Durable High Power Membrane Electrode Assembly with Low Pt Loading

Swami Kumaraguru (PI)

General Motors, Fuel Cell Business

April 30th 2019











This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Overview

Timeline

- Project start date: 1st Jan 2017
- Project end date: 31st April 2020
- Percent complete: <55%

Budget

- Total Project Budget: \$ 3,201,476
- Total Recipient Share: \$ 640,295 (20%)
- Total Federal Share: \$2,561,181
- Total Funds Spent*: \$962,174
 - \$769,739 (Fed Share)
 - \$192,435 (Cost Share)

*as of 12/31/2018





Barriers

- B. Cost
 - Decrease amount of precious metals.
- A. Durability
 - Reduce degradation via operating conditions
- C. Performance
 - Achieve and maintain high current densities at acceptably-high voltages

Partners

- Subcontractors:
 - Giner
 - UT Austin
- FC-PAD
- Project lead: GM





Relevance Challenges

- **Electrode :**
 - Higher than expected degradation of Pt-alloy catalysts at high power(a). Poorly understood, complex degradation mechanisms of platinum alloy catalysts and their impact on high power.

□ Membrane:

- Higher than expected membrane degradation with combined chemical & mechanical stresses. Ce redistribution during operation can affect membrane life (b).
- MEA defects such as electrode cracks & fibers from GDL create stress points which can lead to early failure

Objectives

- Project Goal
 - □ Achieve DOE 2020 performance and durability target.
 - Improve durability of state of art (SOA) MEA by identifying and reducing the stress factors impacting electrode and membrane life.

Expected Outcome:

- Design and produce a state-of-art MEA with Pt loading of 0.125 mg_{Pt}/cm² or less and an MEA cost meeting the 2020 DOE Target of \$14/kW_{net} or less, and
- Demonstrate a pathway to cathode (10% power loss) and membrane life of > 5000 hr by defining implementable benign operating conditions for fuel cell operation.



https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review14 /fc087_kongkanand_2014_o.pdf



3

Approach



Electrode Durability : Conduct voltage cycling study on state-of-art MEA and map the operating conditions to minimize power degradation rate.



Combined Chem. and Mech. stress segmented cell test

Membrane Durability : Develop fundamental models of mechanical stress, chemical degradation and Ce migration in the membrane and combine them to create a unified predictive degradation model.



Approach/ Milestones and Go/No Go

Budget Period 1 Task : Optimization of Low Loading Electrode and SOA MEA

- Down-select MEA components such as catalyst, GDL, membrane etc.
- 2 -3 rounds of design of experiments to optimize electrode performance to generate SOA MEA
 Optimized perf. for both beginning and end of test (accelerated tests).
- □ Ink, catalyst layer characterization and correlation with performance and electrochemical diagnostics
- Combined mechanical and chemical accelerated stress tests for membrane

<u>Go/No Go:</u> 50 cm² SOA MEA that meets DOE target performance requirements – 1 W/cm² @ 0.125 g/Kw_{rated.} (250 Kpa,_{abs}). Provide 50 cm² MEAs to FC-PAD.

Budget Period 2 Task: Durability Studies of SOA MEA

- □ H₂-air and H₂-N₂ voltage cycling tests on SOA MEA at different operating conditions
- □ Analytical characterization (PSD, EELS mapping, TEM etc) of BOT and EOT MEAS
- □ Model development, studies to evaluate model parameters, such as dissolution rates etc.
- □ Membrane durability studies, chemical degradation mechanism shorting propagation studies.

<u>Go/No Go:</u> Demonstrate operating conditions can provide at least 35% reduction in ECSA and performance loss.

Budget Period 3 Task: Predictive Models for Degradation with different Operating Condition

- □ Continue H₂-air and H₂-N₂ voltage cycling tests on SOA MEA
- □ Analytical characterization (PSD, EELS mapping, TEM etc.) of EOT MEAs
- □ Model Development (ECSA, SA degradation models) and validation
- □ Membrane Durability post mortem studies and membrane degradation model validation

Final Milestone: Predictive model for both electrode and membrane durability. Recommend benign operating conditions to prolong the MEA durability to >5000 h.



