MATERIALS AND MODULES FOR LOW-COST, HIGH PERFORMANCE FUEL CELL HUMIDIFIERS

Principal Investigator: William B. Johnson
W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.

May 12, 2011

Project ID: FC067

GORE
Creative Technologies Worldwide

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Overview

TIMELINE

- ✓ *Start date: 4/01/2010*
- ✓ *End date: 3/31/2012*
- ✓ *~40% complete as of 03/15/2011*

BUDGET

✓ *DOE:*	*$1,492,163*
✓ *Contractors:*	*$373,040*
✓ *Phase 1 DOE Funds obligated:*	*$817,701*

- ✓ *Currently under budget by ~20%*

DOE PLAN BARRIERS ADDRESSED:

- ✓ *System cost, fuel cell performance and durability*
- ✓ *Task 7, "Develop balance of plant components"*
  - *B - Reliable, cost-effective fuel cell systems.*
  - *E - System thermal and water management.*
  - *A and C (indirectly) – Fuel cell durability and performance.*

TEAM

- ✓ *Prime - W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.*
- ✓ *Subcontractor - dPoint Technologies*

Objectives

Demonstrate a durable, high performance water transport membrane; and a compact, low-cost, membrane-based module utilizing that membrane for use in automotive, stationary and/or portable fuel cell water transport exchangers.

Relevance

More efficient, low-cost humidifiers can increase fuel cell inlet humidity:

- **Reduce <u>system cost</u> and <u>size</u> of balance of plant.**
- **Improve fuel cell performance.**
- **Potentially decrease size of fuel cell stack by running under wetter conditions.**
- **Improve fuel cell durability.**

Background



dPoint module



Ahluwalia, et. al, ANL.

Illustrative block diagram of fuel cell system

Approach: Timeline and Milestones



GORE
Creative Technologies Worldwide

Approach: Plan

Task	Completion
Task 1: Materials Preparation • Initial material selection/preparation • Scale-up to m^2 sizes	75%
Task 2: Materials Testing • Identify conditions • Water transport measurements • Durability by hot soak & RH cycling • Air permeability	75%
Task 3: Cost Modeling • Membrane and module level	60%
Task 4: Module Design, Test and Build • Design exploration • FEA models • Design/build alternatives using rapid prototyping • Build/test full scale module	10%
Task 5: Project Management and Reporting	~45%

Go/No-Go
15 months
June 2011

- Module Volume < 8 L
- Module cost < $150
- Membrane life > 5000 h hot soak
- Membrane life>1000 h RH cycling
- Membrane > 0.030 g/cm^2-min at 80 ºC

Approach: Technical

- **Membranes:** Utilize unique, high performance, GORE™ Humidification Membranes





- **Modules:** Optimize flow field, pleat geometry and module design to take advantage of very high transport rate materials, while maintaining low-cost assembly process





GORE-SELECT, PRIMEA and GORE and designs are trrademarks of W. L. Gore and Associates, Inc.

Technical Accomplishments
Task 1: Materials Preparation

- Wide range of materials made and tested including variations in:
  - ✓ PFSA ionomers with various equivalent weights
  - ✓ Hydrocarbon ionomers
  - ✓ Laminate structures
  - ✓ Microporous supports
  - ✓ Ionomer layer thickness







0.3 µm ionomer layer

Technical Accomplishments
Task 2: Water Transport Measurements

Permeance: Dynamic





Permeance: Static





Technical Accomplishments
Task 2: Membrane Durability Testing



Technical Accomplishments
Task 3: Membrane Durability



Note: All membranes were air impermeable before and after testing.

Technical Accomplishments
Task 3: High Volume Membrane Cost Estimates



Technical Accomplishments
Task 4: Module Design, Development and Testing

- Analysis of dpoint PX, DX designs, and ERV's, heat exchangers, literature review, heat and humidity design consultants, and brainstorming yielded ~40 module concepts that could meet cost and transport targets.
- CFD modeling, and results from small prototype builds using 10 different flow fields leads to following conclusions:
  - ✓ Small linear channels yield the best transfer per pressure drop than any other option.
  - ✓ Small pitches make volume requirements easier to meet.
  - ✓ Cross-flow designs has many desirable features, and fewer drawbacks than other design alternatives.





