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Overview

Timeline
- Start – June 2005
- Finish – July 2007
- 35 percent complete

Budget
- Total project funding
  - DOE - $1,886,504
  - Contractor - $0
- 2005 - $570,000
- 2006 - $745,000

Barriers
- Production / delivery systems analysis
- Novel solid / liquid transport
- Delivery storage costs

Targets
- 2006: Delivery infrastructure criteria
- 2010: <$1.70/gge delivery + dispensing
- 2015: <$1.00/gge delivery + dispensing

Partners
- TIAx
- GTI
- Air Liquide
- NREL & ANL
- Chevron
- Pinnacle West
Objectives

- Refine technical and cost data in H2A Component and Scenario Models to incorporate additional industrial input and evolving technology improvements
- Explore new options to reduce hydrogen delivery cost
- Expand H2A Component and Scenario Models to include new options
- Provide bases to recommend hydrogen delivery strategies
Approach

- Compile data on fossil and renewable energy resources, and on liquid and gas fuel distribution methods
- Develop energy requirements, capital costs, and operating costs for 19 hydrogen delivery methods
- Evaluate capability of existing infrastructure to deliver hydrogen
- Assess greenhouse gas and pollutant emissions for each delivery option
- Compare and rank delivery options
- Recommend hydrogen delivery strategies as a function of market development
Delivery Options

1. Dedicated pipelines for hydrogen gas delivery
2. Convert existing natural gas and oil pipelines to hydrogen gas delivery
3. Blend hydrogen with natural gas for pipeline transmission; separate at city gate
4. Truck or rail delivery of hydrogen gas
5. Truck or rail transport of hydrogen liquid
6. Novel hydrogen carriers
7. Methanol, ethanol, and ammonia as hydrogen carriers
Hydrogen Pipeline Experience

- Transmission lines
  - 600 miles in US
  - 10 in. to 18 in. lines
    (100,000-500,000 kg/d)
  - Sizes required for fully-developed hydrogen economy
  - $0.5 to $2 million per mile
  - ~ 2 to 5% more expensive than natural gas transmission lines

- Compressors
  - Reciprocating only
  - Compressor cost: 100% more than natural gas

- Distribution lines
  - None built to date
  - Borrow from natural gas experience
  - Very high cost; comparable to transmission lines
Pipelines Available for Conversion

**Crude Oil Pipelines**
- Lines to transport crude
  - Gulf area to Midwest refineries
  - California to Gulf Coast refineries
- Availability for conversion
  - Near term: lines from depleted oil field
  - Long term: all lines

**Petroleum Product Pipelines**
- Lines to transport petroleum products
  - Gulf Coast refineries to Midwest
  - Gulf Coast refineries to East coast
- Availability for conversion
  - Near term: none
  - Long term: all lines

**Natural Gas Pipelines**
- Transmission lines available for conversion
  - Near term: none
  - Long term: all lines
- Distribution lines available for conversion
  - Plastic lines if $H_2$ pressure less than 100 psi
Economics for Converting Pipelines

- 474,000 kg/d hydrogen
- Transmission: 100 miles
- Forecourt: 320 stations
- Distribution line: 640 miles

Conversion cost is 1/3 cost of new line

Modest savings as transmission line cost is small component of the total delivery cost
Natural Gas – Hydrogen Separation

Technology

- Pressure Swing Absorption
- Membrane
- H₂ Absorber
- Methane Hydrate
- Proprietary Process
Air Liquide Proprietary Separation Process

- 99.99% hydrogen purity from mixtures with low hydrogen content
- Process based on mature technologies
- 90% hydrogen recovery
- 5 psi pressure loss for natural gas
- Natural gas odorant remains in natural gas stream
- Capital cost - $44 million; Power consumption - 11 MW; Annual O&M cost - $3.7 million
**Economics of Separation**

- **H₂ demand:** 64,000 kg/day
- **Transmission:** 100 miles
- **Forecourt:** 43 stations
- **Distribution line:** 86 miles

*Cost effective only if production plant is more than 175 miles from city gate*
Gas Station Fueling Profile
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Day of Week Sales Profile

Friday is the busiest day, and 5pm Friday is the busiest of all hours.
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Transmission Pipeline Storage

- Stored mass, lbm
- Inlet Pressure

500,000 kg/day
100 km pipeline
34 in. oversize (16 in. nominal)

Pressure, lb/in²

Stored mass, lb m

Time

12:00 AM 2:00 AM 4:00 AM 6:00 AM 8:00 AM 10:00 AM 12:00 PM 2:00 PM 4:00 PM 6:00 PM 8:00 PM 10:00 PM 12:00 AM

Nexant
Storage to Match Forecourt Demand

- Oversize pipeline
- Pressure vessel
- Liquefaction plant

City Consumption Rate, kg/day

Capital Cost, $1000
**Methanol, Ethanol, Ammonia as Carrier**

- Forecourt conversion
  - Methanol: steam reforming
  - Ethanol: auto thermal reforming
  - Ammonia: dissociation

- Cost of hydrogen in carrier is difficult to calculate; economics can only be compared with other delivery methods by including hydrogen production costs

**Purchase cost, $/gallon**
- Methanol: 0.95
- Ethanol: 1.55
- Ammonia: 0.76
# Novel Hydrogen Carriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Forecourt Processing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alanate</td>
<td>Dehydrogenate to produce GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Hydride</td>
<td>React with $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to produce GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Hydrocarbon</td>
<td>Pump to on-board fuel tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowable Powder</td>
<td>Pump to on-board fuel tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bricks</td>
<td>Load as on-board fuel tank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Liquid Hydrocarbon Carrier

- **Promising option**
  - High H₂ content: 5-6.5 wt%
  - Safe storage and transport
  - No forecourt gas compression
  - Delivery infrastructure in place
- **On-board dehydrogenation**
  - 80 C desired; 170 C to date
  - 75 C melting point

- **Hydrogenation**
  - Central processing
  - 170 C, 1200 psi
  - Carrier makeup/blowdown requirement defines economics
Summary

- Hourly variation in forecourt demand is perhaps best met by oversize transmission line
- Small cost benefit to converting natural gas or oil pipeline to hydrogen transmission line
- Limited opportunities for using natural gas / hydrogen blends during transition
- Liquid hydrocarbon carriers, such as being developed by Air Products, are promising
Future Work

- Provide additional performance and cost data to Component and Scenario Models
- Evaluate capability of existing infrastructure for hydrogen delivery
- Estimate greenhouse gas and pollutant emissions in hydrogen delivery
- Estimate efficiencies, costs, and emissions of hydrogen delivery at market penetrations of 1%, 10%, 30%, 70%, and 100%
- Recommend delivery strategies at each market penetration