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Overview

Timeline

- Project started in the early 1990s’
- Reviewed and renewed every FY through Annual Operation Plans
- Incorporated into MHCoE January 2005
- Percent complete ~ 50% for FY05

Barriers

MYPP Section 3.3.4.2.1 On-Board Storage Barriers

- A. – G. Cost, Weight & Volume, Efficiency, Durability, Refueling Time, Codes & Standards, Life Cycle & Efficiency Analyses
- M. Hydrogen Capacity and Reversibility
- N. Lack of Understanding of Hydrogen Physisorption and Chemisorption
- O. Test Protocols and Evaluation Facilities
- P. Dispensing Technology

Partners

- MHCoE collaborators include Caltech, ORNL, JPL, UNR, Stanford U, U of Utah, U Hawaii, U of PITT, SRNL, HRL, UIUC, CMU, GE, NIST, BNL, Intematix
- Gary Sandrock operates IEA/Task-17, maintains the Hydride Information Center databases and collaborates with BNL
- Singapore U., Tohoku U., UCLA, U. Geneva, LLNL

FY2005 Budget ~ $1.85 M

- New Materials R&D
- Fundamental Modeling & Mechanisms
- Synthesis Development
- Engineering Sciences
- Safety & Contamination Studies
Objectives

- Develop new reversible hydrogen storage materials that meet or exceed DOE FreedomCAR 2010 and 2015 goals,
- Identify reversible hydrides that exceed the hydrogen capacity of Mg modified Li amides in FY05.

Sandia Team (~6 FTEs)

Ray Baldonado  Jay Keller  Ken Stewart
Bob Bastasz  Weifang Luo  Roland Stumpf
Tim Boyle  Eric Majzoub  Konrad Thuemer
Yongkee Chae  Tony McDaniel  Jim Voigt
Paul Crooker*  Marcina Moreno  Karl Wally*
Sherrika Daniel*  Vidvuds Ozolins (consultant)  Jim Wang
Karl Gross (consultant)  Ewa Ronnebro*  Ken Wilson
Steve Karim  Gary Sandrock (consultant)  Nancy Yang

* New Team Members
Approach

Science-based materials development

High Capacity Materials Research & Development

Material Compatibility, Synthesis & Contamination Studies

Fundamental Modeling

Storage System Design

Structure Properties

Delivery of Storage System

……… 2005 ……………………………………… 2007 …………………………… 2009 …
I. New Hydrogen Storage Materials

A. Low temperature Mg modified Li amides

Amide : -NH₂, LiNH₂
Imide : NH, Li₂NH
Nitride : N, Li₃N

1st Step:

LiNH₂ + LiH → Li₂NH + H₂ 300°C
1 atm

6.5 wt%

2nd step:

Li₂NH + LiH → Li₃N + H₂ 300°C
0.05 atm

5 wt%

Two steps in total: 11.5 wt%

Major limitations:

• Temperature too high
• Pressure too low

New system:
Partial Mg substitution

(A1) Thermodynamic characterization - Luo

Isotherms were measured at:

- 220, 200, 180°C for absorption and desorption.
- Plateau pressure much higher than the one without Mg-substitution.
85% of desorption completed in 0.5h at 220°C
Sorption rate decreases with decreasing temperature
A new reaction path was proposed based on the material characterization results:

\[ 2\text{LiNH}_2 + \text{MgH}_2 \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{Mg(NH)}_2 + 2\text{H}_2 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad 2\text{LiH} + \text{Mg(NH}_2\text{)}_2 \]

* Mylar was used to protect sample from being contaminated during XRD scanning.
N-H vibrational features appeared upon first heating of freshly milled sample. Structural changes in material stabilized on subsequent ads-des cycles.

