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Overview

Timeline and Budget

- Project start date: 10/1/2017
- FY17 DOE funding: $650k ($550k NREL, $100k LANL)
- Total DOE funds received to date: $650k
- Percent complete: 70%

Partners

- Los Alamos National Laboratory – Rod Borup

Barriers

- Cost – Feedstock/capital cost reductions are needed to reduce the price of hydrogen by electrolysis.
- Durability – Durability losses have been observed with dynamic loading and intermittent input, and can have a significant impact on the price of hydrogen.
Relevance

- Need for electrolysis to become cost-competitive, to store/offload grid power.
- Objectives:
  - Establish baseline performance and durability as a guide to catalyst/electrode development.
  - Evaluate the influence of low loading, intermittency, and system controls on durability.


### Relevance

#### Table 3.1.4 Technical Targets: Distributed Forecourt Water Electrolysis Hydrogen Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>2011 Status</th>
<th>2015 Target</th>
<th>2020 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen Levelized Cost (Production Only)</td>
<td>$/kg</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyzer System Capital Cost</td>
<td>$/kg</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$/kW</td>
<td>430 e, f</td>
<td>300 f</td>
<td>300 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Energy Efficiency g</td>
<td>% (LHV)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack Energy Efficiency h</td>
<td>% (LHV)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kWh/kg</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Price</td>
<td>$/kWh</td>
<td>From AEO 2009</td>
<td>From AEO 2009</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. The I2EA Distributed Production Model 3.0 (https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/06/f23/fcto_myrrd_production.pdf) was used to calculate electrolysis parameters to generate the values in the table, with the exceptions described in the notes below. Results are documented in the Current and Future I2EA’s case studies for Forecourt Electrolysis from Grid Electricity which can be found at https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/06/f23/fcto_myrrd_production.pdf.

2. The I2EA Distributed Production Model 3.0 was used with the assumed economic assumptions. All values are in 2012 dollars, 1.9% inflation rate, 10% After-Tax Real (Internal Rate of Return, 100% Equity Financing, 20-year analysis period, 38.8% overall interest rate, and 5% working capital based on independent review inputs). A MACRS 5-year depreciation schedule was used. The plant design capacity is 1,500 kg/day of hydrogen. It is assumed that Design, Build, and Operations (DBO) would be employed and that production would result in reduced power costs.

3. The hydrogen collection efficiency is 100% (excluding both planned and unplanned outages, four unplanned outages of 16h duration per year, 1 planned outage of 5 days duration per year). The plant usage factor (defined as the actual yearly production equipment design capacity) is 80% based on 16 hours of the production equipment to accommodate a summer surge in demand of 10% above the steady-state demand.

4. The levelized costs are equivalent to the minimum required selling price to achieve a 10% annual rate of return over the life of the plant.

5. Electrolyzer uninstalled capital costs (based on independent reactor panel results) [DOE 2009, Current (2009)] with the cost of the indirect and direct materials.

6. System energy efficiency is defined as the energy in the hydrogen produced by the system (on a LHV basis) divided by the sum of the feedback energy (LHV) plus all other energy used in the process.

7. Energy costs are calculated assuming purchase of industrial grid electricity. Electricity price is taken from the 2009 AEO Reference Case projections to 2030. Prices beyond 2010 are not available in the 2009 AEO case so they are projected based on the PSNLEU AUE model output (https://www.eia.gov/analysis/index.cfm). The average electricity price is $100/kW-h ($0.06/kWh effective) over the modeled life of the plant for the current (2011) case and $0.07/kWh ($0.05/kWh effective) for the 2013 case.

8. Electricity cost is assumed to be $0.07/kWh throughout the analysis period to meet the 540-kWh target for dispersed hydrogen.

9. Costs for the forecourt station compression and storage are consistent with the strategy discussed in the DOE MYR&D section. Storage capacity for 1570 kg of hydrogen at the forecourt is included. It is assumed that the hydrogen refueling station pressure is 5000 psig for 2010 and it is assumed that in 2013 and 2020, the hydrogen refueling fill pressure is 10,000 psig.

---

Approach

Electrode Fabrication

Electrolyzer Testing

Characterization
NREL: Cell Diagnostics, RDE
LANL: Microscopy

Accomplishments and Progress
Differences in Performance and Durability, Iridium and Iridium Oxide

- Iridium oxide (rutile) used to evaluate electrolyzer durability and establish protocols.
- Electrolysis operation (time, potential, temperature) grows oxides, negates metal/hydroxide activity.

Iridium oxide (rutile) used to evaluate electrolyzer durability and establish protocols. Electrolysis operation (time, potential, temperature) grows oxides, negates metal/hydroxide activity.

