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Objectives

• Development of CO sensors that can detect carbon monoxide in a hydrogen containing gas stream

• DOE Requirements
  1. 1-100 ppm CO at <150°C
  2. 100-1000 ppm CO at 250°C
  3. 0.1-2% CO at 250°C-800°C

• These sensors can be used to control the air bleed into the fuel cell anode

• These sensors could also be used to control the oxygen input of the PROX reactor
Automotive-type sensors are required that meet performance and cost targets for measuring physical conditions and chemical species in fuel cell systems. Current sensors do not perform within the required ambient and process conditions, do not possess the required accuracy and range, and/or are too costly.

Currently, there are no commercial sensors that can sense CO in a H₂ containing stream
Technical Targets

1. **1–100 ppm reformate pre-stack sensor**
   - Operational temperature: <150°C
   - Response time: 0.1–1 sec
   - Gas environment: high-humidity reformer/partial oxidation gas: \( \text{H}_2 \) 30%–75%, \( \text{CO}_2, \text{CO}, \text{N}_2, \text{H}_2\text{O} \) at 1–3 atm total pressure
   - Accuracy: 1%–10% full scale

2. **100–1000 ppm CO sensor**
   - Operational temperature: 250°C
   - Response time: 0.1–1 sec
   - Gas environment: high-humidity reformer/partial oxidation gas: \( \text{H}_2 \) 30%–75%, \( \text{CO}_2, \text{CO}, \text{N}_2, \text{H}_2\text{O} \) at 1–3 atm total pressure
   - Accuracy: 1%–10% full scale

3. **0.1–2% CO sensor 250°C–800°C**
   - Operational temperature: 250°C–800°C
   - Response time: 0.1–1 sec
   - Gas environment: high-humidity reformer/partial oxidation gas: \( \text{H}_2 \) 30%–75%, \( \text{CO}_2, \text{CO}, \text{N}_2, \text{H}_2\text{O} \) at 1–3 atm total pressure
   - Accuracy: 1%–10% full scale
Approach (Low Temperature)

- Low temperature amperometric device based on CO inhibition of hydrogen oxidation kinetics
  - Use Nafion® as the proton conducting membrane
  - Use Pt or Ru electrode as working electrode
    - Electrode is sensitive to CO poisoning
  - Use Pt-Ru electrode as a counter electrode
    - Electrode is tolerant to the presence of CO
Approach (High Temperature)

- Potentiometric CO sensor based on the mixed-potential developed at an oxide electrolyte/metal electrode interface

\[ V_0^{\cdot} + \frac{1}{2} O_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow O_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{CO sensor in air}

\[ CO + O_0 \rightarrow CO_2 + V_0^{\cdot} + 2e^- \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{CO sensor in H}_2

\[ H_2 + O_0 \rightarrow H_2O + V_0^{\cdot} + 2e^- \]

- Use YSZ(zirconsia) and CGO(Ceria) electrolytes
- Use various (Pt, Pd, Au, Ni) metal electrode combinations

Project Safety

- The Los Alamos “Integrated Safety Management” practice was followed:

Major Hazards:
- CO is toxic and H₂ is flammable
- Test Station have CO and H₂ detectors
- Will automatically shut off when CO exceeds 50ppm or H₂ exceeds the LEL

Problems:
- CO sensor has cross interference to H₂. Small H₂ leaks can cause the CO sensor to alarm
Project Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fy01</th>
<th>Fy02</th>
<th>Fy03</th>
<th>Fy04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project begins</td>
<td>Low temp CO sensor prototype developed</td>
<td>Improved Low Temperature sensor</td>
<td>Low temp CO sensor prototype developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense 100-1000ppm CO in H₂</td>
<td>Works at Room Temperature</td>
<td>Sense 1-100ppm CO in H₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High temp CO sensors first prototypes made</td>
<td>Stable response to 10-200ppm CO in H₂</td>
<td>After PrOx reactor (Fuel stream to power 5-10kW fuel cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense 1-500ppm CO in Room Air</td>
<td>at 80-90ºC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High temp CO sensors optimized</td>
<td>Electrodes and electrolytes have been optimized to yield stable responses at the lower CO concentrations</td>
<td>High temperature CO sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxide proton conductor thin film technology developed</td>
<td>at 80-90ºC</td>
<td>Heater has been incorporated into these sensors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Accomplishments (previous)

