loading PGM catalyst at  $H_2/O_2$ , those important subjects need to be continued in the following project. If we have an excellent performance with PGM catalysts, there is a high possibility that the fuel cell performance with non-PGM catalysts is also good (see example in a recent publication in *Angewandte Chemie*, 58, 1046, 2018 by William Mustain et al.) We believe that the negative impact of the  $CO_2$  issue may also be mitigated to a certain degree.



# **Collaboration and Partners**

#### **Partners**











XERGY

**cccc** 

- AEMFC performance evaluation under H<sub>2</sub>/air conditions. Obtained 1 W/cm<sup>2</sup> power density using our down-selected membrane.
- AEMs developed from this project (RPI) was sent to XERGY for commercialization. The AEMs will be available from Aldrich by the end of this year.
- lonomers were sent to Dr. Kusoglu for thin film study.



MEAs produced from LANL was sent to Professor William Mustain to evaluate the AEMFC performance.











Received Pd based anode catalyst from Dr. Dekel for HOR study.

HE UNIVERSITY OF

- Sent AEM samples to Prof. Kreuer to evaluate alkaline stability.
- Exchanged AEM matrials between NREL and LANL for evaluation.
  - Ni based non-PGM HOR catalysts were received.
- For cation group adsorption study, we used neutron facility at NIST two times (FY 18).



# **Proposed future work**

Within the project (ending September 30, 2019)

- Verify the AEMFC degradation mechanism (phenyl oxidation) in the *in-situ* durability measurement.
- Identify other unknown possible degradation mechanisms.

After the project

- Develop ionomers stability under electrochemical oxidative conditions.
- Demonstrate > 2,000 h AEMFC durability.
- Develop non-PGM HOR and ORR catalysts.
- Mitigation strategy of carbonation problem.

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels.



# **Remaining challenges and barriers**

#### Outside the project

- AEMFC performance
  - Development of PGM-free HOR catalysts
  - Development of PGM-free ORR catalysts
  - Development of ionomers with bulky cations for anode electrode
  - Development of ionomers with less phenyl group
- AEMFC durability
  - Development alkaline ionomeric binders under electrochemically oxidative conditions.
  - Material and engineering approach to mitigate carbonation problems.
  - Optimized MEA fabrications.



# **Technology transfer activities**

- **RPI:** Established a joint venture to produce large scale production of AEM and ionomers.
- **SNL:** CRADA with an industrial partner.
- **LANL:** Participated in a SBIR program to license AEM & ionomer technology.
- FY 2018 patent and patent applications
- SNL & LANL: "Poly(phenylene)-based anion exchange polymers and methods thereof. US Patent Appl. 16/039,158 (2019)"
- LANL: "Polymer electrolytes for alkaline membrane fuel cells" S133606 (2018)



## **Summary**

- **Objective:** Development of improved AEMs, ionomeric binders and integration of catalysts and membranes into high-performance MEAs.
- **Relevance:** Aiming to make AEMFC system competitive to PEMFCs in terms of performance and durability. Identifying performance barrier and degradation mechanism of AEMFCs.
- Approach:Preparing aryl ether-free polyaromatic AEMs (Year 1). Developing high-<br/>performing ionomers with minimum interaction with catalysts (Year 2).<br/>Demonstrating AEMFC performance of fully-integrated MEAs from<br/>materials produced from this project (Year 3). Investigating the durability<br/>limiting factor of AEMFC (Year 4 project extension).
- Accomplishments (FY 18) Completed to alkaline stability study of AEMs (no chemical degradation for > 1,000 h in 4 M NaOH at 80 °C). Completed the phenyl adsorption study to show gradual AEMFCE performance increase up to 1.5 W/cm<sup>2</sup> peak power density. Elucidated the cation-hydroxide-water co-adsorbed layer by Neutron reflectometry and developed triethyl ammonium functionalized ionomer that allows the AEMFC performance up to 1.5 W/cm<sup>2</sup> peak power density. Identify the possible durability-limiting factor (phenyl oxidation) for the first time (need to complete this study during the rest of the project).

