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Overview

Timeline

• Project start date: 1 Apr 2016
• Project end date: 30 Jun 2019
• Percent complete: 12.2%

Budget

• Total Funding Spent as of 2/28/17: $0.5M
• Total DOE Project Value: $4.59M
• Cost Share: 21.7%

Barriers

• B. Cost
  – Decrease amount of precious metals.
• A. Durability
  – Improve kinetic activity and high current density performance
• C. Performance
  – Achieve and maintain high current densities at acceptably-high voltages

Partners

• Subcontractors:
  – 3M Company Not signed
  – Carnegie Mellon University Signed 3/2017
  – Cornell University Signed 4/2017
  – Drexel University Not signed
  – NREL Signed 8/2016

• Project lead: GM
Challenge: Local Transport Losses

- FC087 Dealloyed PtCo and PtNi met Catalyst Targets but not MEA Targets.
- Large performance loss at high-current density is observed on low-Pt cathodes due to higher flux of O₂ and proton per a given Pt area.
- The ‘local transport resistance’ dominates the mass transport related loss (purple) at HCD on low-Pt electrode.
- Likely a sum of H⁺ and O₂ resistance at ionomer/Pt interface and in carbon micropores.
- Want to reduce apparent $R^\text{Pt}$ from ~25 s/cm to <10 s/cm, or double the Pt ECSA. (see back-up slide)

Relevance:

$J. \text{Phys. Chem. Lett.} \ (2016) \ 1127.$
Relevance:

**Targets and Status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>PtCo/HSC-a</th>
<th>PtCo/HSC-e</th>
<th>PtCo/HSC-f</th>
<th>DOE 2020 Target</th>
<th>Project Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGM total loading (both electrodes)</td>
<td>mg/cm²</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>&lt;0.125</td>
<td>←</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass activity @ 900 mV&lt;sub&gt;IR-free&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>A/mg&lt;sub&gt;PGM&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.6-0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&gt;0.44</td>
<td>←</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss in catalytic (mass) activity</td>
<td>% loss</td>
<td>0-40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>&lt;40%</td>
<td>←</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance at 0.8V (150kPa, 80°C)</td>
<td>A/cm²</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>&gt;0.3</td>
<td>←</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power at rated power (150kPa, 94°C)</td>
<td>W/cm²</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>&gt;1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power at rated power (250kPa, 94°C)</td>
<td>W/cm²</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGM utilization (150kPa, 94°C)</td>
<td>kW/g&lt;sub&gt;PGM&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>&gt;8</td>
<td>←</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGM utilization (250kPa, 94°C)</td>
<td>kW/g&lt;sub&gt;PGM&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst cycling (0.6-1.0V, 30k cycles)</td>
<td>mV loss at 0.8A/cm²</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>←</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support cycling (1.0-1.5V, 5k cycles)</td>
<td>mV loss at 1.5A/cm²</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Must meet Q/ΔT <1.45 or >0.67 V at 94°C

Objectives

- **Reduce overall stack cost** (not only catalyst cost) by improving high-current-density (HCD) performance adequate to meet DOE heat rejection (>0.67 V at 94°C) and Pt-loading targets.
- **Maintain high kinetic mass activities.**
- **Mitigate catalyst HCD degradation.**

This Year Highlights

- 2.2x kW/g<sub>PGM</sub> vs preproject by reducing resistance in micropores.
**Approach:**

**Basic Approaches: Will Succeed if At Least One Works**

- **Improve O₂ Transport with New Carbon Support**
  - Use low-loaded-electrode (<0.06 mgPt/cm²) differential cells to study local properties. Validate performance in 50 cm².
  - Study support effects on kinetic, transport, durability.
  - Explore new carbon supports, develop platinization strategy for each carbon, modify support to provide better PSD or transport.

- **Reduce Electrolyte-Pt Interaction**
  - Screen from current selection of ionomer/ionic liquid.
  - Use electrochemical diagnostics to study Pt-ionomer interface change over time in MEA.

- **Enhance Dispersion and Stability of PtCo Particles**
  - Enhance activity and stability with more ordered structure.
  - Improve Pt surface area with better PSD.