(A4) Diffuse Reflectance Infrared Spectroscopy Measurements—McDaniel & Chae

- First desorption cycle material “as milled”
- Second desorption cycle followed H₂ adsorption
  - 8 MPa
  - 473 K
  - 120 minutes
- H₂ desorption
  - 130 KPa
  - 5 K min⁻¹ ramp

**Cycle #1**

**Repeat Cycles**
NH₃ in desorbed gas was found to be < 40 ppm

(A5) Desorbed gas analysis– Luo
(A6) Ammonia Issues - Luo

- Ammonia formation:
  - Is possible from self-decomposition of amide at higher temperatures than hydrogen formation
  - Could be inhibited by thorough mixing with sufficient amount of hydrides
- Potential methods to eliminate ammonia formation:
  - Optimize operational temperature
  - Optimize amide/hydride ratio
- Potential methods to remove ammonia in H₂ stream:
  - Add ammonia filter or trap before enter fuel cell system

Ammonia desorption can be controlled by engineering design
(A7) Cycle test to 101 cycles - Gross

Capacity loss: 0.005wt% per cycle
Loaded with ~ 130 grams ball-milled LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$

Optimized to measure $K_{th}$ up to ~5 W/m-K
Thermal conductivity of LiNH$_2$+MgH$_2$ material increases with gas pressure and similar to those of sodium alanates.
B. Modified Complex Hydrides
Investigation of bi-alkali alanates

- Pressed pellets of hand mixed or ball milled samples were tested at high pressures up to 136 MPa and temperatures up to 450°C facility.
- bi-alkali alanates of various molar ratios were tested:
  - Li-K, Li-Mg, Li-Ca, Li-Ti, Mg-Ti, etc....
  - New bi-alkali Li-K alanate formed @ 68 MPa and 330°C
    - Starting mixture of LiAlH4 + 2KH or LiH + 2KH + Al
    - Pellets expanded and showed in white color
- Investigation of Li(Al1-xBx)H4, Na(Al1-xBx)H4, etc...systems are in progress
Properties of new Li-K alanates - Ronnebro

Powder X-ray diffraction pattern

New phase
Monoclinic symmetry

Raman spectra

FTIR

Structural, kinetic and thermodynamic properties are under investigation
Can Hydrogen Driven Metallurgical Reactions be used to make nanocomposites for “stimulating” the Borohydrides?

\[
\text{LiBH}_4 \Leftrightarrow \text{LiH} + \text{B} + \frac{3}{2} \text{H}_2 \ (13.9 \ \text{wt. \ % \ H})
\]
\[
\text{NaBH}_4 \Leftrightarrow \text{NaH} + \text{B} + \frac{3}{2} \text{H}_2 \ (8.0 \ \text{wt. \ % \ H})
\]

Possible Oxide Precursor Reactions (schematic):

\[
\text{NaBH}_4 + \text{MoO}_3 \Rightarrow \text{NaBH}_4 + \text{Mo} + (\text{Na}_2\text{O} \text{ or } \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \text{ or } \text{H}_2\text{O})
\]
\[
\text{NaBH}_4 + \text{Mo} \Leftrightarrow \text{NaH} + \text{MoB}_x + \text{H}_2
\]
Effect of Mo & MoO$_3$ on NaBH$_4$ - Sandrock

Mo is not the best addition for NaBH$_4$ reversibility because the Mo-borides are too stable.
D. Destabilized Mg hydride – Gross
(in collaboration with HRL)

\[ \text{MgH}_2 \text{ Has 7.6 wt.\% hydrogen - but too stable for FCV applications} \]

\[ \text{Much more favorable thermodynamics: } 2\text{MgH}_2 + \text{Si} \rightarrow \text{Mg}_2\text{Si} + 2\text{H}_2 \]

\[ \text{Concentration [wt. \% H2]} \]

\[ \text{Time [Hours]} \]

\[ \text{XRD after desorption showed 100\% conversion to Mg}_2\text{Si} \]

- Reversibility being tested using High-pressure station
- 4.5 wt% hydrogen was release on desorption at 360°C
- XRD after desorption showed 100\% conversion to Mg\textsubscript{2}Si
E. Aluminum hydrides (AlH$_3$)
(collaboration of Sandrock & BNL)

\[ \alpha\text{-AlH}_3 \rightarrow \text{Al} + \frac{3}{2} \text{H}_2 \]

H-capacity (g) = 10.1 wt%  
H-capacity (v) = 149 kg/m$^3$  
$\Delta H_{\text{des}}$ = 7.6 kJ/mol H$_2$
Effect of LiH doping via TPD – Sandrock

Desorption temperature can be reduced by adding more LiH

TPD Heating Rate = 2°C/min

Temperature, °C

H₂ wt%
II. Fundamental Mechanisms & Modeling

(1) Surface alloy catalytic model of NaAlH₄ - Stumpf

- H₂ chemistry is autocatalytic: H promotes (sub-) surface Ti
- Sub-surface Ti creates “activated” sp3-like Al surface atoms with stronger H affinity and reduced H₂ sorption barriers
- Exposed Ti offers chemisorbed H₂ binding site and vanishing barriers
- AlHₓ provides long range Al transport
- Results for Sc are similar to those for Ti