# **Collaborations:** Collaborations with academia, industry and other national labs. In most cases, our team has provided AEMs and ionomers to the collaborators or has obtained potential catalyst materials for MEA testing.

# **Technical Back-Up Slides**



## Alkaline stability of the poly(phenylene) AEM

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of (a) DAPP, (b) DAPP after the alkaline treatment in 4 M NaOH at 80 °C for 500 h, and (c) DAPP after the alkaline treatment in 4 M NaOH at 80 °C for 1000 h in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>.

Compared the hydroxide conductivity of uncrosslinked sample (DAPP) and precrosslinked at 120 and 160 °C after alkaline stability test in 4 M NaOH at 80 °C.



- <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra show that no chemical degradation occurred except the crosslinking reaction during the alkaline stability test in 4 M NaOH, 80 °C for 1000 h.
- The pre-crosslinked DAPP AEM showed no conductivity loss for at least 1,000 h stability test at 4 M NaOH at 80 °C.



#### Various degradation routes for quaternized polyphenylene AEMs

#### **Crosslinking reaction**

The reaction route describing the crosslinking reaction between unreacted alkyl bromides via substitution followed by Williamson ether synthesis.

This reaction is hard to detect by spectroscopic method and titration but obvious with solubility and water uptake change without IEC change.



#### E<sub>2</sub> elimination

The degradation route of DAPP via  $\beta$ -elimination at low temperature of 80 °C at 4 M NaOH for >11,000 h, occurs after crosslinking of the membrane.

This reaction is detected by v(C=C) peak evolution at 1630, and 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



#### S<sub>N</sub>2 aldehyde formation

The degradation route of DAPP via backbone degradation and formation of aromatic aldehyde after the exposure towards extreme accelerated thermal stress for 72 h, likely occurs after crosslinking and cation degradation of the membrane.



This reaction is detected by  $\upsilon$ (C-H) peak evolution from aldehyde at 2750-2800 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Also  $\upsilon$ (C=O) peak evolution at 1680 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

• We have observed at least three different chemical structure changes depending on alkaline stability test conditions.





#### **Correlation between phenyl adsorption and AEMFC peak power density**

DFT optimized geometries of ionomer backbone fragments on the Pt(111) surface.



#### Terphenyl





Los Alamos









0.02

Correlation between phenyl adsorption (DFT results) and AEMFC performance (experimental)



- UNM calculated the adsorption energy on Pt(111) and Pt-Ru(111) using various ionomer backbone fragments.
- LANL tested AEMFC performance to show the correlation between the phenyl group adsorption energy and AEMFC peak power density.

Note: The phenyl adsorption energy of the ionomer backbone is probably one of the most critical design parameters.



#### Impact of cell potential and type of cations on cation co-adsorption (NR)

The impact of cell potential on tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide (TMAOH) adsorption on Pt surface.

Comparison of co-adsorption layer thickness between TMAOH and tetraethylammonium hydroxide (TEAOH).



- The neutron reflectometry (NR) data show that cation-hydroxide-water co-adsorption is cumulative and potential dependent.
- Significant cation adsorption occurs at a low potential, ca. 0.1 V vs. RHE; minimal adsorption was observed at 1.0 V or 1.4 V.
- The co-adsorbed layer thickness of TEA-hydroxide-water was much less compared to that of TMAhydroxide-water.



#### Synthesis and chracterization of TEA-BPN



Solubility (OH <sup>-</sup> form)	H <sub>2</sub> O	МеОН	EtOH	<i>i</i> -PrOH	EtOH: <i>i-</i> PrOH (1:1)	Ethylene glycol	THF	DMSO
	_	+△	+△	±	+∆	+△	-	± (gelled)

+: soluble at rt; -: insoluble; ±: partially soluble/swollen; +A: soluble when heated and sonicated