**RDE Half-Cells**

- **Iridium**
  - a) Courtesy of Chilan Ngo, Svitlana Pylypenko, Colorado School of Mines

- **Iridium Oxide**
  - e) Courtesy of Mai-Anh Ha, Ross Larsen, NREL

**MEA Single-Cells**

- Following relaxation and adsorption

![Graph of X_m vs. Time for different temperatures](image)

![Graph of I_m vs. Time for different temperatures](image)

Accomplishments and Progress

Impact of Loading and Upper Potential

• Focus on anode catalyst degradation by using:
  – Thick membranes to avoid crossover and plating
  – Thick PTLs to avoid coating corrosion and passivation

• Low loading (≤ 0.1 mg\textsubscript{Ir} cm\textsuperscript{-2}) and high potential (≥ 2.0 V) necessary to observe loss over a reasonable timeframe.
Accomplishments and Progress
Comparison of Load Profiles

Test Profiles

- Varying input/load dominated loss.
- Differences in load increase may affect potential distribution and dissolution in the catalyst layer (FY18).

Accomplishments and Progress
Evaluating Loss, Mechanism

• Cell Diagnostics:
  – **Kinetic loss** was significant but did not account for all performance loss.
  – Incremental loss in cyclic voltammograms, not proportional to kinetic loss.
  – **Increased resistance**, not related to HFR.

• Characterization revealed **thinner catalyst layers** and decreasing pore diameter (FY18).
Accomplishments and Progress
Effect of Period, Strategies for Mitigating Loss

Test Profiles

Test Profile

- Higher cycle frequency increased loss, although the increase was not proportional.
- Varying input/load dominated loss. Ramping input slightly improved durability.
Accomplishments and Progress
Correlating to Renewable Profiles, Anticipated Use

- Square- and triangle-wave profiles accelerated loss.
- Similar loss rates for wind and solar profiles.
  - Varying input/load dominates loss
  - Slight differences may be due to sudden/multiple load increases
Accomplishments and Progress
Incorporation of Different Catalyst Types

- Testing expanded to different catalyst types (commercial) – surfaces, components, morphology (surface area), and supports.
- Catalysts evaluated showed kinetic improvements, higher durability losses.
Accomplishments and Progress
Impact of Spray Parameters

Water Quality (FY18)

- Factors examined for an effect of durability – ink concentration, solvent, pump rate, drying temperature, and ionomer content.

- Particle aggregates, coating uniformity, and layer density (porosity) may influence performance and durability.

Microscopy Courtesy of Sarah Zaccarine, Svitlana Pylypenko, Colorado School of Mines
Accomplishments and Progress
Impact of Ionomer Content

- Sulfur signal tracked closely to iridium. Excess Nafion in catalyst layer linked to performance/durability decreases.

- Ionomer balance needed:
  - For interface and ink dispersion
  - To avoid catalyst isolation and contaminant effects

Microscopy Courtesy of Sarah Zaccarine, Svitlana Pylypenko, Colorado School of Mines
Accomplishments and Progress
Effect of Start-Stop Operation

- Competing processes of near-surface reduction/oxidation, aggregation, and dissolution.

- Combined reduction/dissolution dramatically increased dissolution and activity loss.

- Difficult to rely on crossover to quickly accelerate loss. Have used thinner membranes, backpressure, and dictated potential to accelerate loss at the cell level.
Responses to Previous Year Reviewers’ Comments

• **Reviewer Comment:** The project team should ensure that an increased amount of effort is spent on communicating results to both the academic and commercial communities.
  
  **Response:** We have increased efforts to disseminate data, through publications (in print, several submitted) and presentations, and community interactions through H₂@Scale and HydroGEN EMN projects and the IEA.

• **Reviewer Comment:** The project’s scope is limited. While it is hoped that results will inform electrolyzer design, materials selection, and operation, the project will likely contribute to cost competitive hydrogen production only when combined with other, more robust development and testing projects. A critical assessment should be done to determine the probability that results achieved will contribute substantively to FCTO’s goals of improving hydrogen production performance and lowering costs.
  
  **Response:** In its first year, the project scope was limited to catalyst choices and preliminary tests assessing low loading and variable input. This year, the scope expanded to include: a full study of these parameters; correlating accelerated tests to renewable profiles; mitigating loss through system controls and catalyst type; and evaluating parameters that affect electrode structure. Continuing efforts include rainbow- and short-stack testing to further expand the work scope and link cell- and system-level durability. We have worked to disseminate data to interested parties through papers and publications. We have also engaged our collaborators to share these results and provide input for catalyst development and device-level projects addressing hydrogen production cost and durability.

• **Reviewer Comment:** There has been outstanding progress in achieving project objectives. To date, the iridium and iridium oxide catalyst materials have been tested. No conclusions regarding bigger picture issues can be drawn yet in regard to the implications of results so far for overall electrolyzer performance and cost.
  