Milestones and Go/No Go

Budget Period 1 Task : Durability of SOA MEAs

<u>Go/No Go:</u> Demonstrate >35% reduction in ECSA, SA and voltage degradation SOA MEA.

| Milestone Summary Table | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|---|---------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Task Number | Task Title | Milestone Type | Milestone Number* | Milestone Description (Go/No-Go Decision Criteria) | Quarter | Progress | | | | |
| 2.1 3.1 | H ₂ -N ₂ , H ₂ -air voltage cycling tests at diff op. conditions Multiscale microscopy of SOA MEA at BOT, including PSD, STEM, EDS and X-ray CT. | Milestone | M2.1 | Summary of VC design combinations and expected outcome using statistical approach Report on PSDs, chemical composition etc on BOT MEAs | Q5 | 75 25 | | | | |
| 3.5 5.4 | rticle size growth mechanism study with IL TEM pact of Local shorting and membrane degradation Milestone M2.2 Demonstrate use of IL TEM for particle size growth mechanism with applied voltage Proof of accelerated degradation in areas induced with shorts (membrane thinning, higher X-over etc) (Go/No-go) | | | | Q6 | 10 65 | | | | |
| 2.4 5.3 | Ex-situ accelerated tests in aqueous media Milestone M2.3 Report on dissolution rates for Pt and Co Empirical correlation between fluoride emissionrate finance Milestone M2.3 | | | | Q7 | 20 65 | | | | |
| 2.5 4.2 5.2 | Quantify transport and kinetic losses in aged MEAs Construct Pt and Co dissolution Models Combined Highly Accelerated Tests (Chem and Mech) | aged MEAsMilestoneM2.4Plot of voltage loss terms as a funciton of operating conditions Pt and Co dissolution model for validation. Mem. stress life curves for model validation | | | | 60 60 80 | | | | |
| Phase 2 | Durability of SOA MEAs Go/No-go GNG2 Demonstrate >35% reduction in ECSA, SA and voltage degradation vs. standard DOE protocol on SOA MEA | | Demonstrate >35% reduction in ECSA, SA and voltage degradation vs. standard DOE protocol on SOA MEA | Q8 | 60 | | | | | |
| 3.2 4.3 | Multiscale microscopy of SOA MEA at EOT, including PSD, TEM, EELS Construct ECA and activity loss models with data from task 3 | Milestone | M3.1 | Report Pt dissolution rates, Co dissolution rate, Pt shell thickening etc. Demonstrate activty and ECSA decay model to predict with in 15% of expt data. | Q9 | 10 | | | | |
| 4.4 5.5 | Model to quantify Op. Cond Impact on Electrode Deg Rate Post mortem analysis of Degraded MEA | Milestone | M3.2 | Demonstrate decay model to predict voltage loss as a function of operating conditions. Demonstrate impact of shorts on durability using X ray CT and other post mortem tests | Q10 | 15 | | | | |
| 4.5 | Electrode Decay Model Validation | Milestone | M3.3 | Validate decay model with data from task 2 and 3 | | | | | | |
| 5.6 | Model dev. and valdiation for Membrane Degradation | Milestone | M3.4 | Validate stress life degradation mode | Q12 | 15 | | | | |
| Phase 3 | Predictive model for degradation with different op. condition | Final Review | M3 | Recommend benign operating conditions that can prolong MEA life to 5000 h | Q12 | 12 | | | | |
| M | ^a Mass activity tested under DOE - specified of | condition | | | | | | | | |

^b Measured under anode/cathode: H₂/air, 94°C, 250/250 kPa, _{abs. out}, 65%/65% RH_{in}, st=1.5/2. Uncorrected cell voltage must be lower than Q/Delta T of 1.45

Budget Period 1 - Recap



- Catalysts, ionomers and membranes were down selected to generate SOA MEA (<u>>1000 mW/cm²</u>).
- Catalyst studies indicate correlation between micropore surface area on local oxygen transport resistance and macropore surface area on proton transport resistance.
- Ionomer equivalent weight (EW) was found to be the most important property affecting performance.
- Reinforced PFSA membrane with Ce³⁺ mitigation is the most durable membrane.
- Accelerated chemical and mechanical stress test for membrane degradation.