- **Understand and Better Control Leached Co²⁺**
  - Study MEA with known amount of Co²⁺. Develop semi-empirical model
  - Provide guideline for tolerable amount of Co and for operating conditions.
  - Use nano-mesostructured model to eliminate gap in understanding.

---

**GM/CMU/Cornell/NREL**

**3M/Drexel/GM**

**Cornell/GM/NREL**

**GM/CMU**

PSD: particle size distribution
Milestones and Go/No Go

TASK 1 - Development of Highly-Accessible Pt Catalysts
Go/No-go criteria: >1.0 W/cm², >8 kW_{rated}/g_{Pt}, and Q/ΔT <1.7 with Pt/C
- Downselect carbon support, ionomer, ionic liquid 70%
- Understand the effect of leached Co^{2+} and Pt surface area 80%
- Develop dealloyed catalyst from ordered intermetallic alloy 50%
- Visualize carbon structure and Pt location on selected catalysts 70%
- Model baseline material 80%

TASK 2 - Development of Dealloyed Catalyst with Preferred Catalyst Design
Go/No-go criteria: >0.44 A/mg_{PGM}, <40% mass activity loss with preferred design
- Develop dealloyed catalyst on preferred support 30%
- Implement selected ionomer and ionic liquid with selected catalysts 0%
- Visualize of fresh PtCo/C and post-AST Pt/C 50%
- Model PtCo/C before and after AST 0%

TASK 3 - Optimization for Durable HCD and LCD Performance
Milestone: >1.1 W/cm², >9.1 kW_{rated}/g_{Pt}, and Q/ΔT <1.45
- Identify root cause and improve durability and performance of PtCo/C 0%
- Evaluate effect of selected ionomers on HCD and durability of improved PtCo catalyst 0%
- Integrate new catalyst design with other state-of-the-art FC components 20%
- Make available to DOE the improved catalyst in 50 cm² MEAs 10%
- Visualize and model improved catalyst 0%

Approach:

2016

2017

2018

2019

Improved HCD with Pt/C

Durable ORR activity PtCo/C

Durable HCD and LCD

Apr 10

Go/No-go

Go/No-go

Milestone

GM
Collaborations:

**Project Team**

- General Motors (industry)  
  - Overall project guidance, synthesis and testing of catalysts.
- 3M Company (industry) – Dr. Andrew Haug  
  - Selection and pre-fuel-cell evaluation of ionomer candidates.
- Drexel University (university) – Prof. Joshua Snyder  
  - Selection and pre-fuel-cell evaluation of ionic liquid candidates. Incorporation strategy of IL into MEA.
- Cornell University (university) – Prof. David Muller and Prof. Héctor Abruña  
  - TEM and tomography.
  - Synthesis of intermetallic alloys.
- Carnegie Mellon University (university) – Prof. Shawn Litster  
  - Modeling and X-ray tomography.
- National Renewable Energy Lab (federal) – Dr. K.C. Neyerlin  
  - Support N-doping, MEA fabrication and diagnostics.

**Non-funded Partners**

- 6 catalyst suppliers (industry)  
  - Provide benchmark and experimental samples. Idea exchange.
- Argonne National Lab (federal) – Drs. Ross Andrews, Nancy Kariuki, Debbie Myers  
  - SAXS measurement at APS (beamline 9ID), Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.
Responses to Last Year AMR Reviewers’ Comments

• “catalyst development should be stressed, not just its integration and electrode layer performance”, “does not seem appropriate for a catalysis development project”
  ➢ First, note that the project is under “Catalysts and Electrodes” section. Therefore, it should be no surprise that there is a significant level of electrode evaluation. DOE and the community realize it is the electrode performance that matters after all. Additionally, **Catalyst Targets had been met but Electrode Targets had not**. That being said it can be seen in this update that there is a significant catalyst-level work, as every new carbon support requires a different approach in making a good catalyst.

• “hard to see what the new development is” “new materials and diagnostics”
  ➢ Please see Summary for key achievements.

• “needs to … resolve the issues of stability of Co” “how to optimize the physicochemical properties of nanoparticles for activity and stability”
  ➢ Although we cannot entirely prevent cobalt from dissolving, this year we studied the Pt and Co dissolution and deposition rate, and the impact of cobalt on performance. They will help us more intelligently design and operate the fuel cell.

