

# Advanced Ionomers & MEAs for Alkaline Membrane Fuel Cells



Bryan Pivovar (PI)

## June 8, 2017



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.

# **Overview**

#### Timeline

•Start: Oct 2015 •End: Oct 2018 •% complete: ~35%

#### **Barriers**

- A. Durability
- B. Cost
- C. Performance

# Budget (\$K)

### Partners – Principal Investigators

- FY17 DOE Funding: \$1M
- FY16-FY18 at \$1M/yr
- Total Project Value: \$3M
- Cost Share Percentage: 0%

LBNL – Adam Weber ORNL/UTK – Tom Zawodzinski Colorado School of Mines – Andy Herring (in-kind) 3M – Mike Yandrasits

# **Relevance/Impact** DOE (Preliminary) Milestones for AMFCs\*

- Q2, 2017: Develop anion-exchange membranes with an area specific resistance ≤ 0.1 ohm cm<sup>2</sup>, maintained for 500 hours during testing at 600 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at T >60 °C.
- **Q4, 2017:** Demonstrate alkaline membrane fuel cell peak power performance > 600 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> on  $H_2/O_2$  (maximum pressure of 1.5 atma) in MEA with a total loading of  $\leq 0.125 \text{ mg}_{PGM}/\text{cm}^2$ .
- Q2, 2019: Demonstrate alkaline membrane fuel cell initial performance of 0.6 V at 600 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> on H<sub>2</sub>/air (maximum pressure of 1.5 atma) in MEA a total loading of < 0.1 mg<sub>PGM</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>, and less than 10% voltage degradation over 2,000 hour hold test at 600 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at T>60 °C. Cell may be reconditioned during test to remove recoverable performance losses.
- Q2, 2020: Develop non-PGM catalysts demonstrating alkaline membrane fuel cell peak power performance > 600 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> under hydrogen/air (maximum pressure of 1.5 atma) in PGM-free MEA.

#### Impact/Team Project Goals

- Improve novel perfluoro (PF) anion exchange membrane (AEM) properties and stability.
- Employ high performance PF AEM materials in electrodes and as membranes in alkaline membrane fuel cells (AMFCs).
- Apply models and diagnostics to AMFCs to determine and minimize losses (water management, electrocatalysis, and carbonate related).

### Approach FY 17 Milestones

Milestone Name/Description	End Date	Туре	Status	
Synthesis and membrane fabrication of ≥100g of novel (advanced cation) PF AEM for further characterization and MEA studies (Gen 2 PF AEM).	12/31/2016	Quarterly Progress Measure (Regular)	Complete	NREL
NMR studies comparing mobilities of carbonate, bicarbonate, hydroxide at varying RH.	3/31/2017	Quarterly Progress Measure (Regular)	Complete	UTK/ORNL
Determine carbonate uptake rates and equilibrium values at different CO2 concentrations and temperatures for model incorporation.	6/30/2017	Quarterly Progress Measure (Regular)	On track	CSM
Develop and demonstrate model for carbonate in AEMFCs.	9/30/2017	Quarterly Progress Measure (Regular)	Complete	LBNL
Aligned with AEMFC Q4, 2017 milestone: Demonstrate alkaline- membrane-fuel-cell peak power performance > 600 mW/cm2 on H2/O2 (maximum pressure of 1.5 atm abs) in MEA with a total loading of ≤ 0.125 mgPGM/cm2.	9/30/2017	Annual Milestone (Regular)	TBD	NREL

Name	Description	Criteria	Status
Mid-Project Decision Point	Meet FCTO MYPP 2017 Q2 Milestone for AEMFCs	Develop anion-exchange membranes with an area specific resistance of ≤0.1 ohm cm2 (after correction for cell electronic losses), maintained for 500 hours during testing at 600 mA/cm2 at T >60oC.	Complete

NREL

## **Approach** PF AEM Materials – Targeted Linkages and Specific Chemistries





Perfluoro (PF) polymer electrolytes exhibit chemical robustness, enhanced water transport and conductivity properties compared to hydrocarbon polymers, and form high performance electrodes.

#### 1. Improved material development (Gen 2/ Gen 3 PF AEM chemistries) (NREL)

• Moving beyond sulfonamide tether due to inherent stability concerns

# 2. Characterization and AMFC implementation of materials on hand (NREL, CSM, UTK/ORNL)

- Membrane: Water uptake, conductivity, diffusivity, carbonate uptake
- MEA: Cell performance and durability



#### 3. AMFC modeling and diagnostics (LBNL, NREL)

- Application of advanced diagnostic tools (impedance, limiting current, H2 pump)
- Effects of membrane thickness, water diffusivity, carbonate uptake being investigated

#### **Leveraging Small Molecule Studies**



Significantly increased stability achieved through lengthening of the alkyl chain (n=6). ~5% degradation after 150 hours at 80°C (50% MeOH).

