COMPUTATIONAL MODELING OF PRESSURE EFFECTS FROM HYDROGEN EXPLOSIONS

Granovskiy E.A., Lifar V.A., Skob Yu.A., Ugryumov M.L.[1]

[1] Scientific Center of Risk Investigations "Rizikon", 33-b Sovetsky prospect, (P.B. 44), Severodonetsk, Lugansk region, 93411, Ukraine

ABSTRACT

The statement of the problem and algorithm of computational modeling of the processes of formation of the hydrogen-air mixture in the atmosphere, its explosion (taking into account chemical interaction) and dispersion of the combustion materials in the open space with complex relief are presented. The finite-difference scheme was developed for the case of the three-dimensional system of gas dynamics equations complemented by the mass conservation laws of the gas admixture and combustion materials. The algorithm of the computation of thermal and physical parameters of the gas mixture appearing as a result of the instantaneous explosion taking into account chemical interaction was developed. The algorithm of computational solution of the difference scheme obtained on the basis of Godunov method was considered. The verification of the mathematical model showed its acceptable accuracy in comparison with known experimental data. It allows using the developed model for the modeling of pressure and thermal consequences of possible failures at the industrial enterprises which store and use hydrogen. The computational modeling of an explosion of the gas hydrogen cloud appearing as a result of instantaneous destruction of high pressure containers at the fueling station was carried out. The analysis of different ways of protection of the surrounding buildings from destructive effects of the shock wave was conducted. The recommendations considering the choice of dimensions of the protection area around the fuelling station were worked out.

INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen is widely used as an alternative transport fuel which is harmless to environment. However such characteristics of hydrogen as low density, high combustion energy and rapid transition from burning to detonation cause the problems of safe storage and delivery of the hydrogen fuel and right allocation of fuelling stations with regard to residential areas. The equipment seal failures, destruction of storage volumes of high compressed hydrogen cause its release into the atmosphere and formation of an explosive hydrogen-air mixture. It creates a real threat of the hydrogen inflammation, detonation explosions and, as a result, considerable material damage.

A physical experiment modeling these gas-dynamics phenomena is very expensive. Its results cannot be easily used under real conditions of industrial enterprises. As a rule, overpressure and shock wave impulse are determined using semi-empiric equations of regression [1-3] to predict construction loadings after an explosion. However, experimental data are usually obtained in the open space not taking into account complex relief. Modern computation methods of burning-to-detonation transition (e.g. [4]) are developed for model problems. Therefore the problem of the creation of the mathematical model describing adequately time-dependent processes of formation of explosive gas mixtures in the three-dimensional space, their explosions (taking into account chemical interaction of the mixture components) and further dispersion of the combustion materials in the atmosphere is very important. A computer system realizing such a mathematical model will allow analyzing and forecasting three-dimensional fields of the explosive admixture concentration, mixture thermodynamics parameters in time (before and after explosion) and space, evaluating possible after-explosion destructions.

1.0 MATHEMATICAL MODEL

An adequate description of physical processes of hydrogen release and further mixture dispersion in the atmosphere is possible only using the system of Navier-Stokes time-dependant equations for compressible gas. Limited resources of modern computers do not allow carrying out direct

computational solution of these equations effectively. In many cases, the computation modeling of turbulent flows is realized by solving Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations, complemented by a turbulence model [5, 6]. But a great majority of the turbulence models do not describe different types of flows adequately. It especially relates to flows with an intensive boundary flow separation and/or heavy gradients of pressure and temperature. Therefore it is necessary to create new mathematical models and finite-difference schemes for a computation modeling of such flows.

The main purposes of this work are to develop a simplified mathematical model describing adequately time-dependant processes of explosive gas mixtures formation in the three-dimensional space, their explosion and dispersion of combustion materials in the atmosphere as well as to create a computational modeling algorithm of these processes.

It is assumed that the convective mass, impulse and energy transfer impacts mainly on considered processes. Thus it is enough to use simplified Navier-Stokes equations received by the truncation of viscous members (Euler approach with source members).

