

# Effect of System and Air Contaminants on PEMFC Performance and Durability



**Huyen Dinh (PI)**

**National Renewable  
Energy Laboratory**

**June 11, 2010**

**2010 Annual Merit  
Review and Peer  
Evaluation Meeting**

**FC048**

**This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information**

# Overview

## Timeline

Start: July 2009

End: September 2013

% complete: ~5%

## Budget

DOE Cost Share	Recipient Cost Share	TOTAL
\$6,000,000	\$788,850	\$6,788,850*
88%	12%	100%

DOE Budget  
(\$K)

FY 2009	1035
FY 2010	700
FY 2011	1438
FY 2012	1476
FY 2013	1351

\*Final award amounts are subject to appropriations and award negotiations.

## Barriers

Barrier	2015 Target
A: Durability	5,000 h for Transportation 40,000 h for Stationary
B: Cost	\$30/kW for transportation \$750/kW for Stationary

## Partners (contract date)

**General Motors\*** (3/10)

**University of South Carolina\*** (1/10)

**Los Alamos National Laboratory\*** (8/09)


**University of Hawaii\*** (TBD)

**3M** (N/A)


\* denotes subcontractor

# Relevance

- Balance of plant (BOP) costs have risen in importance with decreasing stack costs.
- Contaminants from system components (GM) have been shown to affect the performance/durability of fuel cell systems.
- Durability requirements limit performance loss due to contaminants to at most a few mV over required lifetimes (1000s of hours). ~Zero impact for system contaminants.




R. Farmer's presentation on Fuel Cell Technologies: FY2011 Budget Request Briefing, Feb. 12, 2010



Average cell performance of a 90kW fuel cell stack after 850+ hours of use in test vehicle. The cell performance improved after exposure to oxidation. The recoverable 25 mV voltage loss was attributed to system-based contaminants. (provided by GM)

# Relevance

- Unfortunately, commercially relevant, system-derived contaminants have many potential sources.



Typical automotive fuel cell system.

## Typical “gas wetted” components used in a PEMFC system.

Air management Compressor Humidifier Heat exchanger Valves Sensors Seals/sealants Conduits/hoses	Fuel management Gas metering Recirculation pump Valves Sensors Seals/sealants Conduits/hoses	Stack Bipolar plates Seals/sealants Subgaskets Membrane Electrodes Insulators and ports Seals/sealants Conduits/hoses	Integration Stack manifolds Seals/sealants Conduits/hoses
---	--	---	--

•D.A. Masten, A.B. Bosco *Handbook of Fuel Cells* (eds.: W. Vielstich, A. Lamm, H.A. Gasteiger), Wiley (2003): vol. 4, chapter 53, p. 714.


## Examples of common additives in automotive thermoplastics

Glass fiber sizing	Primary antioxidant	Secondary antioxidant	UV stabilizer	Flame retardant	Processing aids	Biocides	Other
Vinyl silane	Hindered phenols	Organophosphates	Hindered amines	Antimony oxide	Calcium stearate	Triclosan	Residual monomer
Amino silane	Organotins	Thio esters	Benzophenones	Borates	Amide wax	Oxy-bisphenoxarsine	Catalysts
Mercapto silane	Mercapto-		Hydroxyphenyl	Bromates	Oligomeric wax		Residual solvents
Epoxy silane	benzimidizoles		benzotriazoles	Phosphates	Fatty acid amides Glycerides		

Budinski, K. G.; Budinski, M. K. *Engineering Materials: properties and selection*. 8th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; 2005, p. 768.

# Relevance – Background Data

- In-situ experiments have shown a clear negative impact from system-based contaminants.
- For the case shown, the impact is observed through membrane failure, voltage loss and HFR gain.
- While little has been done in the area of system contaminants, our team members have been leaders in the limited amount reported in this area.




MEAs were assembled with a siloxane containing adhesive

- Siloxane degraded and migrated into the membrane, which became embrittled and mechanically failed.