NMR shows sulfonamide linkage becomes the weak point at long (n=6) tether length. Limiting stability of this tethering route.

Improved Chemical Stability (Gen 2)

#### **Gen 1 PF AEM Polymer** PF800 -S-I Gen 2 PF AEM Polymer -S-N PF800 -Ш **OH<sup>-</sup> Conductivity** Polymer **IEC**

	(measured/theoretical) [mmol/g]	@23°C in Water [mS/cm]
Gen 1	0.91 (1.06)	55
Gen 2	0.77 (1.03)	43

Significantly increased stability (~30x) achieved through lengthening of the alkyl chain, while ion exchange properties largely retained.

Progress aided by an improved understanding of reactions/solubility.



#### >200g of Gen 2 synthesized to date.

#### Distributed to >10 collaborators including:

- **3M** ۲
- Pajarito Powder
- Giner
- pH Matter
- Oak Ridge
- Lawrence Berkeley •

- LSU
- Tennessee
- CO School of Mines
- **UC-Merced**
- UConn
- TUM

### Accomplishments and Progress Characterization of PFAEM Gen 2

Gen 2 PFAEM exhibits high conductivity in OH<sup>-</sup> form – 122 mS/cm at 80°C and 95%RH – with reasonable water uptake (similar to PFSAs). (CSM)



#### **Comparison of Water Diffusion Rates**

Water self-diffusion coefficients slightly lower for PFAEM compared to commercial membrane (Tokuyama A201) with higher IEC (1.98 mmol/cm<sup>3</sup> vs 1.28 mmol/cm<sup>3</sup> for Gen 2). (UTK/ORNL)

		D(H2O)(m <sup>2</sup> /s)
	Gen-2	5.28E-10
OH⁻ form	A201	8.22E-10
	Gen-2	1.78E-10
HCO <sub>3</sub> - form	A201	4.46E-10
	Gen-2	1.56E-10
Cl form	A201	3.30E-10

#### \*water in Nafion(bulk) ~9 x 10<sup>-10</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s

### Accomplishments and Progress Gen 3 PFAEM Development

Alternate tether required for further stability improvements. Gen 3 approach targets perfluoroalkyl linkage avoiding sulfonamide.

- Perfluoroalkyl PF<sub>800</sub> (Gen-3) is significantly weaker electron-withdrawing group then PF<sub>800</sub>SO<sub>2</sub> (Gen-2)
- Aromatic linker with PF<sub>800</sub> is NOT susceptible for OH<sup>-</sup> attack
- PF<sub>800</sub> small molecule analogues show improved base stability
- Various cations under consideration
- Solubility and extent of reaction are primary concerns.
   Synthesis is underway.





#### **Gen 2 PFAEM Solutions and Dispersions**



Improved reaction conversion and polymer processing knowledge have led to improved/larger quantities of Gen 2 materials.

PFAEM Gen 2 ionomer electrode performance surpasses commercial Tokuyama AS-4 ionomer in MEAs with PFAEM membrane.

#### **Durability of Gen 2 PFAEM MEAs**



#### Current Hold at 0.4 A/cm<sup>2</sup>

Gen 2 PFAEM MEAs degraded quickly when operated under high humidification at constant current



Durability losses associated with electrodes through impedance and voltammetry.

### **Implementation of High Performance GDEs**

Few groups have shown high AMFC (~1W/cm<sup>2</sup>) performance in the literature (~300mW/cm<sup>2</sup> much more common).

UConn (Bill Mustain's) group has shown >1W/cm2 in AMFCs and initially supplied us with GDEs.

Later Andrew Park(NREL) spent 1 week at UConn fabricating GDEs and obtaining GDE fabrication knowledge.

Composition:

- Solid ionomer powder (Prof. John Varcoe, Univ. of Surrey), mixed with catalyst, sprayed on GDL (Toray H-060)
- Cathode: Pt/Vu (0.4 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>)
- Anode: PtRu/Vu (0.67 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>)



 ~1 W/cm<sup>2</sup> achieved with UConn GDEs, approaching state-of-the-art performance for AMFC

#### **Durability of PFAEM with UConn GDEs**



 Demonstration of FCTO MYPP 2017 Q2 Milestone for AEMFCs

#### **Extreme Sensitivity to Water Management**



- Performance highly dependent on slight variations in RH (not shown).
- Periodic transient (flooding) events witnessed.
- This sensitivity not seen in PEMs or other AMFCs we have tested.