The calculated space Ω is a parallelepiped located in the right-hand coordinate system (fig. 1) and it is partitioned to spatial cells the scale of which depends on characteristic sizes of the calculated space (roughness of streamlined surfaces, dimensions of streamlined objects).



Figure 1. Computational model of gas cloud explosion

The total system of the time-dependent equations describing the three-dimensional multi-component gas mixture flow looks like [7]:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \vec{b}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \vec{d}}{\partial z} = \rho \vec{f} \ , \tag{1}$$

where $\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}, \vec{d}, \vec{f}$ – vector-columns of such a kind:

$$\vec{a} = [\rho, \rho u, \rho v, \rho w, E]^T \ , \tag{2}$$

$$\vec{b} = \left[\rho u, P + \rho u^2, \rho uv, \rho uw, (E + P)u\right]^T \ , \tag{3}$$

$$\vec{c} = \left[\rho v, \rho vu, P + \rho v^2, \rho vw, (E + P)v\right]^T \ , \tag{4}$$

$$\vec{d} = \left[\rho w, \rho wu, \rho wv, P + \rho w^2, (E + P)w\right]^T \ , \tag{5}$$

$$\vec{f} = [0, 0, -g, 0, -gv]^T \ , \tag{6}$$

t – time; u, v, w – components of velocity vector $\vec{q}$; P, ρ – pressure and density; E – total energy of a volume unit of the gas mixture:

$$E = \rho(e + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2 + w^2)); \quad (7)$$

e – internal energy of a gas mass unit; components of the vector $\vec{f}$ – projections of the distributed volume sources; g – gravitational acceleration.

The law of admixture component (combustible gas, combustion materials) transfer, taking into account a diffusion speed, is as follows [7]:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho Q)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u Q)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho v Q)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial(\rho w Q)}{\partial z} = \rho_Q, \quad (8)$$

where Q – relative mass density of the admixture (the ratio of the gaseous admixture substance density to the mixture density); ρ_Q – an admixture density change rate as a result of turbulence diffusion (according to Fick law $\rho_{Qt} = div(\rho \vartheta_D gradQ)$ and a diffusion factor ϑ_D is defined according to Berljand [8].

The set of equations (1-8) is incomplete. It is complemented with equations defining thermal and physical properties of mixture components [7]. For ideal polytropic gas the value e is related to the values P and ρ of the mixture by the following dependence: $e = \frac{P}{(k-1)\rho}$.

It is assumed that any component of air flow velocity is subsonic. The approaching flow is defined by the values of total enthalpy $I_0 = \frac{k}{k-1}\frac{P}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2 + w^2)$, entropy function $S_0 = \frac{P}{\rho^k}$, flow velocity vector (angles $\alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z$), and relative admixture mass concentration Q ($Q \le 1$ if the gas admixture flows in). The entry flow parameters are defined by equations (3, 4) (if angles $\alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z$ are set) using the "left" Riemann invariant correlation [9]. On the impermeable computational cells' surfaces the "no flowing" condition is satisfied: $q_n = 0$ (where $\bar{n}$ is a normal to the considered cell surface). Exit boundary conditions are set on the computational cells' surfaces where the mixture flows out using the "right" Riemann invariant correlation [9].

At start time in all "gaseous" cells of the computational space the ambient parameters are assigned. In the cells occupied by an admixture cloud, the relative mass concentration of the admixture equals $Q = 1$ (100%).

2. 0 GAS MIXTURE EXPLOSION MODEL

According to the suggested combustion model, it is assumed that the global instantaneous chemical reaction takes place in the volume where the concentration of the admixture is in the inflammability range (a control volume). It means that the values of the parameters of the gas mixture in the control volume are instantly changed by the values of the corresponding parameters of combustion materials and remnants of the one of the mixture components (combustible gas in the case of thin mixture or air in the case of rich mixture). It is assumed that the flame front propagates with infinitely large speed.