Technical Accomplishment:



| SOA MEA | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ltem | Description | | | | | | |
| Cathode catalyst | 30% PtCo/HSC-a 0.1 mg _{Pt} /cm² | | | | | | |
| Cathode ionomer | Mid side chain 0.9 I/C (EW825) | | | | | | |
| Membrane | 12 µm PFSA | | | | | | |
| Anode Electrode | 10% Pt/C, 0.025 mg _{Pt} /cm ² 0.6 I/C (EW900) | | | | | | |
| GDL thickness | 235 µm | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Technical Accomplishment: Target and Status



In this Budget Period (BP2)

- SOA MEAs developed in BP1, was verified in 3 different platforms (5cm² differential, 10 cm² common hardware and 50 cm²) in both GM and NREL.
- H₂-N₂ Voltage cycling tests at various operating conditions were conducted on SOA MEA.
- Membrane durability studies were conducted to understand Ce migration, local shorting effect etc.

Go No Go

GM

- > 35% reduction in ECA loss demonstrated by changing operating condition. Example, 50% reduction in operating RH can provide > 35% reduction in ECA loss vs. 100 % RH operation.
 - Several operating factors can be optimized to achieve the same.

Task 2. 1 H₂-N₂ Voltage Cycling of SOA MEA

Impact of Operating Conditions





5 cm² CCM MEA. Differential Conditions

| | Cell Temp (°C) | RH (%) | Upper potenti al (mV) | Lower Potenti al (mV) | upper potenti al hold time (s) | Test Stand |
|----|----------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------|
| -5 | | | | | | А |
| -3 | | | | | | В |
| -1 | 55 | 40 | 850 | 200 | 1 | С |
| 0 | 75 | 70 | 900 | 400 | 3 | |
| 1 | 95 | 100 | 950 | 600 | 5 | D |
| 3 | | | | | | E |
| 5 | | | | | | F |

- Significant amount of time was spent in getting the test stands automated to run these durability tests.
- Exploring initial design of experiments focused on temp, RH, lower and upper potential limit, upper potential hold time.
- Design of experiment approach was utilized (18 runs). Highly fractionated DOE (3⁵6¹). Will run another 18 run as needed to estimate 2 factor interactions.
- Diagnostics include, polarization curves, limiting currents, H₂-N₂ impedance etc.



Task 2. 1 H₂-N₂ Voltage Cycling of SOA MEA

5 cm² CCM MEA. Differential Conditions

Impact of Operating Conditions

GM



| S.No | Cell Temp (°C) | RH (%) | Upper potential (mV) | upper potential hold time (s) | Lower Potential (mV) | Scan Rate (mV/s) |
|------|-------------------|--------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 75 | 70 | 900 | 3 | 400 | 500 |
| 2 | 55 | 40 | 850 | 1 | 200 | 650 |
| 3 | 95 | 100 | 950 | 5 | 600 | 350 |
| 4 | 55 | 40 | 900 | 5 | 400 | 500 |
| 5 | 75 | 70 | 950 | 1 | 600 | 350 |
| 6 | 95 | 100 | 850 | 3 | 200 | 650 |
| 7 | 95 | 40 | 950 | 3 | 400 | 550 |
| 8 | 75 | 100 | 900 | 1 | 200 | 700 |
| 9 | 55 | 70 | 850 | 5 | 600 | 250 |
| 10 | 95 | 40 | 900 | 1 | 600 | 300 |
| 11 | 55 | 70 | 950 | 3 | 200 | 750 |
| 12 | 75 | 100 | 850 | 5 | 400 | 450 |
| 13 | 95 | 70 | 850 | 1 | 400 | 450 |
| 14 | 55 | 100 | 900 | 3 | 600 | 300 |
| 15 | 75 | 40 | 950 | 5 | 200 | 750 |
| 16 | 95 | 70 | 900 | 5 | 200 | 700 |
| 17 | 75 | 40 | 850 | 3 | 600 | 250 |
| 18 | 55 | 100 | 950 | 1 | 400 | 550 |

- The 18 run DOE is currently in progress.
 - Performance loss as high as 40 mV@ 1.5 A/cm² and almost 50% mass activity loss is observed for certain operating conditions.
 - Few cases exhibit almost zero performance and activity loss

Disclaimer: Since all cells in this highly fractionated design of experiment (DOE) is not complete. The final interpretation of the data can change significantly.