  **Response:** We have expanded durability testing this year and found that intermittent load and thin catalyst layers significantly accelerate loss observations. We have also added mitigation strategies based on system controls and catalyst type, finding that higher catalyst loadings, lower operating potentials, and ramping sudden load increases reduce loss. These results indicate that while catalyst loading reductions are needed to minimize hydrogen cost at lower capacity, durability tradeoffs are a critical concern and may limit loading reductions. While catalyst development efforts are critical to improve performance and lower operating potential (dissolution, durability), aspects of system controls will be necessary to minimize loss during extended operation.
## Collaboration and Coordination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutions</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL):</strong></td>
<td>Prime, oversees the project; lead electrode fabrication, electrolyzer testing, and diagnostics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaun Alia (PI), Grace Anderson, Shraboni Ghoshal, Guido Bender, Bryan Pivovar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL):</strong></td>
<td>Sub; materials characterization using microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Borup, Sarah Stariha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mai-Anh Ha, Ross Larsen (NREL)
Svitlana Pylypenko, Sarah Zaccarine, Chilan Ngo (Colorado School of Mines)
Remaining Challenges and Future Work

• Continue to evaluate the effect of dynamic loading on durability.
  – Incorporate rainbow- and short-stack testing for durability statistics, to expand test parameters, and to link to system-level durability
  – Assess losses from start-stop operation
  – Continue correlating loss to anticipated power inputs

• Evaluate the effect of transport layer and membrane changes on catalyst degradation and combined loss mechanisms on electrolyzer loss.

• Use current-based operation to assess the ability of performance improvements to mitigate durability loss.

• Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels.
This project is focused on assessing electrolysis durability with dynamic operation and reduced catalyst loadings, and has not explicitly generated IP.

We have worked to disseminate data through publications, presentations, and community interactions to share these results and provide input for electrolysis-related projects addressing hydrogen production cost and durability.
Summary

• **Relevance:** The project evaluates electrolyzer durability with dynamic loading and assesses the ability of water splitting-based hydrogen production to reduce cost (intermittent input, loading) while maintaining performance with extended operation.

• **Approach:** The project establishes baseline performance and durability as a guide to catalyst/electrode development. Additionally, the influence of low loading, intermittency, and system controls on durability are evaluated.

• **Accomplishments and Progress:** Low loading, high potential, and intermittency were found to accelerate loss, attributed to thinning the catalyst layer, decreasing kinetics, and increasing resistance. Performance decrease could be mitigated by increasing loading, minimizing potential, and ramping sudden input increases. Square- and triangle-wave profiles were found to accelerate loss compared to anticipated wind and solar inputs, likely due to the increased frequency. Testing was expanded to commercial catalysts with different surfaces (metal, hydroxide, rutile), morphologies (surface area), supports, and components (ruthenium); although performance increases were found, loss under the same potential profiles tended to be larger. Fabrication parameters, including ionomer content, ink formulation, and temperature were further found to have an effect on beginning of life performance and durability.

• **Collaborations:** This project is a collaboration between NREL and LANL.

• **Proposed Future Research:** Future research plans include incorporating rainbow- and short-stack testing for durability statistics and to link cell- and system-level durability. Additional degradation mechanisms will be explored and current-based testing will be used to assess the ability of performance improvements to mitigate durability loss.
Thank You
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Accomplishments and Progress
Constant Input

Test Profile

Low loading ($\leq 0.1 \text{ mg}_{\text{Ir}} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) and high potential ($\geq 2.0 \text{ V}$) necessary to observe loss over a reasonable timeframe
Accomplishments and Progress
Triangle Wave Input

Test Profile

Low loading (≤ 0.1 mg_{Ir} cm^{-2}) and high potential (≥ 2.0 V) necessary to observe loss over a reasonable timeframe
Accomplishments and Progress
Catalyst Layer Thickness, Single-Cell Tests (FY18)

- Found catalyst layer thinning was more prominent in the square and triangle wave tests.
- Although the porosity didn’t change significantly, the equivalent diameter (Equ. Dia.) of the pores decreased.
Accomplishments and Progress
Impact of Ionomer Content, Spray Parameters

- All layers relatively thin and heterogeneous
- Increased Nafion appeared slightly more homogeneous
- Higher drying temperature appeared slightly thinner

Courtesy of Sarah Zaccarine, Svitlana Pylypenko, Colorado School of Mines
Accomplishments and Progress
Impact of Ionomer Content, Spray Parameters

- Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy tracked **iridium**, **sulfur**, **fluorine**

- Sulfur closely tracked iridium, fluorine relatively homogenous

*Courtesy of Sarah Zaccarine, Svitlana Pylypenko, Colorado School of Mines*