#### MEA Diagnostic Comparisons between PFAEM CCM and UConn GDE



- Impedance and CV data show striking differences.
- Impedance shows significant electrode resistance for PFAEM CCM.
- Voltammetry shows significant difference in ionomer-catalyst interactions

   PFAEM has higher ECA, but less distinct Pt features.

#### **AMFC Model Development**



- LBNL addressing major gap AMFC models developed based on literature data of Tokuyama materials presented at 2016 AMR.
- These efforts have been extended to probe performance losses with emphasis on water and carbon dioxide management.

H-S. Shiau, I.V. Zenyuk, and A.Z. Weber, J. Electrochem. Soc., submitted (2017).

## **Accomplishments and Progress** Impact of RH/Oxygen Concentration

 Models had focused on pure O<sub>2</sub>, high RH

- At low RH, narrow electrode reaction distributions observed
  - Ohmically limited
  - Poor catalyst utilization
- At low oxygen concentrations
  - Mass-transport limitations





### **Role of Carbon Dioxide (Carbonate Formation)**

 The membrane in CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> form can be restored to OH<sup>-</sup> form at high current density due to OH<sup>-</sup> generation and thus self-purge of CO<sub>2</sub> at anode



\*S. Suzuki et al. / Electrochimica Acta 88 (2013) 552– 558

#### **Responses to Previous Year (2016 AMR) Reviewer's Comments**

- **Reviewer Comment:** The impact of the AEM membrane work will be dependent on whether an effective PGM-free anode catalyst material can be developed or whether the anode and catalyst loadings can be reduced below those currently observed in PEMFC systems.
- **Reviewer Comment:** It is unclear whether AMFCs will ultimately achieve commercial relevance because of poor HOR kinetics and lower conductivity than PEMFCs.
- Response: Both reviewers highlight the concerns of HOR and the ability to use non PGM catalysts and/or ultra low PGM loadings. While these are critical concerns for AMFCs, this project is focused on the membrane development part (and doesn't have adequate funding to include these other critical areas). Significant research is going on in other projects and we have continued interest in this area and would look to leverage work of others for improved electrode/catalysis. All that said, our upcoming milestones include lower catalyst loadings and these will be areas we are beginning to investigate, but the primary focus for this project involves polymer development and implementation.
- **Reviewer Comment:** AEMFC systems in general are not as well developed as PEMFC systems, so the ultimate limits of what can be done are not known. It may be that there are power limits, or durability limits, or other as-yet unidentified limits that will ultimately make AEM systems not competitive. This is a potential weakness of this general area, but it will take projects such as this one to determine whether AEM systems can be competitive.
- **Response:** We completely agree.
- **Reviewer Comment:** A project weakness is the lack of details on MEA fabrication.
- **Response:** We have tried to include more detail in this year's presentation, however there is a challenge for conveying this type of information in this format and so we also point to references for more detailed information along these lines.

# **Collaborations**

Institutions	Role
National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL): Bryan Pivovar (PI), Andrew Park, Matt Sturgeon, Ami Neyerlin, K.C. Neyerlin, Shaun Alia, Logan Garner, Hai Long, Zbyslaw Owczarczyk	Prime; Oversees the project, PF AEM synthesis and stability characterization, MEA optimization, and fuel-cell testing
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) Adam Weber, Huai-Suen Shiau	Sub; Fuel cell modeling including water transport and carbonate issues
Oak Ridge National Laboratory/University of Tennessee (ORNL/UT): Tom Zawodzinski, Ramez Elgammel, Zhijiang Tang	Sub; Polymer characterization (water self- diffusion coefficient and electro-osmotic drag)
Colorado School of Mines (CSM): Andy Herring, Ashutosh Divekar	Sub; Membranes characterization (water uptake, conductivity, structure).
<u><b>3M (3M):</b></u> Mike Yandrasits, Krzysztof Lewinski, Steve Hamrock	In-kind; Consulting on novel chemistries; preparation of solutions and dispersions; membrane fabrication.

University of Connecticut (UConn): Bill Mustain, Travis Omasta; advanced electrode/GDE

# **Remaining Challenges and Barriers/Future Work**

## • Polymer Synthesis:

- Avoid sulfonamide linkage
- Focus on Gen 3 polymer development
- Characterization:
  - Obtaining information about membrane properties (including stability)
  - Continuing studies on stability, conductivity, water transport, carbonate

# • AMFC implementation, Modeling, and Diagnostics:

- Improved performance and durability in cells, closing the gap between experimental and modeling efforts
- Electrode optimization and diagnostic studies focused on further characterization of electrodes and elucidating performance loss and durability.
  - In-situ: limiting current, RH studies, CV, and impedance (and water management)
  - Ex-situ: microscopic, electrochemical, and spectroscopic analysis
- Integration of modeling efforts with cell testing
  - Further elucidation of the impact of operating conditions (T, RH, current density, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration)

# **Technology Transfer Activities**

- Highly focused on engagement of project partner 3M, leaders in the areas of PF membranes and materials. Through technical advances, the materials being developed could lead to commercial products.
- Currently involved in multiple projects leveraging core membrane technology being developed (Incubator projects with Giner, Inc (Reversible Fuel Cells) and University of Delaware (Redox Flow Battery) and SBIR Project with pHMatter, Inc (Reversible Fuel Cells). SBV project with Midwest Energy Group.
- Co-led AMFC Workshop, May 1, 2016 involving over 50 participants from academia, industry and government. Contributed to/Co-led Workshop Report.