Mass of combustible participating in burning is determined for computational cells where the admixture concentration is in the range between minimal and maximal concentration limits of inflammability $Q_{min} \le Q \le Q_{max}$:

$$m'' = \sum(\rho Q \Delta V). \quad (9)$$

The mass of the combustible not participating in the burning process is determined only for the computational cells where the admixture concentration $Q > Q_{max}$:

$$m_0'' = \sum(\rho Q \Delta V). \tag{10}$$

The total mixture mass in the volume where the burning process occurs is determined for computational cells where the admixture concentration $Q > Q_{min}$:

$$m = \sum(\rho \Delta V). \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, the total mixture mass m includes the masses of an oxidant m', burning combustible m'' and not burning combustible m_0''. Hence the oxidant mass in the mixture is:

$$m' = m - m'' - m_0''. \tag{12}$$

The mass concentrations (averaged on computation space volume) of mixture components are determined as follows:

$$Q'' = \frac{m''}{m}, \tag{13}$$

$$Q_0'' = \frac{m_0''}{m}, \tag{14}$$

$$Q' = \frac{m'}{m} = 1 - Q'' - Q_0''. \tag{15}$$

The excess air factor in the mixture α is as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{m'}{\vartheta_0 m''} = \frac{1 - Q'' - Q_0''}{\vartheta_0 Q''}, \tag{16}$$

where $\vartheta_0 = \frac{m_{th}'}{m''}$ – a stoichiometric number, m_{th}' – air mass which is necessary in theory for the complete combustion of 1 kg of the fuel.

The lower combustion value of the admixture H_u is set from the tables of thermophysical properties of the matters. The molar mass μ_c and the adiabatic coefficient k_c of the combustion materials are determined on the basis of reversibility hypothesis of the realized chemical reactions.

In the case when $\alpha \geq 1$ the thermophysical properties of the gas mixture after an explosion are determined as follows:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\frac{1 - (\vartheta_0 + 1)Q'' - Q_0''}{\mu'} + \frac{(\vartheta_0 + 1)Q''}{\mu_c} + \frac{Q_0''}{\mu''}}, \tag{17}$$

$$C_p = [1 - (\vartheta_0 + 1)Q'' - Q_0'']C_p' + (\vartheta_0 + 1)Q''C_p^c + Q_0''C_p'', \tag{18}$$

$$C_v = [1 - (\vartheta_0 + 1)Q'' - Q_0'']C_v' + (\vartheta_0 + 1)Q''C_v^c + Q_0''C_v'', \tag{19}$$

$$k = \frac{C_p}{C_v}. \tag{20}$$

In the case when $\alpha < 1$ the thermophysical properties of the gas mixture after an explosion are determined in such a way:

$$\mu = \frac{\vartheta_0}{\frac{(1+\vartheta_0)Q'}{\mu_c} + \frac{\vartheta_0 - (1+\vartheta_0)Q'}{\mu''}}, \tag{21}$$

$$C_p = (1 - Q_c)C''_p + Q_c C^c_p, \tag{22}$$

$$C_v = (1 - Q_c)C''_v + Q_c C^c_v. \tag{23}$$

In any case such properties of the gas mixture as pressure, temperature and density are as follows:

$$P = \frac{H_u m''_{th}(k-1)}{V} + P_a = \frac{H_u(1 - Q'' - Q''_0)m''(k-1)}{\vartheta_0 Q'' V} + P_a,\ T = \frac{PV\mu}{mR_{ун}},\ \rho = \frac{m}{V}. \tag{24}$$

$$T = \frac{PV\mu}{mR_{ун}}, \tag{25}$$

$$\rho = \frac{m}{V}. \tag{26}$$

From here, it is assumed that an explosion is instantaneous; combustion takes place in the permanent volume occupied by an explosive mixture with the combustible concentration within the limits of inflammability. After the explosion in the localized volume the fluid dynamics parameters of two-reactant mixture (air and combustible gas) are modified to the fluid dynamics parameters of the three-component mixture (air, products of combustion and fuel remnants).

3.0 ALGORITHM OF COMPUTATIONAL SOLUTION

The vector equation (1) can be presented in an integral form for every computational cell:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\iiint_V a\,dV + \oiint_\sigma \hat{A}\,d\sigma = \iiint_V \rho f\,dV, \tag{27}$$

where V – a volume of the elementary computational cell; $\vec{\sigma}$ – a limiting surface of the given cell which has an external normal $\vec{n}\ (\vec{\sigma} = \sigma\vec{n})$; $\hat{A}$ – a tensor of flux density of the conservative variables a the columns of which are the vectors $\vec{b}, \vec{c}, \vec{d}$, accordingly.