• “stability of ionic liquid is unclear”
  ➢ This remains an issue of interest and we will address this in near future. We should also note that the project achievement does not rely on a successful implementation of ionic liquid alone.

• “a catalyst manufacturer should be included in the initial stage of the project”
  ➢ Indeed, OEM privilege allows us to involve several catalyst suppliers (non-funded) while protecting their confidentiality.
**Technical Accomplishment:**

**Carbon Support Development: Methodology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon Surface Area</th>
<th>BET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macropore size</td>
<td>Porosimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropore</td>
<td>BJH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphitization</td>
<td>Raman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HSC | MSC | GrCB/GrCNT

Ketjen, Acetylene, Vulcan, Graphitized CB, CNT, Graphene, Engineered carbon, Mesoporous carbon, etc.

**Development Flow**

1. **Supplier carbon**
   - Surface modification

2. **Pt/carbon**
   - Co impregnation, heat treatment

3. **PtCo/carbon**
   - Acid treat, other modification

4. **Final catalyst**
   - Ink making, electrode coating/design

**Electrode**

- Attempt to find carbon with good balance of high surface area, porosity, and graphitization.
- Porous vs solid carbon is one focus.
- For every carbon type, adjustments of procedure must be made. No one fits all.
- Collaborate with support and catalyst suppliers at several stages.

- High Pt dispersion
- High ORR activity
- Easy to make good alloy
- Good O₂/proton transport
- Better stability

**Porous carbon**
- HSC

**Solid carbon**
- MSC (Vulcan)
Technical Accomplishment:

Carbon Support Development: Pt/C

**Local O₂ resistance and ORR Activity**

MEA differential cell tests of Pt supported on different carbons

- Differential cell tests for ‘local O₂ resistance’ and ‘ORR mass activity’ have shown to be reliable predictors for MEA performance.
- Porosity measurement on ‘final electrode’ does not give good correlation with MEA performance.
- Rather, the pore size distribution on carbon or catalyst powder gives better correlation. Want less micropores (<4 nm) and more mesopores (>5-50 nm), confirming resistance local to Pt particles.
- ORR activity drops 3-fold if all small pores are removed. Likely because of ionomer poisoning effect. Unless Ionomer-Pt interaction is solved, may not want an all solid carbon. Want small pores but with good accessibility.

See back-up slides for more details on carbon characterization
Technical Accomplishment:

**New PtCo/HSC Surpassed High-Power Targets**

### 31% Increase in Power over Baseline PtCo

- **Current Density (A/cm²)** vs **Voltage (V)**
- Heat rejection limit: 0.67 V
- Average of >3 MEAs, standard deviation < 20 mV
- \( \frac{Q}{\Delta T} = 1.45 \) (0.67 V at 94°C)

#### Technical Details:

- **PtCo/HSC-f, 2017, 0.06 mg-Pt/cm²**
- **PtCo/HSC-e, 2017, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²**
- **PtCo/HSC-a, 2016, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²**
- **PtCo/MSC-a, 2017, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²**

#### Key Achievements:

- **Large improvement at high current density (HCD) with new HSC, thanks to more accessible pores, hence lower local transport resistance.**
- **Surpassed DOE kW/g\textsubscript{PGM} target by a large margin (10.6 vs 8).**
- **Sent to NREL for validation.**
- **Approaching Power Density 150kPa target, but to achieve this, need advancement in other areas: ORR activity, GDL/plate \( O_2 \) transport, and membrane resistance. Electrode transport alone not enough.**
- **Solid carbon performance (gray symbols on right plots) suffers from lower ORR activity and alloy quality, consistent with Pt/C results.**

### 124% Increase in PGM Utilization over pre-project

#### PGM Utilization

- **Ca Pt loading (mg\textsubscript{Pt}/cm²)**
- **PtCo/HSC-f, 2017, 0.06 mg-Pt/cm²**
- **PtCo/HSC-e, 2017, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²**
- **PtCo/HSC-a, 2016, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²**
- **PtCo/MSC-a, 2017, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²**
- **31% Increase in Power Density**

#### Power Density

- **Average of >3 MEAs, standard deviation < 20 mV**
- **50 cm² single cells**
- **Q/\Delta T = 1.45 \ (0.67 V at 94°C)**

