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Overview

Timeline
- Project Start Date: June 12, 2017
- Project End Date: March 11, 2018
- Percent Complete: 100%

Barriers Addressed
- 100% Reaction Conversion of LiH to H₂
- Passively Controlled H₂ Gas Evolution over 15 Minutes
- Reduced cost from $391 to $83

Budget
- Total Project Budget: $149,999
  - Contractor Share: $0
  - Federal Share: $149,999
- Funds Spent: $149,999

Partners
- US DOE: Project Sponsor and Funding
- Skyhaven: Technical R&D
- Champlain College: Financial Modeling and Commercialization Planning
Market Need

- A common concern for fuel cell vehicle operators is running out of hydrogen fuel
  - Sparse hydrogen filling stations
  - Operators can experience range anxiety
  - This lessens the appeal of these vehicles hindering their commercialization
- To overcome this shortfall, the DOE is interested in developing emergency hydrogen refuelers
  - Similar in concept to keeping a can of gas in the trunk
  - Compact and lightweight H$_2$ storage unit that can be stored in the vehicle trunk
  - Can be safely and reliably operated by the driver
Value Proposition

- To meet this market need, a H₂ gas refueling system is being developed.
- Skyhaven’s refueling system goals:
  - Provides 0.75 kg (1.7 lb) of hydrogen fuel.
  - Refills the vehicle within 15 minutes.
  - Is activated with water giving it a long storage lifetime.
  - Mass of 10 kg (22.8 lb) that includes the activating water.
  - Compact space of 11 liters (0.4 ft³).
  - No moving parts helping to increase reliability.
  - Material cost budget of $391 in low production volumes.
- With this product, the average fuel cell vehicle will have a 50 mile range enabling the operator to reach a hydrogen refueling station.
The Innovation

- Store lithium hydride powder in a hermetically sealed vessel
- When this chemical hydride is exposed to water vapor, it releases hydrogen gas instantaneously
  - \( \text{LiH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{LiOH} \)
- Use a network of water conduits placed throughout a packed bed of lithium hydride to shuttle liquid water
  - Liquid water pervaporates through the conduits introducing water vapor to the LiH particles
- Use hydrophilic micro-wick additives within the LiH to further disperse water to all LiH particles giving 100% reaction yield
Water Activation of LiH to Release H₂ Gas: Vapor Versus Liquid Feed

• Ideal scenario
  – React all LiH within 10-15 minutes
  – Maintain bed temperature less than 100°C using water vapor feed
  – Liquid water for bootstrapping

100% LiH Conversion
Reasonable bed temperature
However this takes too long

Fast 100% LiH Conversion
However high 300°C bed temperature potentially unsafe

100% LiH Conversion in 15 minutes
Reasonable 100°C bed temperature
Top Phase I Technical Challenges

A: Maximizing the water vapor transport rate through the conduits
B: Maximizing the distance that water vapor can transport into the LiH bed
C: Overcoming LiOH films that hinder water transport to LiH particles
D: Introducing liquid water into the conduits without pumps
E: System engineering – start up, heat removal, H₂ purity, reliability, refueler design, cost
Technical Challenge A: Maximize the Water Vapor Rate Through the Conduits

- Fabricate water feed conduits that are dispersed throughout the LiH packed bed
- Choose conduit materials and design to minimize their size (and cost) while enabling water pervaporation through them
- Miniaturized tubular conduits produced operating at 80-100°C that maximize the water vapor transmission rate into the LiH bed
Technical Challenge B&C: Maximize the Water Vapor Distance Through the LiH Bed

- Want water vapor to diffuse through long distances of LiH particles (and through LiOH films)
- This minimizes the number of water conduits and maximizes the amount of LiH
- Incorporate wick additives into LiH to shuttle water

- Increasing percentage of wick additives
  - Enables deeper water penetration into the LiH bed
  - Enables 100% LiH conversion in reasonable time periods (15 min)
  - However, compromises LiH content
Technical Challenge D: Introducing Liquid Water into the Conduits

- Hydrogen refueler needs to use a gravity water-fed delivery system (no pumps)
- Challenge is getting liquid water to fill the miniaturized conduits quickly once activated

- Gravity fed operation shows similar behavior to pumping water through the conduits
- Room temperature gravity-fed water supply to a single cell achieved a reaction completion of 79%
Technical Challenge E: Starting the H₂ Refueler

- User activates refueler via turning a valve that gravity feeds water to the conduits
- Want 80-100 °C internal refueler temperature quickly as possible
  - This significantly increases the water vapor transport rate
- Since direct liquid water-LiH contact immediately releases heat, consider using a limited number of direct liquid water-LiH conduits to bootstrap the reactor

![Diagram showing water vapor and liquid water conduits]

Limited liquid water-LiH interaction gives controllable reaction

![Graph showing temperature and hydrogen flow over time]
Technical Challenge E: Heat Removal