٠

Task 2. 1 H₂-N₂ Voltage Cycling of SOA MEA

Impact of Operating Conditions

5 cm² CCM MEA. Differential Conditions





2 factor interactions are needed to map the design space that would minimize the ECA and specific activity degradation

- The initial 18 run DOE, once complete will provide the main effects of each factor. Though difficult, some 2 factor interactions can also be extracted.
- If 2 factor interactions can not be resolved. We will run an additional 18 run DOE to obtain the needed resolution.



Disclaimer: Since all cells in this highly fractionated design of experiment (DOE) is not complete. The final interpretation of the data can change significantly. This slide is only to provide an image of the output.

GM

Task 2. 1 H₂-N₂ Voltage Cycling of SOA MEA

Single Factor Studies - Effect of RH



5 cm² CCM MEA (3 repeats). Differential Conditions



Pt Utilization (CO Stripping versus Inlet RH)

- Few single factor studies such as effect of RH was conducted.
- RH has a very strong effect on both ECSA degradation and corresponding H₂-air performance
- Wetter conditions exhibit higher electrode degradation compared to drier condition.
- Pt utilization inside pores increase with degradation.



Task 2. 1 H₂-N₂ Voltage Cycling of SOA MEA

Single Factor Studies - Effect of RH



Specific Activity

- Effect of RH has a strong impact on both mass activity and ECA.
- No difference in specific activity loss observed beyond 50%RH operation.
- The ECA and mass activity loss also indicate higher Co loss into MEA.
- Resolution on cobalt loss as a function of RH/ cycles - ongoing





5 cm² CCM MEA (3 repeats). Differential Conditions



Technical Accomplishment: Task 2. 1 H₂-N₂ Voltage Cycling of SOA MEA

Single Factor Studies - Effect of RH



Atomic% Pt ~ 84.5 Co ~ 15.5

Atomic% Pt ~ 95.1 Co ~ 4.9

Atomic% Pt ~ 98%

15

Technical Accomplishment: Task 2. 1 Voltage Cycling of SOA MEA

5 cm² CCM MEA. Differential Conditions



- Marginal increase in proton transport resistance at very dry condition observed. Possibly from leached Co²⁺.
- Marginal decrease in RO_2 local (µ-pore) observed (likely from more accessible pores)



Makharia et.al, JES, 152 (5), A970 (2005)

Greszler et al, JES, 159 (12) F831 (2012)

Task 4.1 Construct Pt and Co Dissolution Models

Model Framework



Task 4.1 Construct Pt and Co Dissolution Models

Model Framework

Pt Oxide Growth





Protects Pt from dissolution

PtO to PtO₂ PtO + H₂O \leftrightarrow PtO₂ +2H⁺ + 2e⁻



Destroys Pt surface exposing Cobalt



Collected oxide growth at BOL. Plans
to collect data on aged electrodes

Pt Dissolution

Anodic dissolution $Pt \leftrightarrow Pt^{2+} + 2e^{-}$ Cathodic dissolution $PtO_2 + 4H^+ + 2e^{-} \leftrightarrow Pt^{2+} + 2H_2O$



- Considered PtO₂ dissolution in the cathodic scan
- Rates of PtO₂ dissolution increases as the LPL in the cathodic scan goes below 0.85 V
- In-situ ICP measurements will be used to calibrate the kinetics with ageing
- Pt particle coalescence kinetics will also be modeled and calibrated with TEM data

Co Dissolution



- Vacancy injection into Pt particles due to Place exchanged PtO₂ from Co dissolution measurements
- Modeled Co loss due to vacancy injection



 Better correlations for SA will be obtained with data on aged MEAs



Task 4.1 Construct Pt and Co Dissolution Models

Model Framework

ORR Kinetics



- Negligible changes in kinetic parameters with ageing
- Drop in specific activity is less sensitive to RH during the cycling

O₂ Transport



 Initial results indicate that there is a marginal increase in R₀₂ (or reduced permeability of O₂ through the ionomer)





- In H_2/N_2 cycling, Pt particle growth is the main mechanism for ECA loss
- Dissolution / re-deposition kinetics and particle sintering kinetics need to be calibrated as function of operating conditions (Temperature, RH and Potential)