A non-Si containing adhesive was selected

Source: GM




K. O'Leary, M. Budinski, B. Lakshmanan, "Methodologies for Evaluating Automotive PEM Fuel Cell System Contaminants.", NRC-CNRC Workshop, 2009


# Relevance – Background Data

**Ex-situ experiments are effective methods for quickly screening materials.**

Leachants obtained from different grades of the same family of polymers results in very different conductivities (potentially reflecting quantity and type of contaminant).



Electrochemical data, including leachant solutions, shows that system contaminants impact catalysts.



## Leaching Soak Test of Solid or Gel Materials:

- Soak in DI water for 250 hours at  $90^\circ\text{C}$  in PE bottles
  - Standard part surface area
  - Standard volume of water
- Extract leachant for experimentation

## Testing Liquid Materials:

- Direct testing of liquids

*K. O'Leary, M. Budinski, B. Lakshmanan, "Methodologies for Evaluating Automotive PEM Fuel Cell System Contaminants.", NRC-CNRC Workshop, 2009*

# Relevance/Approach

## Objectives/2009-2010 Milestones

### Objectives


**Decrease the cost associated with system components without compromising function, fuel cell performance, or durability**

- Identify and quantify system derived contaminants
- Develop *ex-situ* and *in-situ* test methods to study system components
- Identify severity of system contaminants and impact of operating conditions
- Identify poisoning mechanisms and investigate mitigation strategies
- Develop models/predictive capability
- Develop material/component catalogues based on system contaminant potential to guide system developers on future material selection
- Disseminate knowledge gained to community

### 2009-2010 Milestones


1	Quantify impact of (at least 3) leaching conditions on leachants obtained from (at least 3) polymer samples.	09/09 100% complete
2	Compile comprehensive list of identified, plausible polymer families for fuel cell systems.	07/10 50% complete
3	Quantify the impact of identified leachant mixtures (at least 4) on fuel cell performance and durability.	09/10
4	Isolate electrochemically inhibiting compounds from (at least 4) polymeric leachants.	09/10

# Approach\* – Project Overview




\*Beyond what is presented here, our approach is driven by other input, in part, provided in supplemental slides. For example, hydrophilicity changes are not currently included in work plan.

# Approach – General Terms



Stack and Module Materials<sup>1</sup>

## Examples of polymer classes with generalized costs for the system<sup>2</sup>



<sup>2</sup>Budinski, K. G.; Budinski, M. K. *Engineering Materials: properties and selection*. 8th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; 2005, p. 768.

Our materials selection is based on issues such as exposed surface area, total mass/volume, fluid contact, function, cost, and performance implications.

# Approach – Materials Prioritization

Current prioritization for perceived impact of potential system contaminants (based on GM internal knowledge)

1. Structural materials
2. Coolants\*
3. Elastomers for seals
4. Elastomers for (sub)gaskets
5. Assembly aids (adhesives, lubricants)
6. Hoses
7. Membrane degradation products
8. Bipolar/end plates
9. Ions from catalyst alloys

\* Limited efforts within this project, due to options and existing data.

- **Strong polymer focus, as much of the system is polymer based**
- **Component list contains commodity materials or materials developed for other applications where issues of fuel cell contamination would not be a concern.**
- **Try to leverage synergies between these materials (for example: small molecule, organic leachants or common additives/processing aids)**

# Approach – Protocols/Testing

## GM's established test protocols used on leachant from polyamide polymer

	Measurement	Method*	Requirement	Polyamide
★	Leaching Test	FCA-T0008	N/A	N/A
	Total organic content (TOC)	FCA-T0008	<TBD mg/l	124 mg/l
	Total inorganic content (TIC)	FCA-T0008	<TBD mg/l	40 mg/l
	Total surface tension	FCA-T0008	>TBD mN/m	Not measured
★	Color change	FCA-T0008	no color change via UV-Vis	No change
★	Olfactory test	FCA-T0008	no odor	Amine
★	pH	FCA-T0008	TBD	Not measured
★	Conductivity	FCA-T0008	<TBD uS/cm	210 uS/cm
	Proton conductivity test	FCA-T0015	TBD	Not measured
	GDL surface energy test	FCA-T0016	>140° water contact angle	Not measured
	BPP wetting contamination test	FCA-T0017	TBD	Not measured
	FC Cyclic voltammetry test	FCA-T0018	TBD	Not measured
★	Analytical Characterization	FCA-T0008	TBD	Not measured
★	Beaker CV test	FCA-T0019	TBD	Not measured