#### Graphical Information:

- **Power Density (W/cm²)**
- **Year**
- **Project target 250kPa**
- **DOE target 150kPa**

Gray symbols: best PtCo/Solid carbon
**Technical Accomplishment:**

**Accelerated Stability Tests on Pt**

### Catalyst AST

Representing *normal* operation (0.60-0.95 V, 6 s/cycle, 30,000 cycles)

- HSC shows better initial ECSA, ORR activity, and fuel cell performance.
- In Catalyst-AST, retention of ORR activity and fuel cell performance were better for HSC. Similar trend with PtCo.
- In Support-AST, most carbons failed miserably. HSC degraded the fastest. GrC-e surprisingly held up close to target (42 vs <30 mV loss target), however, it performed poorly under Catalyst-AST.
Technical Accomplishment:

Postmortem Analyses

Pt Band by TEM and EPMA

![Graph showing redeposited Pt in membrane percentage for different conditions](image)

Pt and Co Depletion in Cathode by TEM

- GrC
- Vulcan
- HSC
- PtCo/HSC

![Images of cathode with TEM and EPMA analyses](image)

Pt Depletion in Cathode by X-CT

- Zernike phase contrast
- Pt/V Fresh

![Graph showing Pt band and depletion](image)

- Carbon type has **negligible** effect on how Pt degrades at a **macroscopic scale**. Expected as Pt dissolution and migration dominate, not coalescence.

- Will be using these data to refine degradation model.
Technical Accomplishment:

**PtCo Particles Coalesced Less on HSC Support**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PtCo/HSC after AST</th>
<th>PtCo/Vu after AST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This work


- Pt losses at the macroscopic level (formation of Pt band, changes in Pt amount across the electrode thickness) are similar among different carbon supports.
- However, at microscopic level, EELS composition maps show that coalesced (multi-core) PtCo particles appear rarely on HSC carbon support compared to Vulcan carbon support.
- The high surface area and confining pores of HSC appear to suppress particle coalescence.
- Agrees with electrochemical results.

- May not want an all solid carbon. Want small pores but with good accessibility.
Technical Accomplishment:

**New Capability:** Quantify Inside & Outside Pt Particles

- CO stripping in MEA was found to give a good correlation with cryo-STEM results for determining the location of Pt (inside and outside of carbon particles).

- This can become a simple routine measurement for every MEA sample.

*Padgett et al. (2017) submitted.*
**Technical Accomplishment:**

**Pt Location as HSC Catalyst Ages**

**Electrochemical Pt Utilization**

Pt utilization appears to improve after AST for Pt and PtCo on HSCs, suggesting increase in the fraction of outer Pt particles.

- Being studied by TEM tomography (Cornell) and modeling (CMU).
- Preliminary simulation at CMU suggests the confined morphology of pore filled water and ionomer may play a role as well as the difference in particle size distribution of outer vs inner particles.
Technical Accomplishment:

Improving ECSA and Stability with Intermetallic PtCo

- RDE mass activity of 0.65 A/mg_{Pt} for intermetallic-Pt_{3}Co/HSC.
- Developed procedure for scale up. Achieved equivalent ordered crystalline quality and RDE activity in scaled up samples.
- Developed chloride-free catalyst and scaled up to 3 grams. To be tested in MEA at GM as soon as contract is signed.
Technical Accomplishment:

Ionic Liquid Boosts ORR Activity

- Confirmed ORR activity boost with preferred PtCo/HSC catalyst in RDE.
- Scaled up IL-composite catalyst synthesis to >1 gram batch size.
- So far, in MEA observed 1.3x improvement in ORR activity with 7 wt% IL, smaller than RDE (2-3x). MEA may require much higher IL loading than RDE.