Specific Activity Loss

- SA Loss has been correlated to decrease in Co/Pt ratio in the electrode
- Ex-situ measurements on EOL MEAs will give a better correlation on SA degradation

Technical Accomplishment: Task 5.2: Combined Chemical-Mechanical HAST

Goal: develop a highly accelerated stress test to evaluate membrane durability in a realistic fuel cell environment (no dry inlets, no OCV)

- 70, 80 & 90°C/30%Rh_{in}, 0.05 1.2 A/cm², (distributed measurements)
- In-situ diagnostics: Shorting resistance, diffusive crossover (membrane thinning), and convective crossover (pinhole formation) mapping
- Deep RH cycling at the outlet → High Mechanical Stress
- Inlet stays relatively dry throughout → High Chemical Stress



- Leaks start sooner at higher temperature
- Even though time to failure is strongly dependent on temperature, the failure location (outlet) and failure mode (thinning or diffusive crossover) is similar at all temperatures.

Diffusive X-over Maps

| 90°C 650h | | | 80°C 1200h | | | 70°C 4500h | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|------|----------------------|-----|------|----------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.2 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.1 |
| 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -0.5 | -0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -0.1 | -0.3 |
| 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | -0.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.1 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.3 | -0.2 | 0.1 |
| 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 3.1 |
| 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 4.0 |
| 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 12 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 6.8 |
| 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 11.1 |
| 14 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 10.1 | 17.6 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 9.3 |
| 2.4 | 6.5 | 12.4 | 11.9 | 4.1 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 4.2 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 5.3 |
| 2.8 | 8.4 | 18.7 | 12.5 | 4.3 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 7.1 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 4.1 |
| 3.3 | 7.4 | 16.7 | 9.2 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.2 |





Task 5.2: Combined Chemical-Mechanical HAST



Membrane fails by chemical degradation in the area with highest mechanical stress (deep RH cycling) but lowest chemical stress.

- Ce moves from active to inactive region
- Result led to two new work streams
 - Development of model for Ce transport during operation
 - Diffusion (slow), Convection (faster) & Conduction (fastest)
 - Ex-situ measurement of impact of mechanical stress on chemical degradation (just underway)



 What happens when we run a HAST test w/o Ce stabilizer?

Technical Accomplishment: Task 5.2: Combined Chemical-Mechanical HAST – Ce Effect



0%

- MEA w/ Ce shows X-over near outlet only after 750h
- MEA w/o Ce shows slight increase in X-over near both inlet & outlet by 200h, by 400h, X-over increase significantly at outlet
- Significant thinning only near outlet of MEA w/ Ce after 750h
- Ce-free MEAs show more uniform thinning
- MEA w/ Ce shows significant MW loss only at outlet
- MEA w/o Ce shows MW loss throughout cell – initially more MW loss at inlet, but by 400h MW loss is uniform

Mechanistic Hypothesis

- Ce effectively prevents MW loss and thinning at all HAST conditions
- Without Ce, degradation initiates at driest regions (inlet)
- Severe RH cycling causes Ce depletion and subsequent membrane degradation at outlet
- Even w/o Ce, RH cycling can accelerate membrane X-over

Ce, 744hr

20 22 24 26

10 12 14 16

CD Segment ID (Inlet: #1, Outlet: #25)





- Relatively rapid movement of samples 1 and 4 (nearest gradient) from high to low RH
- Diffusion of samples 3 and 4 are consistent with RH values of the respective chambers
- We are collecting data over a range of temperatures & RH gradients

GM

• Data fit to determine convection coefficient (k) as f(t, RH) - see next slide

Ce Transport Model





Task 5.3: Impact of Thickness on Membrane Chemical Degradation

Both ex-situ and in-situ tests are being conducted to assess impact of membrane thickness and gas crossover on durability

- Ex-Situ H_2O_2 vapor tests of membranes of varying thickness and Ce^{3+} content (Giner)
 - H₂O₂ vapor test do not address gas crossover impact intrinsic impact of thickness only
- In-Situ chemical durability (OCV) tests





- 90°C, 30ppm H₂O₂ vapor cells tests
- No significant thickness impact when crossover is not considered
- Significant suppression of FRR at dry conditions with Ce

