National Renewable Energy Laboratory
Innovation for Our Energy Future

Distributed Bio-Oil Reforming

S. Czernik, R. French,
M. M. Penev

National Renewable
Energy Laboratory

June 8-12, 2010

Project ID#
PD004

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC
THIS PRESENTATION DOES NOT CONTAIN ANY PROPRIETARY, CONFIDENTIAL OR OTHERWISE RESTRICTED INFORMATION

Overview

TIMELINE

- Start date: 2005
- End date: 2012
- Percent complete: 75%

BUDGET

- FY 2005: $100K
- FY 2006: $300K
- FY 2007: $350K
- FY 2008: $700K
- FY 2009: $0K
- FY 2010: $500K

BARRIERS

Production Barriers

A. Fuel processor capital
C. Operation & maintenance
D. Feedstock issues
F. Control & safety

2012 Targets

- $3.80/gallon gasoline equivalent
- 72% energy efficiency (bio-oil to H_2)

PARTNERS

- Colorado School of Mines – Oxidative cracking; completed.
- University of Minnesota – Catalyst development; ongoing.
- Chevron – Feedstock effects (3-year CRADA); completed.

Relevance

- **Biomass can be an important resource for hydrogen production.**
  - **1.3 Gt/year biomass available for energy and fuels production represents potential for 100 Mt/year hydrogen that could supply 300 million fuel cell vehicles.**
  - **Producing hydrogen from domestic resources, such as biomass, can reduce dependence on petroleum and yield virtually zero greenhouse gas emissions.**
- **This project addresses the challenge of cost reduction of distributed hydrogen production from renewable liquids.**

Distributed Production of Hydrogen - Process Concept

Biomass fast pyrolysis produces high yields of a liquid product, bio-oil, which can be stored and shipped to a site for renewable hydrogen production.

NREL is investigating the partial oxidation and catalytic autothermal reforming of bio-oil for this application.

Process Chemistry Concept

Pyrolysis:

$CH_{1.46}O_{0.67} \rightarrow 0.71CH_{1.98}O_{0.76} + 0.21CH_{0.1}O_{0.15} + 0.08CH_{0.44}O_{1.23}$

Biomass **Bio-Oil (75%)** **Char (13%)** **Gas (12%)**

Catalytic Steam Reforming of Bio-oil:

Bio-oil - 90 wt% of feed + CH_3OH - 10 wt% of feed

Elemental formula of the combined feed: $CH_{2.18}O_{0.78}$

H_2O (steam to carbon molar ratio = 2)

Overall Reaction:

$CH_{2.18}O_{0.78} + 0.51O_2 + 0.19\ H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + 1.28\ H_2$

***Estimated Practical Yield:* 9.3 wt%**

***Estimated Energy Efficiency:* 79%** LHV H_2 out/(LHV in + input energy)

Distributed Bio-Oil Reforming Approach

Staged Process Concept and Related Research Areas



Objectives

- Overall
  - Develop the necessary understanding of the process chemistry, compositional effects, catalyst chemistry, deactivation, and regeneration strategy as a basis for process definition for automated distributed reforming; demonstrate the technical feasibility of the process
- FY 2010
  - Demonstrate catalytic partial oxidation/steam reforming of bio-oil to syngas at bench scale
  - Demonstrate long-term catalyst performance
  - Provide mass balance data for H2A
  - Go/No Go decision

Technical Accomplishments

- **FY 2006**
  - Bio-oil volatilization method developed
  - Oxidative cracking to CO with minimal CO_2
- **FY 2007**
  - Demonstrated equilibrium catalytic conversion to syngas at low temperature and low H_2O/C
- **FY 2008**
  - Demonstrated catalyst performance
  - Designed and built a bench-scale reactor system
- **FY 2009**
  - Demonstrated operation of a bench-scale reactor system using 90 wt% bio-oil/10 wt% methanol mixture
- **FY 2010**
  - Demonstrated 60 hours of catalyst performance
  - 7.3 g H_2 produced per 100 g bio-oil (9.5 g/100 g bio-oil after water-gas shift)

Task 1. Process Performance Demonstration

Bench-scale Reactor System



Continuous flow reactor for producing hydrogen from bio-oil

(micro steam generator added to the initial system)

Task 1. Process Performance Demonstration

Syngas Composition at Different T and O/C levels



High temperature and low O/C favor higher yields of syngas (CO + H_2)

Task 1. Process Performance Demonstration

Yields of CO and H_2 by POX of Bio-oil



3.5 g H_2/100 g bio-oil (7.5 g H_2/100 g after WGS complete)
Yield significantly less than stoichiometric potential

Task 1. Process Performance Demonstration

UMN 1% Rh, 1% Ce cat.; 850ºC; O/C=1.5; S/C= 1.6; GHSV=4200 h^{-1}



7.25 g H_2/100 g bio-oil (9.6 g H_2/100 g after WGS complete)
Addition of steam significantly increased the hydrogen yield.