2016 AMFC Workshop Report http://energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/downloads/2016-alkaline-membrane-fuel-cell-workshop

# **Summary**

- **<u>Relevance</u>**: AMFCs offer promise for improved performance and decreased cost.
- **Approach:** Synthesize, characterize and optimize membrane and fuel cell performance and durability using modeling and advanced diagnostic/ characterization techniques.
- Accomplishments and Progress: This year saw significant advances in technology by the improved performance, durability and processing of Gen 2 PFAEMs. The implementation of these materials plus UConn GDEs has allowed us to demonstrate performance ~1W/cm<sup>2</sup> and durability beyond 500 hours (demonstrating a 2017 Q2 DOE milestone). Model development is providing insight into the role of water and carbon dioxide in these systems. Allowing the performance potential and limitations of AMFCs to be better understood.
- **Collaborations:** We have a diverse team of researchers including 3 national labs, 2 universities, and 1 industry participant that are leaders in the relevant fields of PF polymer electrolytes (3M), characterization (ORNL/UTK, CSM), and modeling (LBNL).
- **Proposed Future Research**: Focused on further improving polymer properties, and improving fuel cell performance and durability with an emphasis on electrode issues.

# **Technical Backup Slides**

### Accomplishments and Progress Main Degradation Routes of Gen-1 and Gen-2 PFAEM



Longer alkyl chain in Gen-2 acts as an insulator which suppress electrons movement and cation degradation

Blocking Hoffman Degradation Provides Key Info about Stability of Sulfonamide Linker



With Gen 2 PFAEM, dominant route of degradation occurs at sulfonamide linker – improving cation stability (with novel imidazolium) does not markedly improve polymer stability. Future small molecule efforts will focus on alternate linkages.

### **Accomplishments and Progress** Synthesis of New Non-sulfonamide Model Cation (Gen 3)



### Accomplishments and Progress Carbon-dioxide uptake of PFAEM Gen2

Collaborators from Colorado School of Mines attempt to study the carbonate(s) formation from  $OH^{-}$  ions when exposed to air containing  $CO_{2}$ .

Our goal is to investigate the kinetics and equilibrium concentration of carbonate and bicarbonate ions when exposed to air at different concentrations of  $CO_2$  and different temperatures.

Important Reactions:

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{CO}_{2(\text{aq})} + \text{OH}^{-} & \rightarrow & \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} \\ \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} + \text{OH}^{-} & \rightarrow & \text{CO}_{3}^{2-} + \text{H2O} \end{array}$ 

Equilibrium concentrations of Gen2 polymer after 24 h:(Assumption- no OH<sup>-</sup> remaining)

20 °C :  $CO_3^{2-}(52\%)$  and  $HCO_3^{-}(48\%)$  of the total IEC(0.75mmol/gm)



Kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> reaction using A201(wet condition) and temperature of 20°C Ref: Yanagi et.al, ECS Transactions, 16(2008)

#### Tokuyama Data:

Last data point was collected at 2 hrs. The data suggests that there is no hydroxide and the only species remaining are carbonate(60%) and bicarbonate(40%). But 2 h is not sufficient to collect the equilibrium values

### Accomplishments and Progress Small angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) of PFAEM Gen2

SAXS data was collected to understand the structure and morphology of the polymer at Advanced Photon Source facility at Argonne National Laboratory, IL. The scattering data shows 2 distinct features which closely resemble the matrix knee feature(11 nm) and ionomer cluster(4.4 nm) of 3M-PFSA.

The data was collected in different ionic forms to better understand the size of ionomer feature in each form.





Transient SAXS data of OH<sup>-</sup> form of Gen2 polymer when exposed to air was studied. Drop in intensity might correspond to the loss of water as carbonate/bicarbonates are formed. The polymer equilibrates quickly at a lower humidity.

Our goal is to track the peak location to understand the change in ionic domain size over time(Colorado School of Mines).

#### **AMFC Model Sensitity Studies**



- Getting more water to cCL is key
  - Depends on governing resistances

### **Asymmetric Humidification and Transport Resistance to cCL**

