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#### Objectives
- Design, fabricate and test optimum designs for filament wound conformable pressure vessels
- Design, fabricate and test optimum designs for macrolattice conformable pressure vessels
- Design, fabricate and test optimum designs for replicant conformable container
- Develop innovative concepts of hydrogen storage to meet DOE goals for improved performance

#### Technical Barriers
This project addresses the following technical barriers from the Storage section (3.3.4.2) of the Hydrogen, Fuel Cells and Infrastructure Technologies Program Multi-Year Research, Development and Demonstration Plan:

- (A) System Weight and Volume
- (D) Durability
- (E) Charging/Discharging Rates
- (H) Balance of plant (BOP) components
- (J) Thermal management
- (L) High-Pressure Conformability

#### Technical Targets

**Table 1. Lawrence Livermore Filament Wound Conformable Vessels Progress Toward Meeting DOE On-Board Hydrogen Storage Targets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>2007 Target</th>
<th>2010 Target</th>
<th>2005, 1st prototype</th>
<th>2006, 2nd prototype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific Energy</td>
<td>kWh/kg</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.53*</td>
<td>1.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Density</td>
<td>kWh/L</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.16*</td>
<td>0.48*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage System Cost</td>
<td>$/kWh</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Vessel only, no accessories included

#### Accomplishments
- Designed, fabricated and tested prototype macrolattice conformable vessels
- Designed and built parts for second generation macrolattice vessels
- Analyzed and designed filament wound conformable container
- Built and burst tested two filament wound pressure vessels
- Built parts for replicant conformable vessel

#### Future Directions
- Complete fabrication and pressure test second generation macrolattice containers with potential for much improved (~30%) volumetric efficiency over regular cylindrical tanks
- Build and test improved filament wound container prototypes
- Design end segments for filament wound vessels
- Analyze thermal management capability of macrolattice and determine performance advantage with respect to other available means for hydrogen storage material thermal management
- Complete design and construction of replicant vessel and determine capacity, degree of conformability and evaluate against 2007 targets
Introduction

One of the fundamental hurdles limiting the broad applicability of hydrogen vehicles is storing enough hydrogen on-board for a reasonable range (over 300 miles for the US market). Several approaches are being pursued, including physical storage (liquid or compressed hydrogen), chemical storage, hydrogen absorption in metallic hydrides, or hydrogen adsorption in carbon compounds. All these approaches present fundamental limitations in weight and volume densities. Some forms of storage (hydrides and carbon structures) also require thermal management (heating during desorption and cooling during absorption or adsorption). Regardless of which form of hydrogen storage is ultimately selected by the vehicle manufacturers, there is a great need to develop high performance low-cost containers for hydrogen or hydrogen storage materials. For best performance, these containers should be conformable, meet the pressure and temperature requirements for the different forms of hydrogen storage, and provide efficient means for thermal management.

Approach

We are working on many approaches for high performance containers. We have designed and constructed and pressure tested two filament wound conformable containers. We have designed and built parts for the second generation of macrolattice conformable vessels. We have also designed and made parts for replicant pressure vessels. All our container work can efficiently be applied for all forms of hydrogen storage, including hydride and carbon storage in addition to physical storage.

Results

In FY 2005 we built and tested the first ever macrolattice conformable container (Figure 1). This is a cubic container with polycarbonate surfaces held together by metallic struts. The arrangement of the struts is inspired by crystallography tables, and was selected to facilitate manufacture and improve volumetric efficiency. The container was pressure tested in our high pressure laboratory and then demonstrated in the poster session at the review meeting. The strut arrangement has the additional advantage of providing heat transfer paths for efficient thermal management of hydrogen storage materials (hydrides and carbon adsorbents). If more intense thermal management is required, the metallic struts can be made hollow, allowing the circulation of a coolant fluid into the vessel.

This year we went beyond the initial macrolattice design with flat outer skin and created new designs for the outer surfaces that minimize bending stresses. These surfaces for the second generation macrolattice designs have an “egg carton” shape (Figure 2). These parts have been designed with computer assisted design (CAD) software and built in a rapid prototyping machine. With future funding we will build a complete generation two macrolattice vessel with much improved structural performance.

We have also been working on filament wound conformable pressure vessels. Last year we designed conformable pressure vessel container segments with a “pillow” shape. In this year we designed, fabricated and pressure tested two pillow container segment prototypes (Figure 3). The segments were tested between two parallel plates for replicating the results that would be obtained if segments with elliptical ends were added to our design. The first prototype failed at a relatively low pressure (800 psi) at an unreinforced corner. The second prototype with reinforced corners had a boss failure at 1,600 psi. The tank fiber held up at this higher pressure, indicating good structural performance.
Finally, we also designed and fabricated components for replicant vessels, which also use an internal structure to hold the pressure, along with a thin outer skin that contains the hydrogen. The internal structure is made of “replicants,” which are small structural members that fill the interior of the vessel (Figure 4). It is believed replicant vessels will have a mass production advantage for large sizes (e.g. delivery trucks for pressurized hydrogen) where individual macrolattice struts would be very large. Mass production of the replicants and robotic assembly could result in large scale vessels with reduced manufacturing costs that would be time consuming to produce by conventional methods (filament winding).

Conclusions

- We have designed and fabricated optimum skin designs for second generation macrolattice containers. These new macrolattice containers are being designed for much improved structural performance
- We designed, fabricated and tested two prototype filament wound conformable vessel segments (pillow tanks)
- We have designed and fabricated components for replicant vessel designs
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