We have started a design of experiments using single cell OCV tests at 90°C (fixed Ce loading of 2%)

- DOE will isolate the impact of gas crossover of degradation rate
- Measuring Fluoride release, MW loss & carboxylate increase to isolate unzipping & chain scission mechanisms

| Thickness (µm) | RH | Cathode P (kPa) | ΔP (kPa) | | |
|-------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------|--|--|
| 8 | 30% | 150 | -20 | | |
| 12 | 60% | 200 | 20 | | |
| 20 | 90% | 250 | 60 | | |

Task 5.3: Impact of Local Shorting on Membrane Degradation

- Induce shorts by incrementally increasing cell compression (95°C, ambient RH)
 - Use current distribution board to maximize spatial resolution, and sensitivity
 - In a single cell we can get multiple shorts with a range of resistances
- Run accelerated membrane durability tests to see if local shorts accelerate rate of local degradation
 - Use segmented cell to track progression of local shorting and gas crossover

• There is no clear correlation between local shorting and increase in crossover.

- Lack of correlation unexpected based on high modelled local temperature
- Plan to repeat tests while running to failure

Task 5.3: Impact of Local Shorting on Membrane Degradation

Goal: Develop a non-destructive method to image shorting location in an MEA

• Pre-shorted MEAs sent to LBNL instead

The GDL detached during shipment (fixing)

• No pinholes were observed, which was most

likely due to membrane relaxation after GDL

· CL surface roughness can be seen in the

though --plane image

detachment

Cracks were observed in CLs

Imaged segments with low shorting resistance

Through-plane

In-plane at CL location

CL cracks observed

- The GDL fiber imprints show that the compression pressure was significant enough to deform the CLs & membrane
 - For compressed MEAs, the GDL fibers may penetrate through the membrane
- Developing a sample holder which can maintain the MEA compression from shorting through imaging **Next steps**
- Image pre-shorted MEAs before and after durability testing

•

•

General Motors (industry) : Prime

□ Overall project guidance, MEA integration, durability, model development

FC-PAD (National Labs)

Argonne National Lab (Dr. Debbie Myers and Dr. Rajesh Ahluwalia)

- □ Ink characterization and Pt, Co dissolution studies
- □ Electrode degradation model.
- Lawrence Berkeley National Lab (Dr. Adam Weber and Dr. Ahmet Kusoglu))
 - □ Membrane mechanical stress model, X-ray CT, GI-SAXS
- □ Los Alamos National Lab (Dr. Mukund Rangachary and Dr. Rod Borup)

□ Voltage cycling tests (TBD), Accelerated stress tests

- □ National Renewable Energy Lab (Dr. Kenneth Neyerlin)
 - \Box Electrochemical diagnostics, H₂-N₂ Voltage cycling tests
- Oakridge National Lab (Dr. Karren More)
 - Catalyst layer characterization, Ionomer catalyst interaction

Sub Contractors

GM

- □ University of Texas Austin (Prof. Yuanyue Liu and Prof. Paulo Ferreira) (University)
 - □ Identical location TEM, PSD measurements
- Giner (Dr. Cortney Mittelsteadt) (Industry)
 - Membrane degradation studies

Responses to Last Year AMR Reviewers' Comments

- *"Approach should not be to define benign operating conditions but rather map out effect of operating conditions."*
 - □ Agreed and we are precisely doing the same. The output of the project is to map out conditions to avoid and conditions to adopt for prolonged durability. It will serve as a toolkit for system engineers to craft their systems. This knowledge was never complete and is lacking for recent advanced materials. To provide a quantifiable deliverable, a case study in BP3 is envisioned.
 - "Models and durability studies exist in previous DOE project as well"
 - ❑ We are definitely not starting from ground zero. Good work by national labs and few earlier projects on both experimental and model work exist. We are focused on addressing gaps, mapping out relationship between durability and operating condition. Modelling PtCo specific activity degradation, Cerium movement etc. We are utilizing and supporting modelling activities at ANL
- " "Selection of SOA was based on BOL performance only and not durability".
 - Probably lost in translation. When we observed some overlap in catalyst candidates, we utilized the durability studies from FC144 to make the down-selection instead of repeating expts. This was highlighted in the optimization section.
 - "It is unclear whether degradation modes and benign operating conditions identified for this best performance GM proprietary MEAs will be transferable".
 - □ These are representative state of art materials and we believe the underlying physics and fundamental trends will translate very well. At the end of project as needed, we will identify a couple of other catalyst/MEAs and demonstrate the same. We are providing these GM MEAs to FCPAD with NO restriction on analysis.