- Working Electrode
  - Pt/Nafion : 10mg/cm² of Pt
- Counter Electrode
  - Pt-Ru/Nafion : 10mg/cm² of Pt-Ru (50/50) alloy
- Electrolyte
  - Nafion 117

- Both the Pt and Pt/Ru alloy electrodes are good for H₂ oxidation

- There is very little effect of CO on the Pt/Ru alloy electrode
  - This electrode serves as a pseudo-reference electrode
- The Pt electrode reaction gets poisoned by the CO which can easily be cleaned at voltages > 0.4V

\[ H_2 \rightarrow 2H^+ + 2\overline{e} \]
\[ H_2 \rightarrow 2H^+ + 2\overline{e} \] on the Pt electrode

\[ T = \text{Room Temperature} \]
\[ \text{Gas} = H_2 / H_2O(90^\circ C) \]
\[ \text{Flow} = 100cc/min \]
Accomplishments (previous)

- The extent of poisoning on the Pt electrode can be used to give a useful sensor response.
- The current at 0.3V decreases from 15mamps to <5mamps when the CO content in the H₂ stream is increased from 0 to 50ppm.
- The final CO can be cleaned by applying a 0.8V potential for approx. one minute.
- Slow response time (>5 mins) when CO is introduced.
Accomplishments (previous)

- Stable response obtained at 70°C
- Elevated temperature improves the response of the sensor
- No CO cleanup is required
- Response time: 1 - 2mins
- Sensor sensitivity is less:
  - 100 ppm of CO
    80% change at room temperature
    16% change at 70°C
- Baseline recovery is still slow

Useful to protect fuel cell from spikes
Sensor Testing Post PrOx Reactor

- Sensor was placed downstream of the PrOx reactor
- The temperature of the gases was 70-90°C
- Typical flow rates:
  - $H_2 \approx 100\text{L/min (40\%)}$
  - $N_2 \approx 70\text{L/min (25\%)}$
  - $CO_2 \approx 50\text{L/min (17.5\%)}$
  - $H_2O \approx 0.7\text{gm/sec (17.5\%)}$
- System pressure was 10 psi
Sensor Response  
(Post PrOx Reactor)  

- Working Electrode (+ve)  
  - Pt/Nafion : 10mg/cm² of Pt  
- Counter Electrode (-ve)  
  - Pt-Ru/Nafion : 10mg/cm² of Pt-Ru (50/50) alloy  
- Electrolyte  
  - Nafion 117  
- Gas diffusion layer (both sides)  
  - E-Tek double sided carbon teflon GDL  
- Can sense 100-2000 ppm CO at 80°C  
- Response time is 1 sec at the high concentrations and <1 min at the low concentrations (1 sec between data points)  
- Response time at high CO concentrations is adequate while that at low concentrations has to be improved by signal processing  
- Response time of commercial IR sensor is 10 sec  

Sensor operated at 0.1V
Sensor Cycling Tests

- Same Conditions as previous test
- The sensor was able to track the cycling of CO concentration in the 100-1500 ppm range.
- The cycling period was 1 min in most cases (one 2 min cycle)
- The performance of the sensor was comparable to an analytical IR CO analyzer in most circumstances
- There are some fluctuations in the sensor current due to varying moisture content of the gases
  - Sensor will need to be calibrated for various temperatures and humidity contents

Sensor operated at 0.1V
Improved Sensor

- Working Electrode (+ve)
  - Pt/C/Nafion : 0.2mg/cm\(^2\) of Pt
- Counter Electrode (-ve)
  - Pt-Ru/Nafion : 10mg/cm\(^2\) of Pt-Ru (50/50) alloy
- Electrolyte
  - Nafion 112
- Gas diffusion layer (both sides)
  - E-Tek double sided Carbon PTFE GDL
- Can sense 25-100 ppm CO at 85\(^\circ\)C
- Response time is 1 sec at the high concentrations and <10 sec at 25-50 ppm CO (1 sec between data points)
- Response time from 0-25 and 25-0 ppm is slow and needs to be improved (signal processing)
- This sensors meets all (except response time) the DOE targets for the low concentration CO sensor