The transfer law of each component of a mixture (8) can be also presented in an integral form for every computational cell:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\iiint_V \rho Q\,dV + \oiint_\sigma \rho Q q\,d\sigma = \iiint_V \rho_Q\,dV \tag{28}$$

The computational solution of the fundamental gas dynamics equations (27, 28) is based on the disintegration scheme of an arbitrary break of fluid parameters (Godunov method [9]). In the moment of an explosion in the volume of the computation space occupied by the explosive mixture with the

admixture concentration within the limits of inflammability ($Q_{min} \le Q \le Q_{max}$) the gas dynamics parameters of two-component mixture (air and fuel) instantly become the parameters of three-component mixture (air, combustion materials and fuel remnants). The mixture parameters after an explosion are determined according to the equations (9-26).

An explicit Godunov method is used to solve Euler equations complemented by the conservation law of the gas mixture concentration in the integrated form. The first order finite-differential scheme is used to approximate Euler equations. The second order central differences are used for the diffusion source member of the conservation law of the gas mixture concentration. The simple pressure interpolation in the vertical dimension is applied. Godunov method has a robust algorithm resistant to large-scale disturbances of flow parameters (for example pressure) and allows obtaining flow parameters when modeling large-scale gas mixture explosions.

On the basis of the mathematical model a computer system of the engineering analysis of the gas mixture formation, its explosion and dispersion in the atmosphere has been developed. It is used in the research bundled software «Expert-2» at the Scientific Center of Risk Investigations «Rizikon» (Severodonetsk, Ukraine). The software allows forecasting a gas admixture concentration and pressure development in an acceptable time using stand-alone computers.

4.0 MATHEMATICAL MODEL VERIFICATION

A release of the gas inflammables into the atmosphere and their explosion cause the formation and propagation of the shock waves, personnel affection and damage of the vitally important objects. An overpressure in the front of the shock-wave generated by an explosion is usually used to evaluate building surface loadings.

The validation of the developed code against experimental results was carried out. An explosion of the hemispheric homogeneous stoichiometric hydrogen-air mixture cloud was modeled (experiments at Fraunhofer ICT [2]) under the following conditions: the total volume of the cloud 2094 m^3; the initial pressure 98.9 kPa; the initial temperature 283 K; the diameter of the hemispheric cloud 20 m. The pressure development at the distances of 35 m (the point B on the fig. 2) and 80 m (the point C) from the epicenter of the explosion (the point A) was examined during the computation.

The computation space had the following dimensions: the length of 200 m; the width of 100 m, the height of 30 m. The dimensions of the computational grid were 200x100x30 cells. The computer and code had the following characteristics: 1 Intel® Celeron® CPU PCs (2.4 GHz), 0.75 Gb RAM, Windows 2000, 4 h CPU time.

The flow pressure distribution near the ground at the moment when the shock-wave passes the point B is presented on the fig. 2. It is obvious that a zone of decreased pressure has been formed around the epicenter of the explosion. The further propagation of the explosion shock-wave along the computation area to the control point C is accompanied by decreasing of the intensity of overpressure (fig. 3).

The overpressure dynamics at the control milestones B and C is presented on the fig. 4-5 against the experimental results and the computational results obtained using other different codes [10]. More sharp form of the calculated curve can be explained by particular features of the accepted combustion model (global instantaneous chemical reaction). More intensive decrease of the overpressure as the shock-wave propagates from the point B to the point C may be obviously referred to the first order scheme of the Godunov method.

As a whole the computational results have quite a good agreement with the experimental data. It allows to use the developed mathematical model and code for a simulation of the large-scale explosions of the hydrogen-air mixtures in the open atmosphere and prediction of pressure consequences of such explosions on surrounding residential or industrial buildings.



Figure 2. Pressure distribution in the plane XOZ near the ground (t=0.33 s)



Figure 3. Pressure distribution in the plane XOZ near the ground (t=0. 44 s)



Figure 4. Pressure history in the point B near the ground



Figure 5. Pressure history in the point C near the ground

An explosion of stoichiometric propane-air mixture (the volume of combustible cloud – 1495 m^3, energy of explosion – 4640 MJ) was also carried out in order to validate the developed model and code.