- Heat of reaction:
  \[ \Delta H_{\text{Rxn}} = \Delta H_{\text{LiOH}}^\circ + \Delta H_{\text{H}_2}^\circ - \Delta H_{\text{LiH}}^\circ - \Delta H_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^\circ \]
  \[ \Delta H_{\text{Rxn}} = -155.3 \frac{kJ}{mol} \text{LiH} \]

- A refueler with 750 g of H\textsubscript{2} requires 2976 g LiH
- Total energy released: -58,134.6 kJ
- Assume refueler is designed to dispense H\textsubscript{2} over a 10 minute period
  - Total heat released is: -96.9 kW
- Heat release calculations show importance of a controlled water delivery system to minimize heat generation and subsequent heat removal requirements
- Use internal heat transfer fins coupled to external fins for cooling
Technical Challenge E: H₂ Purity

  - Hydrogen lower limit of 99.97%
  - Water upper limit of 5 ppm
- Refueler will require a bed of silica gel to get below water threshold
- Gas chromatography has shown that no other by-products are produced from the reactor
  - Oxygen and nitrogen presence from fitting leak
Technical Challenge E: Refueler Material Reliability

- Examined material compatibility of LiH, conduits, and wick additives
  - Determine if material interactions degrade the refueler in storage
- 12 reactors initially fabricated with 0.9 grams of LiH containing conduits and wick additives
- 2 reactors activated each month measuring the H₂ flow rate

- Reliability studies have shown that there are no material compatibility issues with the refueler
- H₂ is generated after months of storage proving LiH is maintaining its reactivity during storage
- Differences in performance are attributed to LiH/wick additive packaging
Technical Challenge E: Refueler Design

- Repeatable units forming individual compartments
  - e.g., compartments comprised of five water delivery conduits with a single direct water feed conduit in the middle to bootstrap
- Compartment walls serve as the internal heat exchanger fins
- External fins for ambient convection thermal management
Technical Challenge E: Refueler Design Shows Scalability

- Single conduit, 1.5” long
- 0.9 g LiH
- 7.3 ml H₂/min/in conduit

- 4 conduits, 6.0” long
- 3.0 g LiH
- 11.7 ml H₂/min/in conduit
Technical Challenge E:
Refueler Design for a H₂ Fueling Nozzle

- **Approach**
  - Injection plastic molded nozzle
  - One-time use device
  - Mimic nozzle design from refueler stations
  - 5’ plastic hose from refueler to nozzle

- **Phase II design effort**
Technical Challenge E: Reducing the Material Cost Less than $391

- Main material cost drivers are the conduits and LiH
- Phase II material cost expectation of $83 in relatively low procurement volumes
- Supports a H₂ refueler sale price of $150-200

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Bulk Price</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Pricing</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HX Fins</td>
<td>$77.25</td>
<td>12&quot; x 1000'</td>
<td>$0.00054 in²</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>$0.95</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>$0.0077</td>
<td>$2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>$110.71</td>
<td>24&quot; x 50'</td>
<td>$0.0077 in²</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$19.68</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>$0.0077</td>
<td>$2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additives</td>
<td>$65.60</td>
<td>500 g</td>
<td>$0.13 g</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>$0.95</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>$0.0077</td>
<td>$2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Conduit</td>
<td>$152.00</td>
<td>1500'</td>
<td>$0.10 ft</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$19.68</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>$0.0077</td>
<td>$2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Hydride</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td>1000 g</td>
<td>$0.016 g</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$19.68</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>$0.0077</td>
<td>$2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dessicant</td>
<td>$66.88</td>
<td>230,350 in³</td>
<td>$0.00029 in³</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>$48.00</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>$0.016</td>
<td>$48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Valve</td>
<td>$6.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00029</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Hose</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00029</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Nozzle</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00029</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$233.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$82.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phase I H₂ Refueler Material Cost

- **Total:** $233.33

Phase II Expected H₂ Refueler Material Cost

- **Total:** $82.78
Technology Status Today

- Phase I DOE program has shown the technical feasibility of the hydrogen refueler
  - Demonstrated mechanisms to gravity feed water to the conduits
  - Showed conduits and operational methods that increase the water vapor transport rate through the conduits
  - Showed using wick additives to increase the depth that water can diffuse through LiH and LiOH toward 100% LiH conversion
  - Demonstrated using a limited number of liquid water-LiH cells to bootstrap the reactor to 80 °C
  - Showed that only H₂ and water exit the refueler where a desiccant will adsorb the water before filling the fuel cell vehicle
  - Demonstrated material compatibility over 9 months supporting a long storage shelf life
  - Examined scale up using compartmented zones for heat removal and safe operation
  - Showed low material cost projections of $83
H₂ Refueler Technology into the Future

- DOE SBIR Phase II Program will be used to focus on further development of technology including:
  - Improving water conduit permeation and cost reduction via investigation into new materials and designs
  - Continued scaling of reactor to higher H₂ flow rates by increasing number of water conduits
  - Optimize design of water delivery system and thermal management
  - Investigate manufacturing requirements of various refueler components
  - Produce and demonstrate a 750 g H₂ refueler
  - Work with DOE/industry partners to obtain refueler specifications

Any Proposed Future Work is Subject to Change Based on Funding Levels