Dimensionally Stable High Performance Membranes

Cortney Mittelsteadt (P.I., Presenter)
Avni Argun
Castro Lacier
Jason Willey

Giner, Inc.
June 18, 2014 FC 036

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Overview

Timeline

- Project Start Date 10/01/2010
- Project End Date 06/30/2014

Budget

- Total Project Funding to Date: $1.41M
- Total Project Value: $1.52
- Cost Share %: N/A

Barriers addressed

A. Durability
B. Cost
C. Performance

Technical Targets (DOE 2017 Targets)

- 0.02 $\Omega.cm^2$ at 1.5 kPa H_2O Air inlet
- <$20/$m^2$
- > 5000 h lifetime, >20,000 RH Cycles

Partners

- Impattern Technologies
- NIL Technology

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Overview

- Why Dimensionally Stable Membranes (DSM™)
- Phase III Results
- Go/No-Go after each year
  - YEAR 1 Go/No-Go decision: Has scalable micro-molding method been generated to produce the desired DSMs™?
  - YEAR 2 Go/No-Go decision: Does selected method generate DSM™ based MEAs that meet DOE targets for cost, performance and durability? Is it feasible to scale up the bench manufacturing process?
- Milestones
  - 4" diameter batch-produced DSMs™ (achieved)
  - 11" x 11" roll-produced DSM™ (pending)

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Relevance: Three Dimensional Supports

- **Advantages:**
  - Many commercially available
    - ePTFE
    - Made in 10k m^2 in a batch
  - Ionomer is added by solution
  - Roll to Roll processing
- **Disadvantages:**
  - Making thin supports for some materials
  - Support/Solution compatibility
  - **Getting high ionomer content**
    - **High wt% dispersion**
    - **High void volume**



80% Void

- Add ionomer solution (~ 25%)
- Dry

Film on top & bottom

Center:
20% support
20% ionomer
60% void

- Press

Center:
50% ionomer
50% support.
Conductivity penalty = 2*tortuosity

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Relevance: Giner's 2D DSM™ Solution

- Giner had the most *technical* success with two dimensional stable membranes
- Laser drilling is not practical due to high cost
- Giner is already using DSMs for manufacturing of large scale electrolyzers.



Relevance: DSM™ Background

- **DURABILTY**
  - Lack of Substrate Ionomer/Ionomer Interface Does not lead to delamination
  - FCTT RH Cycling Metric 20k Cycles 80°C shown
- **PERFORMANCE**
  - Very Small "Blind Spot" Loss at Typical Aspect Ratios



Current Density Distribution for "Worst Case" H_2 Pump with low ionomer conductivity

- **CONDUCTIVITY**
  - 25-30% Penalty
  - (~50% for expanded PTFE)
- **DIMENSIONAL STABILITY**
  - Nearly Eliminates all x-y swelling



Relevance: Giner's DSM™ Success

- **Large Scale Electrolyzer For Energy Storage**
  - 290 cm^2 Platform
  - World Best Efficiency @ 1500 mA/cm^2 (~90%)
  - Confirmed by NREL



Nafion is a registered trademark of E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company.

Approach: 2D DSM Aspect Ratios



Sub-par: Holes are too large, proton has high tortuosity

Ideal: Difficult to manufacture

Optimal: Hole size must be close to membrane thickness

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Approach: Criteria for DSM™ Manufacturing

- **Design:** 8-10 μm thick support structures with 8-20 μm diameter holes and 50% porosity to accommodate low EW ionomers.
- **Process:** Flexible materials with high tensile strength to handle in a roll-to-roll system without tearing and breaking.
- **Performance:** Negligible expansion in the XY plane and preserved modulus when exposed to wet/dry cycles.
- **Durability:** High durability to survive 20,000 wet/dry cycles without crack failure.
- **Stability:** High-temperature stability in the range of -30 to 120°C

Optimized DSM™ support design:

- Close hexagonal packing
- 8-10 μm thickness
- 20 μm hole diameter
- 50% open area



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Approach: Choice of Materials

- Mechanical properties of ionomers compared to the support materials.
- PTFE not optimal, Kapton® (polyimides) ideal, other engineering plastics would also work nearly as good.