The computational results (fig. 6) show acceptable accuracy comparing with experimental data and regressive dependence [2] (in the fig. 6: $R_0 = \frac{R}{E^{1/3}}$ is a dynamic radius, where R – distance from the epicenter of explosion, m; E – energy of explosion, J).



Figure 6. Overpressure distribution in front of the shock wave:

1 –computational results, 2 – regressive dependence, 3 – experimental data

5.0 COMPUTATION OF HYDROGEN CLOUD EXPLOSION

The hydrogen dispensing station [11] and local area around it is considered. The station has a cryogenic tank with liquid hydrogen (5,7 m^3). The tank feeds 36 high-pressure cylinders (18,4 m^3 in overall) with gas hydrogen.

During operation of the fuel station different emergencies caused by gas and liquid hydrogen leaks from the defective equipment (or as a result of its destruction) can happen. It results in formation of the explosive cloud of the hydrogen-air mixture and its dispersion in the atmosphere. One of the most dangerous scenarios (taking into account potential catastrophic consequences threatening to the equipment of the station, its personnel and near-by residential area buildings) is an explosion of hydrogen-air mixture as a result of large-scale instantaneous release into the atmosphere of all the volume of compressed gas hydrogen from the all high pressure dispensing cylinders [11].

This scenario was modeled using the developed mathematical model. The hydrogen cloud had such initial parameters: volume 798 m^3; hydrogen mass 687.4 kg; hydrogen mass concentration 100%; pressure 1031371.3 Pa; temperature 288 K. It was assumed that the cloud had been dispersing during 0.06 s after instantaneous destruction of high pressure cylinders (a physical explosion). Then a detonation explosion of the hydrogen-air mixture (a chemical explosion) took place. The chemical explosion caused appearance of high temperature combustion materials and shock wave which had a pressure impact on the station equipment and residential buildings. The location plan is presented in the fig. 7. The height of the buildings was 5-12 m. The location of the station (positions A and B in the fig. 7) was varied in relation to the buildings location. The different types of the shield installations (banks, bumper wall) around the station against destructive influence of the shock wave overpressure were also considered. The pressure history was analyzed at the explosion epicenter and characteristic point on the building (positions A, B and C on the fig. 7, accordingly).



Figure 7. The location plan of the area around the fuel station (A, B – possible sites of the station; C – a control point on the building wall)

5.1 Hydrogen cloud explosion nearby residential area

The case when the fuel station location is close to the residential area is considered (position B in the fig. 7). Any shield installation from destructive influence of an explosion shock wave is used. The distribution of the hydrogen volume concentration in the mixture just before a chemical explosion is presented in the fig. 8. Obviously, the radius of a hemispheric zone of the detonation burning makes approximately 25 m and surpasses the height of the building.



Figure 8. The distribution of the hydrogen volume concentration before a moment of nearby explosion in the YOZ plane (B – explosion epicenter, C – pressure control point on the building)

The pressure distribution in the XOZ-plane near the ground and YOZ-plane when the pressure at the control point C reaches the maximal value is presented in the fig. 9. The data analysis shows that pressure in the shock wave front on the wall of the building near the ground is approximately twice as higher as in the opened space.

The pressure history in the control points B and C is presented in the fig. 10. Two peaks of pressure in the point B (fig. 10 a) correspond to the moments of time when physical and chemical explosions occur. As the explosion epicenter is moved away from the residential area, the value of the shock wave pressure amplitude decreases quickly (fig. 10 b).



Figure 9. Pressure distribution in the planes: XOZ near the ground (a), YOZ (b)



Figure 10. Pressure history in the points: B (a) and C (b)

5.2 Distant hydrogen cloud explosion

The case when the fuel station location is distant from the residential area is also considered (position A in the fig. 7). The distance from the fuel station to the nearest buildings is selected from the recommendations [11]. Any shield installation against the destructive influence of an explosion shock wave is used. The analysis of the hydrogen volume concentration distribution shows that the size and the form of the detonation burning zone are similar to the case with the near-by location of the station (fig. 8). The pressure distribution in the planes XOZ (near the ground) and YOZ when overpressure in the control point C reaches the maximum is presented in the fig. 11.