Sensor operated at 0.3V

Lower catalyst loading leads to improved sensitivity
Sensor Cycling Tests

- Same Conditions as previous test
- The sensor was able to track the cycling of CO concentration in the 0-100 ppm range.
- The cycling period was 1 min in most cases (some 30sec cycles)
- The performance of the sensor was comparable to an analytical IR CO analyzer in most circumstances
- The return to baseline of the sensor is slow and may need to be improved by the application of a stripping voltage (≈0.8V) for a short duration
- Sensor is more stable than the sensor with high loadings
Accomplishments (previous)

- **Electrolyte**
  - 8mole% YSZ (Zr$_{0.85}$Y$_{0.15}$O$_{1.93}$) or 20mole% CGO (Ce$_{0.8}$Gd$_{0.2}$O$_{1.9}$) or 20mole% EBO (Bi$_{0.8}$Er$_{0.2}$O$_{1.9-\delta}$)
- **Electrodes**
  - Pt, Au
- **Kinetic Control (Electrode morphology)**
- **Stable CO response in Air (tested for up to 2 months)**
- **Response time < 10sec**
- **Reproducible results from multiple sensors**

**Pt / Ce$_{0.8}$Gd$_{0.2}$O$_{1.9}$ / Au**

Patent application filed
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Accomplishments (previous)

- Electrolyte
  - 3mole% YSZ
- Electrode
  - Pd paint and Ni (1 µm sputtered)
- Lowered operating temperature (185°C)
- Response of 60mV @ 100ppm CO (10 fold increase)
- Stable baseline
- Approx. 1 minute response time
- High noise (need to average)
- Stability and Reproducibility?

Base Gas = 70%H₂/30%CO₂(H₂O)
Newly Developed Sensor

Requirements

• Previously developed
  1-100ppm at <150°C

• This sensor
  100-1000ppm at 250°C

• The Pt // CGO // CGO,Ni sensor operates at 300°C and can detect 100-1000 ppm CO in a H₂ stream

Have to study durability and repeatability
Heater

Photolithography Mask

- Used on 1/2 - 3/4” YSZ and CGO substrates
- Test heaters were made on sapphire substrates to study the power requirements
- The maximum power required was < 5 watts
  - 3/4” sapphire substrate at 300°C
- The actual power will be determined by the size of the substrate, the operating temperature of the sensor, and flow rate and temperature of the test gases
Interaction and Collaborations

- Extensive collaborations within the LANL team
  - Involved members from hydrogen fuel cell, direct methanol fuel cell, fuel processing and sensor teams
- NexTech Materials, Ltd
  - Non Disclosure Agreement signed
  - Results of our low temperature sensor tests have been shared
  - Potential for technology transfer is being studied
Reviewer’s Questions

• Status of Commercially available CO sensors?
  • Not available, Several patents have been issued. However, sensor configuration and measurement electronics are complicated. US Patent 6,001,499 and 6,488,836)

• Stability of metal electrodes at high temperatures?
  • These metal electrodes have been found to be stable for at least 2 months of continuous operation (US Patent 6,605,202)

• Define power requirements to these sensors
  • The power requirement for the high temperature sensor will be <5 watts (the actual power will depend on the final sensor configuration)
  • The power requirement for the low temperature sensor will be a few mW. It is mainly the power required to operate the measurement electronics and control system (Apply a voltage of <0.5V and measure a current of <100mA)
Future Work (FY 04)

Study effect of impurities like H$_2$S on the sensor response of both the high and low temperature systems

  Safety requirements are being met in order to use highly toxic H$_2$S gas

Stability of high temperature sensors when exposed to high CO concentrations for prolonged periods

Explore possibilities of technology transfer to industry

Identified critical need for safety sensors that can discriminate between H$_2$ and CO.

  CO safety sensors that have minimal cross interference from H$_2$
Conclusions

• PEM based CO sensors have stable reproducible response to 25-2000ppm of CO at 80-90°C
  • Low Pt loading (0.2mg/cm²) of the working electrode results in a sensor that can detect 1-100 ppm CO in the fuel inlet stream of a fuel cell stack
  • High Pt loading (10mg/cm²) of the working electrode results in a sensor that can detect 100-2000 ppm CO in the inlet stream of a PrOx reactor
• Oxide based sensors can be used to measure 25-1000ppm CO in H₂/CO₂/H₂O mixtures at 150-300°C
  • Stability and response time need improvement
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