Surface alloys of simple and transition metals are promising new catalysts for H chemistry
(2) Effect of $H_2$ or $H$? - Majzoub & Stumpf

Experimental support for surface mechanism: dosing of Al+NaH with “atomic” $H$

Idea: use Pd surface to crack $H_2$
- X-ray diffraction after 10 day exposure of Al+NaH to $H_2$ in contact with Pd foil shows 10% of Al+NaH converts to $Na_3AlH_6$ and $NaAlH_4$
- Control experiment without Pd shows < 1% alanate formation

$H_2$ cracking ability of Pd helps hydride formation
(3A) Where is Ti? - Bastasz

H may stabilize Ti on Al surfaces – Predictions:
• $H$ on surface promotes $Ti$ segregation to near-surface sites
• $Ti$ reduces $H_2$ adsorption barriers on Al to a fraction of an eV.
• $Ti$ facilitates both uptake and release of $H_2$.

Is there experimental evidence for this?
(3B) Model validation - Bastasz

**Ti/(Ti+Al) signal ratio changes indicating that Ti concentration on the surface appears to increase upon exposing sample to D₂.**
(4) **In-Situ Raman spectra observations - Majzoub**

- Crystal modes soften up to 6-7% at Tm
- AlH₄ anion modes soften less than 1.5%
- AlH₄ anion is also stable in the melt!

*Data shows a very stable AlH₄ anion.*
Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images of Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$ show the particle size to be ~1-2 mm. The morphology appears coarse and brittle which can be easily broken or ground.

However, poor performance was observed due to contamination of residue solvents from wet chemistry processing.
Responses to Previous Year Reviewers’ Comments


2. Not enough progress made toward development of onboard storage module – we will start the storage module development later this FY and gradually increase its efforts as the program progresses toward Phase II.

3. Primary empirical approach to new material discovery – we selected our tested materials based on thermodynamics, atomistic modeling and experiences (teaming between modeling and experimentation).

4. Cost estimation is not covered – we will initiate cost study as one of system studies in parallel to the materials discovery efforts.

5. Difficulty of geographic separation – we established on-line, instant communication system and regular teleconferences and face-to-face meetings for all Center partners.
6. System-based studies are needed – we started the Center (in Jan) with engineering system as a central focus, with a ramp up of the engineering design in phase II.

7. Make sure the performance metrics include considerations of (1) “whole storage system” weights and volumes and (2) “net” energy delivered to the vehicle – we used this to screen our material candidates as a part of our Center system-based approach.

8. Schedule down select of materials – yes, we have go/no-go decision points in our AOP milestones as well as our MHCoE plan.

9. Investment in Na-alanates? – we stopped most tests on Na-alanates except some experiments to validate our 1st principle model.
Future Work

Remainder of FY2005

• New Storage Materials Development
  – Explore new complex hydrides via HP/HT process
  – Optimize Li-Mg-H based materials for faster kinetics and lower temperatures
  – Search for storage materials with optimal properties
• Fundamental Mechanisms
  – Conclude the modeling validation experiments on alanates
  – Initiate modeling and mechanisms studies on Li-Mg-H, B-Li-H and Al-H based materials
• Chemical Synthesis Development
  – Improve the wet chemistry process to produce pure storage materials with nano-size particles
• Engineering Science of Complex Hydrides
  – Continue to measure engineering properties of hydrogen storage materials, e.g., thermal conductivities, volume expansion, tap density,…..etc.
  – Continue to study performance degradation and reliability of candidate storage materials
  – Initiate investigation on reactions related to safety
• Collaboration with MHCoE Partners
  – Lead the Metal Hydride Center of Excellence.
Future Work

FY2006 and beyond

• New Storage Materials Development
  – Continue to search for materials with optimal storage properties

• Fundamental Mechanisms
  – Continue to model newly discovered materials
  – Develop models to predict new materials and to guide experiments

• Chemical Synthesis Development
  – Continue to develop processes to produce storage materials with nano-size particles.

• Engineering Science of newly developed Hydrides
  – Continue to build engineering property database of hydrides.