The pressure history in the control points A and C is presented in the fig. 12. Two peaks of pressure in the point A (fig. 12 a) correspond to the moments when physical and chemical explosions occur. The distancing of the explosion epicenter from the residential area significantly decreases (approximately five times) the maximal pressure loading on the building walls (fig. 12 b, 10 b).

5.3 Distant banked explosion of a hydrogen cloud

A similar to 5.2 case of the distant location of the fuel station from the residential area is considered (position A in the fig. 7). To shield the buildings against the destructive impact of the explosion the banks (7 m high) surrounding the station are installed. The analysis of the hydrogen volume concentration distribution shows that the overall dimensions and the form of the detonation zone have been changed under the influence of complex relief of banks (fig. 13).

The pressure distribution in the cross-planes XOZ (near the ground) and YOZ (through the point C on the building) when the overpressure in the control point C reaches maximum (fig. 14) has changed insignificantly comparing to the remote explosion 5.2.



Figure 11. The pressure distribution in the case of a distant location of the fuel station in the planes: XOZ near the ground (a), YOZ (b)



Figure 12. Pressure history in the characteristic points: A (a) and C (b)



Figure 13. The hydrogen volume concentration distribution before a moment of the banked distant explosion in the YOZ plane (A – an explosion epicenter)

5.4 Distant partly banked explosion of the hydrogen cloud

A similar to 5.3 case of the distant location of the fuel station from the residential area is considered (position A in the fig. 7). To shield the buildings from the impact of an explosion the banks (7 m high) partly surrounding (in the north-east) the station are installed. Overall dimensions and a form of the detonation zone have been changed under the influence of the banks relief (fig. 15).

The pressure distribution in the planes XOZ (near the ground) and YOZ when the overpressure at the control point C reaches the maximum is presented in the fig. 16. The partly banking of an explosion site has insignificantly changed the overall pressure field comparing to the explosion 5.3.



Figure 14. The pressure distribution in the planes: XOZ near the ground (a), YOZ (b)



Figure 15. The hydrogen volume concentration distribution before a moment of partly banked distant explosion in the YOZ cross plane (A – an explosion epicenter)



Figure 16. The pressure distribution in the planes: XOZ near the ground (a), YOZ (b)

5.5 Distant explosion partly surrounded with higher banks

A similar to 5.4 case of the distant location of the fuel station from the residential area is considered (position A in the fig. 7). To protect the buildings from an explosion impact the higher banks (13 m high) which partly (in the north-east) and more distantly surrounding the station are installed (fig. 17, 18 a). The pressure distribution in the planes XOZ (near the ground) and YOZ when the overpressure at the control point C reaches the maximum is presented in the fig. 18. The data analysis shows that the higher partly banking of an explosion site allows decreasing slightly the pressure loading on the buildings (fig. 18 b).



Figure 17. The hydrogen volume concentration distribution before a moment of a distant explosion partly surrounded with the higher banks in the YOZ cross plane (A – an explosion epicenter)



Figure 18. The pressure distribution in the planes: XOZ near the ground (a), YOZ (b)

5.6 Distant hydrogen explosion with the use of bumper walls

A similar to 5.2 case of distant location of the fuel station from the residential area is considered. To protect the buildings from an explosion impact the bumper walls (8 m high, 3 m thick) are installed immediately in front of the buildings (fig. 19 a). The pressure distribution in the planes XOZ (near the ground) and YOZ when the pressure at the control point C reaches the maximum is presented in fig. 19. The data analysis makes it clear that an installation of the bumper wall near the buildings causes a decrease of pressure by approximately 10 percent comparing with a case without protection (fig. 20).



Figure 19. Pressure distribution in planes: XOZ near the ground (a), YOZ (b)



Figure 20. Pressure history in a point C

It should be noted that all the considered types of the protective installations do not allow bringing maximal overpressure down in the control point on the wall of the building to the safe level.