Task 1. Process Performance Demonstration

BASF 0.5% Rh cat.; 850ºC; O/C=1.5; S/C= 1.6; GHSV=4100 h^{-1}



7.4 g H_2/100 g bio-oil (10.3 g H_2/100 g after WGS complete)
Very good performance of catalyst from a commercial manufacturer

Task 2. Catalyst Development

1. University of Minnesota synthesized a series of noble and transition metal catalysts and tested in their POX reactor.

2. Best performing catalyst (1% Rh, 1% Ce on Al_2O_3) was provided for tests in the NREL POX/steam reforming system.

3. Ni-based catalyst performed much less efficiently, producing less hydrogen (4.75 g/100 g bio-oil; 7.7 g after water-gas shift) and more coke.

4. Rh catalyst provided by a commercial company (BASF) performed as well as the UMN catalyst during the tests at NREL.

Task 3. Process Analysis

Conceptual Process Diagram



NOMINALLY

- Steam / Carbon = 3.0
- O_2/Carbon = 0.7
- Methanol / Bio Oil= 10 wt%

NREL- lead design

Industry - available subsystems

Hydrogen Cost *(2005$, n^{th} plant)*

1500 kg/day station used for H2A analysis

(Current projected high volume cost based on 2008 performance)

- Capital costs ***$1,660,000***
- Bio-oil cost – $6/GJ (cost of methanol not included)
- Total cost of delivered hydrogen ***$4.48/gge***
  - ***$2.59/gge*** for production
  - ***$1.89/gge*** for compression, distribution, and dispensing

Collaborations

- **Colorado School of Mines**
  - **POX of bio-oil**
  - **POX modeling**
- **Chevron**
  - **Feedstock variability**
- **University of Minnesota**
  - **Catalyst development**

Summary

- Bench-scale reactor system tests of catalytic partial oxidation and autothermal reforming of bio-oil were performed using 90 wt% bio-oil/10 wt% methanol mixtures.
- The catalysts:
  - 1% Rh, 1% Ce on Al_2O_3 prepared at University of Minnesota
  - 1% Ni, 1% Ce on Al_2O_3 prepared at University of Minnesota
  - 0.5% Rh on Al_2O_3 provided by BASF.
- Carbon-to-gas conversion was 91%–93%.
- During 60 hours on stream, the UMN catalyst showed a steady performance; 7.3 g hydrogen was produced per 100 g bio-oil. This yield could increase to 9.6 g after completing water-gas shift.
- The estimated cost of hydrogen production: $2.59/gge.

Project Timeline

ID	Task Name
1	**Bio-Oil Volatilization**
2	Processing Options
3	Modification and Characterization
4	Injector Development
5	Coking Studies
6	***Go / No Go on Bio-Oil performance***
7	**Oxidative Cracking**
8	Proof of Concept
9	***Reduce Catalyst Loading by 50%***
10	Partial Oxidation Database
11	Modeling and Optimization
12	***Jon Marda Thesis***
13	**Catalytic Auto-Thermal Reforming**
14	Catalyst Screening
15	Catalyst Process optimization
16	***Demonstrate catalyst performance consistent with $3.80/gge h***
17	Catalyst Mechanistic Studies
18	**Integrated Separation**
19	Concept Evaluation
20	Materials Evaluation
21	Integrated Laboratory System Experiment
22	***Go / No Go on Conceptual Design***
23	**Systems Engineering**
24	Oxygen, Steam and Heat Integration
25	Engineering Design and Construction
26	***Prototype System Developed***
27	Heat and Mass Balances
28	Process Upsets
29	Long Duration Runs
30	***Demonstrate Distributed Hydrogen Production from Bio-Oil for $3.8/gge***
31	**Safety Analysis**
32	Review and Analysis of Pressure, O2, H2
33	Systems Integration



Proposed Future Work

- **FY 2010: Using the bench-scale system, obtain process performance data for bio-oil produced from two different biomass feedstocks**
  - Long-term catalyst performance tests (less expensive catalysts)
  - Assess the impact of the bench-scale results on the process design and on hydrogen production cost
  - ***"Go/No Go" on conceptual design***
- **FY 2011: Prototype system**
- **FY 2012: Long duration runs to validate the process**