- **Standard test protocols are important in evaluating materials as this approach will allow for broader studies to be performed.**
- **GM has put significant work in establishing test protocols and these will be disseminated to the community as part of the project.**

★ Test methods NREL used to date in project **11**

# Technical Accomplishments and Progress

---

- 87% of subcontract funding now in place.
- Kickoff Meeting (3/24 – 3/25/2010).
- Obtained relevant materials sets.
- Initiated leachant experiments for polymeric samples.
- Applied and evaluated multiple techniques for analyzing leachants (e.g., GC-MS, FTIR-ATR, ICP-MS, pH, conductivity, TOC, contact angle).
- Established competencies for GM established test protocols.

# Technical Accomplishments and Progress

## Investigated Leaching Test Procedures:

- 2 pieces of 1x4 inches<sup>2</sup> were prepared, giving a ratio of 103 cm<sup>2</sup> to 100 ml solution
- 100 ml total of solution was used for each sample
- Three different solutions (at 80°C)
  - DI water
  - 0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>
  - 3%H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>
- 5 ml aliquots were collected at:
  - 1, 7, 15, 22, 32, 45, 60 day intervals
- pH, conductivity, FTIR and GCMS were performed on each sample

## Relevant Polymeric Materials Tested:

- *Acrylic Buna-N Blended Rubber*
- *Aramid/Buna-N*
- *Abrasion resistant SBR Rubber (Red)*
- *Weather resistant EPDM Rubber (Plain black)*
- *FDA-compliant Silicone Rubber (Plain black)*
- *Corrosion resistant Viton® Fluoroelastomer*
- *Amber Polyurethane Sheet*
- *M-strength Neoprene Rubber (Plain black)*
- *Silicone gasket*
- *Teflon coated fiberglass (Furon)*

# Technical Accomplishments and Progress

## Leachant Experiments on Relevant Materials

	in DI water	0.1M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	3%H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> +0.1M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>
<b>Control (no polymeric material)</b>			
<b>1. Acrylic Buna-N Blended Rubber (Gasket Sheet)</b>			
<b>2. Aramid/Buna-N Gasket</b>			
<b>3. Abrasion resistant SBR Rubber Red</b>			
<b>4. Weather resistance EPDM Rubber Plain black</b>			

Some material resulted in obvious change in color, smell, and turbidity, as well as precipitation formation of leachant solutions.

We present SBR Rubber as a case study material for this presentation.



# Technical Accomplishments and Progress

## Develop Test Methods

### SBR Rubber (Red)

pH

Conductivity



Acid solutions caused a large pH & conductivity change in material that broke down.

In DI water


0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + 0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>



# Technical Accomplishments — Develop Test Methods


## Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy [GCMS]



**Separates leachant components and identifies chemical compounds**

- Aniline is major leachant in SBR (quality = 91)

## FTIR / ATR – Attenuated Total Reflection




**Confirms major functional groups of compounds identified by GCMS**


- Aromatic rings in SBR

## Chemical designation of abrasion-resistant styrene butadiene rubber (SBR)-Red


- Styrene



- Butadiene




Polymerization of monomer involves:  
Chain transfer agent such as an alkyl mercaptan



# Technical Accomplishments — Develop Test Methods

## Identified leachant components studied over time



Leachant rates may be obtained.