CONCLUSIONS

The mathematical model of the gas-dynamics processes of the two-agent explosive gas mixture formation, its explosion and dispersion of the combustion materials in the open atmosphere was developed. The finite-difference approximation was developed for the case of three-dimensional system of the gas dynamics equations complemented by the mass conservation laws of the gas admixture and combustion materials. The algorithm of the computation of the thermo-physical parameters of the gas mixture resulting after instantaneous explosion taking into account the chemical interaction was developed. The verification of the mathematical model showed an acceptable accuracy in comparison with the known experimental data that allowed using it for the modeling of consequences of the possible failures at industrial objects which store and use hydrogen.

The computational modeling of the gas hydrogen explosion at the fuel station was carried out. The analysis of the different ways of protecting the surrounding buildings from the shock wave destructive impact was conducted. It was revealed that the considered types of the protective installations (partial or complete banking, bumper walls) had an influence on the pressure distribution in the computation area but did not allow bringing the maximal overpressure down to the safe level. It was concluded that a bumper wall immediately in front of the protected object was one of the most effective protective installation. It is necessary to take into account a three-dimensional character of the shock wave in order to select safe dimensions of the protection zone around the hydrogen storage facilities.

REFERENCES

1. Explosive phenomena. Estimations and consequences: In 2 V. Transl. from Engl. / Beyker At. etc.; edited by Ya. B. Zeldovich, B. E. Gelfand. – M.: Mir, 1986. – 319 p. (in Russian).
2. Kogarko S. M., Adushkin V. V., Lyamin A. G. Research of spherical detonation of gas mixtures // Scientific and technical problems of burning.– 1965.– №2.– pp. 22-34 (in Russian).
3. Borisov A. A., Gelfand B. E., Tsiganov S. A. About the modelling of pressure waves, appearing during detonation and burning of gas mixtures // Physics of burning and explosion. – 1985. – V. 21, №2. – pp. 90-97 (in Russian).
4. Parr-Santos M. T., Kastro-Ruis F., Mendes-Bueno Ts. Computation modeling of burning-to-detonation transition // Physics of burning and explosion. – 2005. – V. 41, №2. – pp. 108-115 (in Russian).
5. Sheng J. S. Review of numerical methods of solution of Navier-Stokes equations for the flows of compressible gas // Aerospace engineering. – 1986. – №2. – pp. 65-92 (in Russian).
6. Anderson D., Tannehill Dg., Pletcher R. Computational hydromechanics and heat transfer: In 2 V. – M.: Mir, 1990. – 726 p. (in Russian).
7. Numerical Modeling of Hydrogen Release, Mixture and Dispersion in Atmosphere / E.A. Granovskiy, V. A. Lyfar, Yu. A. Skob, M. L. Ugryumov // Abstracts Book and CD–ROM Proceedings of the International Conference on Hydrogen Safety. – Pisa (Italy). – 2005. – 10 p. (ICHS Paper No. 110021)
8. Berlyand M. E., Modern problems of atmospheric diffusion and contamination of atmosphere, Leningrad: Gidrometeoizdat, 1975. – 448 p. (in Russian).
9. Numerical solution of multidimensional problems of gas dynamics / S. K. Godunov, A. V. Zabrodin, M. Ya. Ivanov, A. N. Krayko, G. P. Prokopov. – M.: Science, 1976. – 400 p. (in Russian).
10. An Intercomparison Exercise on the Capabilities of CFD Models to Predict Deflagration of a Large-Scale H2-Air Mixture in Open Atmosphere / E. Gallego, J. Garcia, E. Migoya, A. Crespo, A. Kotchourko, J. Yanez, A. Beccantini, O.R. Hansen, D.Baraldi, S. Hoiset, M.M. Voort, V. Molkov // CD–ROM Proceedings the International Conference on Hydrogen Safety. – Pisa (Italy). – 2005. (ICHS Paper No. 120003)
11. Safety and Security Analysis: Investigative Report by NASA on Proposed EPA Hydrogen-Powered Vehicle Fueling Station. Assessment and Standards Division Office of Transportation and Air Quality U.S. Environment Protection Agency, EPA420-R-04-016 October 2004. – 45 p.