٠

٠

Future Work

- □ Complete the voltage cycling experiments to map the impact of operating conditions.
 - ECA, SA, CO stripping, RO₂-local (limiting current), V loss etc.(NREL)
 - MEA characterization including EPMA, TEM, EELS mapping etc. (ORNL, UT Austin).
- □ Obtain ex-situ dissolution rates of Pt, Co and elucidate growth mechanisms (ANL/NREL).
- Develop predictive model based on the experimental data with the fundamental understanding of degradation mechanisms.
 - □ Models for PtO growth, Pt & Co dissolution, Pt & Co transport, Pt shell thickness
 - Correlations quantifying Pt particle coalescence, changes in specific activity, Pt utilization, RO₂ – local.
- □ Model verification followed by durability improvement in AST.
- □ Fundamental studies to isolate impact of stress factors on membrane degradation.
 - Develop ex-situ method to quantify the impact of mechanical stress on chemical degradation
 - □ Accelerated stress tests of SOA and pre-shorted MEAs in segmented cells combined with visualization techniques such as XRF & X-ray CT (LBNL).
 - □ Effect of membrane thickness and gas crossover using single cell OCV tests at 90°C. (Giner)
 - □ Explore role of Fe cations in MEA degradation (LANL)
- □ Refine model for in-plane Ce migration during transient fuel cell operation.
- Develop combined chemical/mechanical membrane degradation model based on experimental data and the fundamental understanding of degradation mechanisms.

Summary

- In the BP1, best in class MEA subcomponents such as catalyst, ionomer and membranes were studied to generate a state of art MEA.
 - The generated SOA MEA exhibited > 1 W/cm². The performance was demonstrated in both 5 cm² and 50 cm² single cell MEAs.
- In BP2, the SOA MEA was subjected to H₂-N₂ voltage cycling tests across various operating conditions. Both multi factor DOE and single factor DOE.
 - Certain conditions like low RH (25%) operation demonstrate 100% reduction in ECA loss.
 - Other factors like lower temperature and lower upper potential limit also demonstrate significant reduction in ECA loss.
- Operation conditions that can provide > 35% reduction in ECA loss demonstrated.
- Combined chemical/mechanical highly accelerated stress (HAST) was developed. Deep RH cycling at outlet and dry inlets were combined to induce mechanical and chemical stress in different regions of the cell.
 - Chemical degradation observed in region of highest mechanical stress.
 - Significant in-plane Ce migration observed during operation.
 - Non Ce Cell indicate role of mechanical stress on increased gas crossover at outlet.
- Ce diffusivity and convective measurements were performed. Results indicate Ce³⁺ movement via convection is the most dominant. Corresponding model was drafted.
- Method developed to generate and quantify local resistance of membrane shorts.

Acknowledgements

DOE

- Greg Kleen (Technology Manager)
- Donna Ho (Technology Manager)

General Motors

- Srikanth Arisetty
- Nagappan Ramaswamy
- Vinaykumar Konduru
- Ratandeep Kukreja
- Craig Gittleman
- Mark F. Mathias
- Yeh-Hung Lai
- Frank Coms
- Anusorn Kongkanand
- Ruichun Jiang
- Ken Rahmoeller
- Ashley McQuarters
- Tim Fuller
- Mehul Vora
- Balsu Lakshmanan
- Wenbin Gu

GM

- Joe Ziegelbauer
- Mohammed Atwan

<u>Giner</u>

- Cortney Mittelsteadt (sub-PI)
- Hiu Xu
- Zach Green

Univ of Texas, Austin

- Prof. Yuanyue Liu (sub- PI)
- Prof. Paulo Ferreira

FC-PAD

- Rod Borup
- Mukund Rangachary
- Adam Weber
- Ahmet Kusoglu
- Lalit Pant
- Deborah Myers
- Nancy Kariuki
- Rajesh Ahluwalia
- Joshua Wang
- Karren More
- KC Neyerlin