**Identified components seem reasonable based on known polymer chemical structure and FTIR. GC-MS seems to be a good method to identify leachants.**

# Collaborations

## PRIME

### **National Renewable Energy Laboratory:**

Huyen Dinh (PI), Bryan Pivovar, Guido Bender, Heli Wang, Clay Macomber, Kevin O'Neill, and Shyam Kocha, Sidney Coombs

## SUBCONTRACTS

**General Motors (GM):** Kelly O'Leary, Balsu Lakshmanan, and Rob Reid

**University of South Carolina (USC):** John Van Zee and Jean St. Pierre

**Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL):** Tommy Rockward

**University of Hawaii (UH):** Rick Rocheleau

**3M\*:** Steve Hammrock

**Contaminant identification (GM)**

**Test method development & validation (NREL, GM, USC)**

**Contaminant characterization (GM, NREL, USC, LANL, UH)**

**Poisoning mechanisms identification (NREL, GM, USC)**

**Mitigation strategies investigation (NREL, GM, USC)**

**Model development (USC)**


**Model validation (USC, GM, NREL)**

**Data compilation and public dissemination (NREL, GM, USC, LANL, UH)**

\* Provide membrane degradation products

# Proposed Future Work:

## Work Plan From Kick Off Meeting (4/2010-12/2010):



4/2010 ————— 7/2010 ————— 10/2010 ————— 19

# Summary

**Relevance:** Focus on overcoming the cost and durability barriers for fuel cell systems.

**Approach:** Perform parametric studies of the effect of system contaminants on fuel cell performance and durability, identify poisoning mechanisms and recommend mitigation strategies, develop predictive modeling and disseminate material catalogues that benefit the fuel cell industry in making cost-benefit analyses of system components.

**Technical Accomplishments and Progress:** 85% of the subcontract and funding are in place. We obtained relevant materials set and initiated leachant experiments for over 10 polymeric samples. We initiated evaluation of various methods for analyzing leachants (e.g., GCMS, FTIR-ATR, ICP-MS, pH, conductivity, total organic content, contact angle), and established competencies mimicking GM established test protocols.

**Collaborations:** Our team has significant background data and relevant experience. It consists of a diverse team of researchers from several institutions including 2 national labs, 2 universities, and 2 industry partners.

**Proposed Future Research:** Select and study polymeric structural materials because they have the highest impact of potential system contaminants. Develop standard testing protocols and benchmarking equipment/methods.

---

# Supplemental Slides

# Approach – Fuel Cell Impact Prioritization

## 4 major components susceptible to contamination (in exposure order):

1. Plate hydrophilicity/ hydrophobicity
2. Diffusion Media hydrophilicity/ hydrophobicity
3. Electrode
4. Membrane

## Consequences of contamination & prioritization of fuel cell performance impact: (in order of prioritization)

1. Electrode performance
2. Increased membrane resistance
3. Decreased membrane durability
4. GDL Water management issues

## Learnings to Date:

1. Continuous soak in DI water for 1000 hours is current procedure of choice. Conductivity measured 1 x/week. Odor, appearance, bubbling recorded. Shake test, pH, and conductivity are most useful quick screening methods.
2. CV is extremely useful and we've developed a number of techniques depending on what we're studying. It is currently used for 2 types of experiments: a quick screen, and a recovery screen
3. Membrane resistance work has been limited, but needs further exploration
4. Plate hydrophilicity/ hydrophobicity is too sensitive to obtain useful data
5. Diffusion media hydrophilicity/ hydrophobicity has shown little to no effects on water management

Source: GM

# Example Work Flow: Nylon 6,6

1. Order variety of Nylon 6,6 from 2 manufacturers: hydrolytically stabilized, 25% reinforced w/ glass, carbon, carbon rods, clay, etc
2. Soak samples in di water as soon as they arrive
3. Measure pH, H conductivity, odor, color, CV, and membrane conductivity, all in parallel. Start soaking membrane in extract for aging
  - a. During steps 4 and 5, perform chemical analysis on extract and bulk material
4. If possible or beneficial, perform extended CV experiments on extracts
5. Perform in situ fuel cell experiments with and w/o current distribution, perform DOE on concentration, temperature, current, RH, and Pt loading (all on extract sln)
  - a. Work on recovering with fluid circulation and potential ranges
  - b. Understanding tolerances
6. Repeat 4 and 5 with select substrate chemicals
7. Measure membrane properties of aged materials
8. Decide if any durability tests should be run and which: RH cycling w/ or w/out load
9. Feed information into mechanistic understanding
10. Feed mechanisms into simple modeling