Next

- Develop method to quantify IL in electrode.
- Optimize IL loading, implement on PtCo, investigate the operating condition sensitivity and electrode stability.
- Qualification of different IL chemistries including aprotic formulations and assessment of their half-cell ORR activity.
Technical Accomplishment: 

Ionomer Screening

**Proton Conductivity**

- 3M PFSA and PFIA ionomers with EW of ~700, 800, 1000 were studied for their O₂ permeability and proton conductivity.
- O₂ permeability reduces as EW increases.
- PFIA doesn’t seem to follow this trend. Raises the question of the backbone vs. sidechain contributions to O₂ permeability.
- Ionomer coated Pt RDE is being studied for ionomer-Pt interaction.
- MEAs with different ionomers and Pt loadings were prepared and shipped to NREL and GM.
- MEA diagnostics focusing on local O₂ transport are being done at NREL in collaboration with FCPAD. GM will then integrate with other MEA components.
Technical Accomplishment:

Quantifying Pt and Co Losses

- PtCo GDE immersed in 0.5 H₂SO₄.
- Dissolved Pt and Co were monitored under a number of potentiodynamic and potentiostatic conditions.
- Rate parameters and model are being developed to estimate degradation rates.
**Technical Accomplishment:**

**Effects of Dissolved Cobalt: Design Guideline**

**Cobalt distribution across MEA**

- Addition of analytical equation to simulate cobalt behavior in the electrodes to further resolve the voltage losses.
- Simulated various conditions to determine allowable cobalt amount for a given criteria to provide guideline for MEA design. (e.g. 0.1 mgPt/cm² Pt₃Co will be 3-8% [Co²⁺])
- Still several *fundamental questions* left unanswered regarding observed higher-than-expected proton resistivity and unaccounted-for water transport.

**Simulated V Loss**

Provide guidelines for determining:
- Operating condition: T, RH, P, current density.
- Co/Pt ratio
- Pt loading
- Transient and dynamic operation strategy
Technical Accomplishment:

New Capability: MEA Preparation from mg of Catalyst

- Developed two procedures to coat fuel cell electrodes from 30 mg of catalyst powder (same amount as an RDE ink).
- Observed reasonable coating quality and fuel cell performance.
- Expedite learning cycles. No scale-up required.

Yarlagadda et al. (2017) submitted.
Future Work:

**Future Work**

**until 6/2017**

- Select ~3 support candidates for PtCo integration in the 2nd year. Most likely one with the best performance, one with the best durability, and one with a balanced performance.
- Evaluate activity/stability of intermetallic PtCo in MEA.
- Study the loading/stability of ionic liquid in MEA.
- Develop nano-mesostructure performance model for baseline PtCo/HSC. Identify understanding gaps for cobalt model.

**7/2017 ~ 6/2018**

- Optimize PtCo deposition on selected carbons. Better PSD, alloy quality and smaller particle size. Evaluate durability.
- Implement intermetallic alloy if proven beneficial.
- Integrate/Evaluate ionomer/ionic liquid. Focus on stability.
- Study local transport losses, using MEA diagnostics, tomography, and modeling.
- Develop cobalt fundamental model and PtCo dissolution model. Explore methods to visualize Co²⁺ distribution during operation.
- Update model with advanced ionomer/ionic liquid properties.

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels.
Summary

- Differential cell tests for ‘local resistance’ and ‘ORR mass activity’ have shown to be reliable predictors for MEA performance.
- Catalysts with high carbon surface area and large amount of micropore (e.g. HSC) tend to have higher ORR activity, higher stability under normal operation, but also higher local transport loss.
- Made significant progress in reducing resistance in carbon micropores ($R_{O_2}^{\text{micro}}$, $R_{H^+}^{\text{micro}}$) while maintaining high ORR activity.
  - Will/can these be as durable as baseline PtCo/HSC?
- Promising early results with intermetallic PtCo and ionic liquid. Expect faster progress once subcontracts are signed.
- Improved understanding of the performance tolerance to dissolved cobalt.

- New Key Capabilities
  - MEA preparation from small amount of catalyst powder
  - New in-situ MEA diagnostics
    - Pt-ionomer interaction by CO displacement
    - Pt location by CO stripping
  - Co$^{2+}$ model and MEA design guideline
  - Model with nano/meso morphology consideration

6 articles, 18 talks (5 invited), 1 patent application
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Technical Back-Up Slides
Technical Accomplishment:

Carbon Support Development: Pt/C

Catalyst Powder and Electrode Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon type</th>
<th>Powder</th>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Pt loading (mg/cm²)</th>
<th>Electrode thickness (μm)</th>
<th>Pore volume, ml/gC</th>
<th>ECSA (m²/gPt)</th>
<th>Pt accessibility under dry condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSC-a, Ketjen EC300J</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>81 ± 1.4</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC-b, Acetylene Black</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>80 ± 5.0</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC-c, Acetylene Black</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>58.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC-d</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>73.3 ± 4.1</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC-e</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>48.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC-a, Vulcan XC72</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>67.5 ± 4.9</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrC-a</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>52 ± 0</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrC-b</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>66.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrC-e</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>61 ± 1.0</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT-a</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>54.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Porosity measurement on ‘final electrode’ does not give good correlation with MEA performance.
- Rather, the pore size distribution on carbon or catalyst powder gives better correlation. Want less micropores (<4 nm) and more mesopores (>5-50 nm), confirming resistance local to Pt particles.
**Technical Accomplishment:**

**Fuel Cell Performance at Different Conditions**

**94°C, 250 kPa**

- PtCo/HSC-f, 2017, 0.06 mg-Pt/cm²
- PtCo/HSC-e, 2017, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²
- PtCo/HSC-a, 2016, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²
- PtCo/MSC-a, 2017, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²


![Graph showing voltage vs. current density for 94°C, 250 kPa conditions.](image)

- Heat rejection limit, 0.67 V

**94°C, 150 kPa**

- PtCo/HSC-f, 2017, 0.06 mg-Pt/cm²
- PtCo/HSC-e, 2017, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²
- PtCo/HSC-a, 2016, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²

![Graph showing voltage vs. current density for 94°C, 150 kPa conditions.](image)

- Heat rejection limit, 0.67 V

**80°C, 150 kPa (DOE)**

- PtCo/HSC-f, 2017, 0.06 mg-Pt/cm²
- PtCo/HSC-e, 2017, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²
- PtCo/HSC-a, 2016, 0.10 mg-Pt/cm²

![Graph showing voltage vs. current density for 80°C, 150 kPa conditions.](image)

- Heat rejection limit, 0.76 V

**DOE heat rejection requirement (Q/ΔT)**

\[
\frac{Q}{\Delta T} = \frac{\text{Stack Power} \times (1.25 - V_{\text{rated}})/V_{\text{rated}}}{\text{Stack Temp} - \text{Ambient Temp}}
\]

\[
\frac{Q}{\Delta T} = \frac{90 \text{kW} \times (1.25 - V_{\text{rated}})/V_{\text{rated}}}{\text{Stack Temp} - 40°C} < 1.45 \text{ kW/°C}
\]

Average of >3 MEAs, standard deviation < 20 mV
**Technical Accomplishment:**

**Pt-Electrolyte Interaction: Measuring Ionomer Coverage in-situ**

**CO displacement**

\[
\text{Pt} - C + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{Pt} - \text{CO} + C^+ + e^- \\
\text{Pt} - A + \text{CO} + e^- \rightarrow \text{Pt} - \text{CO} + A^-
\]

\[q_{\text{displacement}} = q_{\text{free charge}} - q_{\text{initial}}\]

MEA Current-Time Response as CO is Introduced

- Followed original concept used by *Clavilier and Feliu* on single crystals [*J. Electroanal. Chem.* (1992) 489].
- Validated on poly-Pt and Pt/C, then developed into in-situ MEA diagnostic.
- Enable quantification of adsorbed species in MEA in the potential range of 0.1-0.55 V.
- Demonstrated that CO displacement charge (ionomer adsorption) correlates with fuel cell performance.
- Will be used to quantify adsorption behavior of different type of ionomers, and any changes as they are aged.

Further Improvement at High Power

For a 0.1 mgPt/cm² cathode, most gain is obtained with local-Pt resistance of 10 s/cm. For lower Pt loading, more gain from local transport can be obtained.

Must also work on other issues. Within ‘catalyst & electrode’, ORR activity is the largest.

In this project, ordered intermetallic alloy and ionic liquid are promising approaches.

Future work:

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels.
Exceptional Durability of ORR Activity with Dealloyed PtNi/HSC and PtCo/HSC

- Meeting DOE ORR durability in MEA. Validated at multiple sites.
- Durable ORR kinetic in stack testing.
- Need thicker Pt shell for MEA stability (>4ML). *Optimization point is very different from RDE.*