Mechanical properties In water, at 80°C	Tensile Strength (MPa)	Elastic Modulus (MPa)	Elongation at Break (%)
PTFE / ePTFE	10-20	~500	200-300
Nafion® 112	6.1	21.4	94.1
Fumion® 830EW	2.3	11.9	12.9
Kapton®	231	1377	72
Polysulfone (UDEL)	70.3	2480	50-100

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Approach: Program Objectives

- **Develop a high-throughput and cost-effective process for fabrication of DSM™**
- **Reduce membrane thickness by incorporating a microporous support layer.**
- **Address key durability, cost, performance barriers related to fuel cell systems.**

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Achievements: Identification of DSM™ Fabrication Methods

- Giner investigated various approaches and identified scalable and cost-effective fabrication routes.
- DSM™ supports and composite DSM™ membranes were fabricated at 4" diameter pilot scale
- Giner pursued the following three fabrication routes:

Technique	Description	Pros	Cons
Phase Inversion Solvent Casting	Precipitation of polymers on a mold using a non-solvent.	•Well defined material •Phase II results	•Waste solvent •Film shrinkage
UV Microreplication	UV curing of polymers between mold and substrate	•Rapid film formation •Easy roll integration	•High material risk •R&D cost
Mechanical Deformation	Mechanical deformation via robust molds	•Proven materials •Proven roll integration	•Ragged features

Each path starts with the same first step: Design of the mold

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Achievements: Mold Fabrication

- Master and replica nickel molds
  - **4”** diameter round molds replicated
  - 20 µm diameter, 10 µm feature height, 50% density
  - Nickel shims
- Easy to scale up to 24” x 36”







This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Achievements: Nickel Shim Molds





- **Mold properties** (nickel pillars)

20 µm pillar diameter, 10 µm pillar height, 50% density

- **SEM images:** Cross-sectional and tilted of micromold pillars



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Achievements: Phase Inversion Process

- A polymer solution cast on a mold and precipitation using a non-solvent
- Mechanical properties are inferior due to microporosity.
- Due to the complications with solvent removal, the need for post-treatment, and non-ideal mechanical properties, **Giner stopped pursuing this approach**





Note the microporosity of the DSM™ support

Achievements: UV Microreplication

- DSM supports have been successfully fabricated and released from molds with minimal residual layers.



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Achievements: UV Microreplication

- Upon optimization of the processing conditions it is possible to obtain robust DSM supports
- Despite the success of this method, **the base UV curable polymers are still not adequate mechanically.**



DSM support on an ionomer film.



Free-standing DSM support

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Achievements: Mechanical Deformation

- The best scalable route with proven materials; low project cost in R2R
- Initial investigation with a square-row arrangement (pilot scale).
- Improved process with high porosity, issues of severe tapering
- Other problems with selection of suitable carrier, poor release, etc.
- The process also caused extremely rugged features



2011



2012



2013

- **Giner has substantially improved this approach and is currently pursuing it "in-house"**
- Transitioned to hexagonal geometry for better mechanicals

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Achievements: Mechanical Deformation

- Giner has successfully fabricated DSM™ supports both on carriers and as free-standing films.

DSM support on Carrier



Free standing DSM support



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Achievements: Mechanical Deformation

- Using the route, it is possible to form the mechanical support followed by application of the ionomer.
- A close-up SEM image of a 20 µm thick DSM™ with its constituents:
  - the support
  - the ionomer



Achievements: High-volume Cost Projection for DSM™ Fabrication

- Giner investigated various scalable and cost-effective routes.
- Cost comparison of
  - Laser drilling
  - Giner's current method
  - Research "Roll-to-roll" method (late '14)



Projected DSM™ support cost : $5/m²

(Ionomer incorporation included; the cost of the ionomer material extra)

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Achievements: Summary

Three viable pathways were investigated in this Phase III Program

- Inversion Casting (Inactive)
  - Too many problems with process control
  - Intrinsic properties of inversion cast films are inferior



- UV Microreplication (Inactive)
  - Low ultimate cost (< $20/m^2)
  - Insufficient material properties



- Mechanical Deformation (Focus)
  - Best materials choices (thermoplastics)
  - Currently $50/m^2, <$5/m^2 for R2R
  - Yields the best performing DSM™



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Future Work

- DSM™ provides real benefits for both fuel cells and electrolyzers
- Giner is in the process of forming Roll-to-Roll Films
  - Working with toll-coating partners.
- Giner's method provides:
  - Best materials choices for fuel cell and electrolyzer operation
  - Proven integration to roll-to-roll operation
  - Current target is \$20/m^2 (late '14), <\$5 /m^2 for high volume R2R production

The current focus is on scaling-up the process. Goal is to develop the process as far as possible with suitable materials.

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Collaborations

- The UMass- Amherst Nanoimprint Lithography Laboratory (Prof. Kenneth Carter)
- NIL Technology (Denmark)
- Impattern Technologies (Dr. Michael Watts)
- General Motors (Initial DSM™ funding)

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information