Technical Accomplishments
Task 4: Module Design, Development and Testing (cont)

- Currently constructing our first cross flow humidifier module
  - ✓ Subscale, 10 cm square, 0.8mm pitch, 40 layers, 0.4 m^2 membrane.
  - ✓ Preliminary flow field testing at 80 °C/75%RH on this design shows promising results.
  - ✓ Based on limited single cell testing, membrane area of ~1.3m^2 required for full scale module flow and transfer requirements.

Collaborations

- **Subcontractor**
  - dPoint Technologies
    - Design and build low-cost module using new membrane
- **Partners providing Input at no cost**
  - ✓ Automotive OEMs have provided data on conditions
    - GM, Ford, Daimler, Volkswagen, etc. under NDA
  - ✓ Argonne National Laboratory modeling of Gore membranes in humidifier modules.
  - ✓ General Motors Corp. – effect of contamination on water transport behavior of Gore membranes.

Future Work

- **Membrane materials and testing**
  - ✓ Extend static testing to 80 C for QC and to increase test throughput.
  - ✓ Continue durability testing: hot soak, RH cycling, and contamination.
  - ✓ Down-select to primary membrane composition and type and scale-up to m^2 manufacturing
- **Module Design, Build and Testing**
  - ✓ Refine and test initial module designs
    - ❖ Built at smallest manufacturable pitch with short channels.
    - ❖ Available pressure drop will be used to generate mixing within flow or increase footprint, increasing performance.
    - ❖ Use rapid prototype plates to speed design iterations.
  - ✓ Module cost models using inputs from Gore on membrane cost.
  - ✓ Build one full scale module with best membrane and module design.

Project Summary

Objective:	Durable, high performance water transport membrane; and a compact, low-cost, membrane-based module
Relevance:	Reduce system cost and size of balance of plant, AND improve fuel cell performance.
Approach:	Utilize unique new gore membranes in modules optimized for high performance and low cost.
Technical Progress:	➢Wide range of new composite membranes prepared and tested. ➢New high throughput water transfer test developed. ➢Initial durability testing completed, little performance degradation seen at 65 C ➢Initial module design and prototyping activity has yielded a design that appears capable of meeting all the module criteria. ➢High volume membrane cost estimates should be low enough to allow team to meet $150 high volume module target.
Collaborators:	➢dPoint (partner in testing and module design and build). ➢Argonne National Laboratory (No cost collaborator in system modeling). ➢GM (No cost collaborator in effect of contaminants on transport behavior).
Future Work:	➢Complete durability testing. ➢Down select final membrane material. ➢Module cost modeling. ➢Finish preliminary module design and test, build final full scale module.

Technical Back Up Slides

Testing Protocol

Permeance: Dynamic





Permeance: Static

Modified version of ISO Standard 15496



Permeance is a pressure normalized flux:
(kg/m^2-s-Pa)
or
$cm^3(H_2O)$@STP/cm^2-s-cm Hg) ≡ 10^6 GPU

J = Flux = MVTR (kg/m^2-s) $\propto$ Mass(H_2O) in dry outlet

Sample Data GORE-SELECT® Membrane Dynamic High Temperature Test



GORE
Creative Technologies Worldwide

Permeance from Static Room Temperature Test (Modified ISO 15496 Protocol)



GORE
Creative Technologies Worldwide

Initial Correlations
Dynamic vs. Static Testing

	Static Result	Dynamic Result
Permeance(M311)*	203100 Ñ 25400	14750 ± 30700
Test Conditions	23 °C	28 °C
RH Wet	100%	----
RH Dry	23%	----
Delta RH	77%	57%

* Error bars are 95% confidence intervals

Why are RT Permeance Values higher than high temperature values?

$$\text{Permeance} = \frac{MVTM}{\Delta P(H_2O)} \sim \frac{D(H_2O)}{P_{vap}} \sim \frac{\exp(Ea/RT)}{\exp(\Delta H_{vap}/RT)}$$

ΔH_{vap} = 41 kJ/mol

E_a ~ 20 kJ/mol for $D(H_2O)$ in PFSA

→ As T↓, P_{vap}↓↓ and D(H2O)↓, so Permeance↑