*Source: GM*

# Approach – Background Data



**In-situ fuel cell experiments are then performed to evaluate effects of operating conditions as well as dosage.**

## Test Conditions:

- 80°C, 0.2 A/cm<sup>2</sup> constant current density
- MEA Pt loading: 0.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> anode/ 0.3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> cathode
- 23% RH anode and cathode inlet
- 50 cm<sup>2</sup> active area, serpentine flow field, co-flow
- Contaminant dose based on the dry gas stream
- Contaminant dose limited by gas super saturation point

## Benefits of Infusion:

- Ability to treat leachant solutions as 'black box', allowing delivery of all constituent contaminants at once, ignoring partitioning coefficient if vaporizing sample



Source: GM

# Technical Accomplishments

## Predictive Modeling (USC)

**Model development for air contaminants has been extensive and similar model can be applied to system contaminants.**


### Desorbing contaminant product

#### Contamination


$$\frac{i}{i_{c_X=0}} = 1 - \frac{k''}{k'} \left( e^{\frac{k't}{\rho}} - 1 \right)$$

#### Recovery

$$\frac{i}{i_{c_X=0}} = 1 + \frac{k''}{k'} e^{\frac{-(k_{X,des} + k_X)t}{\rho}}$$



### Irreversibly adsorbed contaminant product (SO<sub>2</sub> example)



J. St-Pierre, N. Jia, R. Rahmani, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **155** (2008) B315.

J. St-Pierre, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **156** (2009) B291.

J. St-Pierre, *Electrochim. Acta*, **55** (2010) 4208.


B. D. Gould, O. A. Baturina, K. E. Swider-Lyons, *J. Power Sources*, **188** (2009) 89.

J. St-Pierre, *J. Power Sources*, accepted.


# Methods for Studying Air Contaminants Can Be Applied to System Contaminants (USC)

## Effect of O<sub>2</sub> on the adsorption SO<sub>2</sub> on Pt/C electrocatalyst

### Schematic of Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) Apparatus



### Isotherm of C-SO<sub>2</sub> compared to the SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption (Pt-SO<sub>2</sub> + C-SO<sub>2</sub>)



**TPD can aid in understanding the mechanisms of contamination on catalyst (USC).**

# Sealing materials in different leachant conditions



Sealing material has disintegrated in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution (perhaps too aggressive).

In DI water

0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

3%H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

**Control**  
(no polymeric material)



**5. FDA-compliant Silicone Rubber Plain black**



**6. Corrosion resistant Viton® Fluoroelastomer**



**7. Amber Polyurethane Sheet**



**8. M-strength Neoprene Rubber Plain black**



In DI water

0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

3%H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

Control  
(no polymeric material)



9. Silicone gasket



10. Teflon coated fiberglass (*Furon*)




Some material resulted in obvious change in color, smell, and turbidity, as well as precipitation formation.


# pH and Conductivity Measurements

## Viton® Fluoroelastomer

pH



Conductivity



Minimal change in pH and conductivity for an expensive fluoroelastomer.

In DI water

0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + 0.1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>



# Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry [GCMS]

Coupled Technique

Inert Purge, N<sub>2</sub>


Liquid injection

Volatilized to gas

Separation along column

Components introduced into mass spectrometer

Ionized and separated in the quadrupole by  $m/z$



# Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy [FTIR/ATR]


Vibrational spectroscopy  
Identify functional groups  
Spectral features shift with matrix

## ATR – Attenuated Total Reflection

- Liquid and solid sampling accessory
- No sample preparation
- ZnSe cell is hydrophobic, no acids
- Ge cell is acid resistant

## Evanescent standing wave


- Penetrates sample by a few microns
- Better contact = Better spectra




# Blended Rubber Leachants via GCMS

Aged in DI water


Hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane




Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane



Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane





**N,N dibutyl Formamide**



Dodecamethylcyclohexasiloxane

Tetradecamethylcycloheptasiloxane



Main leachants identified for blended rubber are siloxanes & and formamide.

# Blended Rubber Leachants over Time

Aged in DI water

