Compressor-less Hydrogen Refueling Station using Thermal Compression Kenneth Kriha (Principal Investigator, GTI), Guillaume Petitpas (LLNL), Zhili Feng (ORNL), Yanli Wang (ORNL), Herie Soto (SHELL)

Instead of relying on expensive and maintenance-prone compressors, this approach would store liquid hydrogen in cryogenic capable pressure vessels where pressurization would occur through heat transfer. This thermal compression filling station concept would present cost and reliability advantages and offer capacity adjustment and flexibility (wide range of pressures and temperatures available).

Time & Budget

Start date: June 2015 End date: June 2017 **Federal funds**: \$500,000 **Cost-share**: \$ 125,000 **Total budget**: \$625,000

Partners

specification **LLNL:** Thermodynamic modeling and experimental proof of concept **SHELL:** OEM perspective

Barriers

- **A.** Lack of Hydrogen/Carrier and Infrastructure Options Analysis
- **B.** Reliability and Costs of Gaseous Hydrogen Compression
- **I.** Other Fueling Site/Terminal Operations

Overview/Context

- Station cost reduction is a priority to enable H₂ infrastructure
- Up to 50% of fueling station cost is due to compressors
- Thermal exergy of liquid H₂ could be efficiently used to compress H₂ through insulated high pressure vessel
- Concept would avoid need for expensive and maintenance-prone compressors



Conceptual design of a 700 bar *compressor-less* **fueling station**

This poster does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Objectives

GTI: Lead, fueling station design, BOP

ORNL: Cost-effective stationary storage

Demonstrate technical and economic feasibility of the thermal compression concept for 700 bar fueling stations

- Build transient simulation models for station design Carry out a preliminary full scale system design Validate key concepts with small scale demonstration



Dispenser (700 bar)

860-92 bar >233 K

Quarter	
Q1	Draft Hydrogen Saf
Q2	Proof-of-Concept T
Q3	Completed Model
Q4	Completed Prelimi
Q5	Complete analysis
Q5	Go/No-Go: Cost an
Q6	Complete analysis
Q7	Small scale demor

- and gap analysis

Milestones

- afety Plan submitted to DOE for review by H₂ Safety Panel Thermodynamic Transient Model (real gas EOS, 2 phase flow) for optimization (minimum venting and cost as a function of daily demand, vessel specs.) inary PFD and Energy Balance
- of cost-effective stationary insulated pressure vessels for thermal compression nalysis should demonstrate 15% overall cost reduction over a \$8.72/kg levelized baseline of technological gaps
- nstration testing with < 3 hours pressurization to 700 bar and 1.5 k/min dispensing rate

PD 126

Approach

 HDSAM typical fueling station utilization and assumptions will be used

Transient model with real gas EOS and 2 phase flow

• Compact heat exchanger designs for high pressure and cryogenic H₂ will be developed

• Technical analysis of large scale cost effective insulated stationary pressure vessel designs

Station design including Process Flow Diagram (PFD)

• Both capital and operating costs will be included in the levelized H₂ station cost estimate

Critical Assumptions

Insulated pressure vessel cost and design, boil-off from transferring cryogenic H₂